

CANADA How The Communists Took Control

Search



RSS

How The West Built the USSR

Posted by Admin on January 31, 2013

Source: Antony Sutton: The Secret World Order & the Soviet Union (audio track, date unknown)

Foreword by NoSnowinMoscow:

In this important audio tape, transcribed exclusively for www.NoSnowinMoscow.com, Professor Antony Sutton points out that **Wall Street** actively financed the development of three kinds of socialism in different parts of the world at the same time.

In the early 1930s, the super-rich bankrolled socialism in America with Roosevelt, in Germany with Hitler, and in the Soviet Union with a succession of totalitarian governments from the time of the Bolshevik Revolution.

A list of publications by Professor Sutton follows after this transcript, with links to many of them as free downloads.

/ TRANSCRIPT OF AN AUDIO RECORDING OF PROFESSOR ANTONY C. SUTTON:

Host's Introduction:

Our initial speaker this morning has attracted considerable attention, both here in the United States and abroad by virtue of his meticulous and detailed study of the history of Western aid to the Soviet Union.

As a research fellow at the **Hoover Institute on War, Revolution and Peace** at Stanford University, he researched, wrote, and had published a three-volume series entitled **Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development**. A shocking, irrefutable history of American and other Western-nation aid in the creation of what we identify today as our adversary super-power, the Soviet Union.

Within the last two years, he has written the books, **National Suicide: Military Aid to the Soviet Union**, and **Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution**. Two weeks ago, his newest book, **Wall Street and FDR** was published and is now available.

And on the front burner today, which he is exhaustively working on, is another volume called **Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler**.

With all of this work, which has encompassed better than a decade, he has also found time to write articles for **Review of the News**, **Human Events**, and **National Review**.

Born in London, educated in England, Germany and the United States, he became a citizen of the United States in 1962. He was a Professor of Economics at California State University before joining the Hoover Institute. He now resides in northern California with his wife, Betty, and their family.

It is a privilege for me, and an honor for all of us to have with us today Professor Antony C. Sutton.
Tony —

[Applause]

Antony Sutton Speaks:

Thank you very much. My assignment this morning is a virtually impossible task. I have 50 minutes to summarize 15 years of research, half a dozen books.

What I propose to do is outline the story of our construction of the Soviet Union. I will start the outline in 1917, and bring you down to the present day, chronologically.

But, this outline is a quick work, it's a mere skeleton of the whole story.


 Search

Stang: Part 1

View this video large

Stang: Part 2

Stang: Part 3

Stang: Part 4

Stang: Part 5

About the video: "Mikhail Gorbachev: New European Soviet coming to Canada"

SUPPORT THE RESEARCH

This work all comes out of my own pocket. Please support the ongoing research at this web site, if you can. Thank you.

Donate



RECENT POSTS

The Communist Parti Québécois Elects a New Communist Leader: Jean-François Lisée (7 October 2016)

Peter Worthington Whitewashed Communist Pierre Trudeau; Paved Way for Justin's Coronation

Communist Guy Bertrand's New Plan to Smash



But, what I will do is draw your attention to the nature of the published evidence, and I hope you will excuse me if I rely mostly on my own books, because that's the evidence I know best.

This, of course, is in the true nature of a seminar, it's my job to point the way; and it's yours — if you wish — to pick up the threads and assemble the facts into a Mosaic.

From time to time this morning, I will refer to unpublished evidence, and research yet to be undertaken. We do not yet have the full story. In other words, I will point out the gaps. This is important because if you push the argument beyond the limits of the evidence at hand, the inevitable result is a loss of credibility.

Now, the best way to introduce my topic is to make a point about **information in a socialist society.**

This is a sophisticated audience. You know about distortion, and suppression, and elimination of the facts.

We live in a socialist society and suppression of information is typical of such societies. To eliminate freedom, one must first eliminate widespread knowledge of the truth.

So, I submit to you that today in the United States there are **three levels of information**

The first level — we could call the Establishment version. It's what most people have believed in the past to be true about events and history. The difference today, compared with say a decade ago, is that the credibility of the Establishment has been shattered. People in general no longer believe in Washington or anything that comes out of Washington.

[Applause]

So, this first level is what the government or the Establishment wants you to know. Only coincidentally is it the truth.


The criteria they use are two, I suggest. One, they say: "What do we want them to know?" And secondly, they say: "Is it consistent with what we told them last time?"

And sometimes, they slip up, and then the statements become inoperable.

Then, we have **the second level** of information, sometimes called the revisionist level. It challenges the first level, but it's still based on documents and information released by the bureaucrats and politicians in Washington. It does not get to the root of the problem. [Controlled opposition. KM/NoSnow]

It doesn't get to the root of the problem because it relies mainly on facts which they decide *can* be released.

I would suggest — and I hope you won't take this unduly critically — that the critics of the Kennedy assassination probably fall within this category. There's no question they're onto something, but they're still at the second level because they rely on information which it has been decided, *can* be released. They will not get to the third level until they get all the information within government files, and that, I understand, may take 75 or 100 years.



Then, we get to **the third level**. And I suggest that, presumably, almost everybody or everyone in this room is operating, or wants to operate, on the third level. It is based on new documentary evidence that has to be rooted out. From the research viewpoint, you have to know where to look. You have to know about its existence, you have to demand it, you have to get it declassified.

You must accept, when you are in my position, that when you initially publish it, most people will not believe you.

They will not believe you because the Establishment version got in there first, and the mass of the media — and I'm not blaming the media for this — got behind it and publicized what they believed to be the truth. But, we're now getting a number of very solid, substantial books written on this third level. I'll give you some quick examples.

Colin Simpson, **The Lusitania – An Attempt to Bring the United States into World War I Documented.**

Julius Epstein, **Operation Keyhole.**

A very new book by Guy Richards, **The Rescue of the Romanoffs.** The Czar was not murdered, as the Establishment would like you to believe.

Quebec into Communist Regions (Part I)

Heaven on Earth: The Rise and Fall of Socialism with Ben Wattenberg (Think Tank)

The Real Pierre Elliott Trudeau — A Child's View, in Retrospect

Soviet Agent Oscar D. Skelton Recruited Soviet Agent Lester Bowles Pearson into the Civil Service of Canada

Red Fronts Aid Planned Merger of Canada North-South and East-West into a Red Regional Union

Trudeau Biographers Ignore Known Red Agents in Canada's External Affairs Department

"Singing tomorrows?" or "Grinding tomorrows?" The Soviet Union is not yet Dead (Quebec, 1996)

Quebec: A North-American Communist Military Power Built by the Federal Government of Canada

Terrorist Activities in North America By Lawrence Patton McDonald

Wicked Willy: The Chancellor of the West German Government

Marxism and the Problem of a World State

New Democratic Party of Canada (NDP) Exposed as Communists (Guest Video by "Seeing Red Yet?")

More on Willy Brandt, René Lévesque and the Socialist International

Exclusive: the Complete Text & Scan of Pat Walsh's "Inside the 'Featherbed File'? Canada's Watergate — The story of treason in Ottawa"

George Orwell's "1984" Meets the *Parti Québécois* Manifesto of 1972

"The Man-Wolf" in a Political Manifesto of the *Parti Québécois* Calling for "A New Social Model"

Exclusive English Translation of the 1972 CBC Radio Roundtable on the 1972 *Parti Québécois* Manifesto

René Lévesque's 1982 Letter to Willy Brandt (Socialist International)

Robert Rumilly:

Two important authors on the communist infiltration of Canada are Alan Stang and Robert Rumilly. Please read my exclusive English translation of two chapters from Rumilly's 1956 book *The Leftist Infiltration in French Canada (L'Infiltration gauchiste au Canada français)*.

ANTICOMMUNIST ARCHIVE & STORIES:



From the Liberal side of things, I would suggest Jules Archer, **The Plot to Seize the White House**.

So, I've emphasized this morning that my outline is going to **beat the third level**.

It's based on authentic and original documentation, mostly from government files. It is directly and verifiable evidence. I always make the citations and the references. Up to a few weeks ago, I could always say that the facts had never been openly challenged.

There was a recent exception in London — because I'm getting somewhat more publicity in Europe than I am here — the *Soviet Weekly* decided to counter some of my arguments; it was probably forced to do so. Unfortunately, they picked the wrong example. They said I was wrong about the Soviet marine— merchant marine and the origin of its diesel engines. They said that my figures and facts were wild.

Unfortunately for *Soviet Weekly*, this is one case where *all* my evidence came from Russian sources. So, I pointed out to the *Soviet Weekly*, it's quite obvious that the Soviet right hand doesn't know what the Soviet left hand is doing.

So, let's get to the point. **How did the Soviet Union become a world power?**

Let's go back to the revolutions, the two revolutions in 1917. The first revolution in March of 1917 overthrew the Czar and replaced the Czar with a — what could — would well have been a constitutional government. These were the first shaky steps taken in March 1917 towards a constitutional government in Russia.

This constitutional government was overthrown by the Bolsheviks in November of 1917. There is major evidence, which I have published, of U.S. involvement. Not on the side of the formation of a constitutional government, but on the side of the Bolsheviks. Not the March revolution, but the November revolution.

Now, I've not got the whole story. I've published what I have been able to unearth. And these are roughly the key points.

In March, 1917, at the time of the first revolution, Lenin was in Switzerland and Trotsky was in New York. They were the two major operators in the Bolshevik revolution. Lenin returned to Russia with the aid of the German high command. I recently suspect that the Kaiser did not know; the highest German official who knew about this was Chancellor Von Bethmann-Holweg from the well known — perhaps in Germany — the Bethmann-Holweg banking family.

Trotsky was in New York — a penniless immigrant, apparently — he acquired \$10,000 in gold, he acquired an American passport, he was put on a boat for Russia.

The **Canadian authorities** pulled the boat in to Halifax, Nova Scotia. They took off Trotsky, and his party, locked them up as prisoners of war. There was immediate intervention from both London and Washington — and these documents are in the files. He was put back on the boat for Russia, with apologies.

Also on the boat were **Lincoln Steffens** — quite a well known leftist in the United States, and **Charles Crane of the Westinghouse Company**. And Charles Crane was chairman of the Democratic finance committee at that time, and a friend of Woodrow Wilson. And the book tells you what happened; how they met and talked on the boat.

Also, in July 1917, a **Colonel William Boyce Thomson**, who was the first permanent director of the **Federal Reserve Bank of New York**, formed a Red Cross mission to Russia. Now, Russia didn't want a Red Cross mission. And the Red Cross in Washington didn't want the mission going to Russia.

But Thomson was a very influential gentleman, he financed it and organized it himself.

The mission had nothing to do with either medicine or Red Cross. I've listed the members of the mission. Out of thirty, only six were doctors, the rest were **Wall Street lawyers and financiers**. There were representatives from **Chase Bank, National City Bank** and the rest of it.

The mission was a political vehicle to give assistance to the Bolshevik revolution in November. What was the assistance? Very briefly, Colonel Thomson himself said — and it was published in *The Washington Post*, which was an authoritative source at the time — that he gave one million dollars to the Bolsheviks to help their revolution. That's Colonel Thomson, not me.

There was intervention by **American International Corporation**, which was another vehicle based on Wall Street.

In Washington, to forestall any possible assistance to the enemies of Bolshevism.

Further, you can find in the British Foreign Office files the fact that Thomson and **Lamont** of the **Morgans** went to see **Prime Minister Lloyd George** in England, and changed, in one meeting, British policy from being anti-Bolshevik to being pro-Bolsheviks. This information, I would point out, comes from the British War Cabinet papers, Thomson's own papers, and the State Department files. The documents are quite genuine.



EXCLUSIVE ENGLISH TRANSLATION of the 1972 manifesto of the **Parti Québécois**, calling for a Communist State of Quebec

Segments translated so far:

1. Pages 29-38
2. Pages 53-65
3. Pages 67-73
4. Pages 75-85
5. Pages 101-122
6. *Bref* - Summary 137
7. Table of Contents
8. Indispensable 1972 CBC Radio Roundtable discussing the 1972 manifesto




UPDATE 15 August 2016: 100% complete! First English translation of 1972 PQ manifesto for a Communist State of Quebec. This is what we were really "voting" for in 1980 and 1995. There is more text in the PDF download than is posted online in html:

<https://www.sendspace.com/file/pgg7mg>



Communist Straight Jacket Over Canada: *Quand nous serons vraiment chez nous*: 1972 manifesto of the Parti Québécois for a Communist state of Quebec

FEATURED ARTICLE:



Quebec Courts gearing up Soviet system for control of political dissidents: "Judicial Declarations of Madness".

Now, in early 1918, the Bolsheviks held only a very small part of Russia. They held really just Moscow and Petrograd. They were fighting both the Whites and the Greens. Now, the history books don't tell you about the Greens. They only tell you about the Reds and the Whites. There were 700,000 Greens. And the Greens were Bolsheviks who saw that Lenin and Trotsky had betrayed the revolution to capitalists — and this was pointed out in Russian newspapers at the time — and the Greens, 700,000 strong, were fighting against the Bolsheviks with the Whites.

But, what happened is that the Wall Street Mission and its allies in the United States, gave the Bolsheviks enough breathing space to be able to occupy Russia.

Another point that fits in here is Guy Richard's latest book on The Rescue of the Romanoffs, in which he, I think, proves that the Czar was not killed. There was— this is a myth perpetuated by Britain and the United States in collusion with the Soviet Union, for reasons which he will point out.

And so, this **high-level collusion between the Soviet Union, the United States and other countries** [Britain, etc.] has gone on since 1917.

Now, also according to the history books, at the time of the revolution and civil war in Russia, Russian industry was in ruins. This is nonsense.

Russian industry was not destroyed, except perhaps at Petrograd. It was idle. It was in what the Soviets call a state of "technical preservation".

What happened was that the middle class, the technicians and the managers, left Russia; they weren't Bolshevik. And the plants and the equipment were standing there idle. And the Bolshevik Revolution had no means to get into action.

What happened was, in the 1920s, foreign companies, mainly **American or German** — and the German companies were affiliated with major American corporations mostly, these companies went into — **these companies went into Russia and they gave technical assistance** or they took the foreign concessions — and there were some three or four hundred of them — and this got the Soviet Union up in economic development.

This, of course, I've covered in the very first book I put out back in 1968: the period from 1917 to 1930. How very prominent firms like **Westinghouse, General Electric, Ford Motor Company, Standard Oil** — these firms, through concessions and technical assistance agreements, enabled the idle Russian industry to get re-started under the Soviets.

There are two names which should not be forgotten from the 1920s, **Avril Harriman**,* who was operating a Georgian manganese concession, and **Armand Hammer**, whose father, of course, **Julius Hammer**, was executive secretary of the Communist Party USA. That is something the **Los Angeles Times** never prints; but it's quite verifiable.

So, the Soviet Union, in that first decade, was enabled to survive and recuperate with the assistance of German and American firms.

I would point out, to keep the text straight, that the State Department was not at fault, as I see it. It's quite clear from the files, as I have written, that State Department officials could look ahead; they saw the possibility of a war — like Korea and Viet Nam — where the Soviets would supply the other side. They looked ahead, and they say no, stay out of the Soviet Union, let it— let it find its own feet, and we should not help to build it up.

By 1928, the Soviet Union — with Western assistance — had restored a 1913 output. And the Soviet planners began to think about the 5-year plans. Maybe a few of you will remember that back in 1930 in the United States that there was great publicity about the "Great Experiment" in the Soviet Union. "Pulling up by the bootstraps", a model for **Roosevelt's New Deal** to copy, how a socialist society could do all kinds of wonderful things that a free-enterprise society could not do*. How free enterprise was outmoded.

Who was saying this? Well, we find socialist **Norman Thomas**, and we find **Roosevelt***. But we also find for example, a **Gerard Swope, President of General Electric Corporation**; and we find **Bernard [garbled – Yugovich?]**. But those men that I call the **corporate socialists, who run large corporations — then and now, I submit — are betraying a free enterprise society.**

Now, the Soviets suddenly acquired a massive capacity in the first and second 5-year plan sets, during the late 1920s and the whole decade of the 1930s. What has not been said, historically, is how they acquired this massive capacity.

Simple common sense would tell you that a backward country just does not start to modern build steel mills and automobile plants. That's just common sense.

The first 5-year plan was almost entirely built by foreign corporations: **General Electric, Ford, Dupont, [Hoppers?], Badger, Foster-Wheeler, Universal Oil, Douglas Aircraft, Radio Corporation of America, Pratte and Whitney, Hercules Powder, United Engineering, [Fentock?] and Marshall, Macdonald Engineering, The [Matee?] Corporation**, you name it.

ARCHIVES

Select Month

RECENT COMMENTS



AudacesFortunaJuvat2... on "The Man-Wolf" in...

135 Mother Tongues i... on The New Treason of the Clerics...

Trudeau: Destroyer o... on The New Treason of the Clerics...



Audentes on The New Treason of the Clerics...



Audentes on The New Treason of the Clerics...

CATEGORIES

Select Category

META

Register

Log in

Entries RSS

Comments RSS

WordPress.com

BLOG STATS

5,686 hits

January 2013

M	T	W	T	F	S	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

« Sep

Feb »

Bankers & Big Business Love Socialism

Communism in

Canada communism in the world Communist Regional

Union Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)

Federal Government of Canada Historical Reprints Justin Trudeau L'Union nord-américaine North American Union Origins of Socialism in Canada Other Posts Red Dawn coming:

wwiii? Separatism is Communism

The Parti Québécois is Communist The Real Pierre Elliott Trudeau Uncategorized Urgent Update Welcome! What's New at "No Snow"?

FOLLOW BLOG VIA EMAIL

Enter your email address to follow this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Join 1 other follower

Amongst the large U.S. construction corporations, they were there in Russia between 1928 and the beginning of 1933.

The plants they built in the first 5-year plan were far larger in capacity and far more technically advanced than they were building elsewhere in the world.

And the second 5-year plan in Russia — although this does not come out, of course, in the official documents, was really bringing into production the tremendous capacity built by these firms in the early 1930s.

The first 5-year plan, itself, was not laid out by Gosplan. The Gosplan — which is not workable — the final, technical plan that was utilized, was actually drawn up by a firm of industrial architects, **Arthur Kahn** of Detroit.

United Engineering, to give you a few examples, built a plant in the Soviet Union in the early 1930s, to produce the longest aluminum sheets in the world. And these, of course, are essential for aircraft manufacture. This was the time when all-metal aircraft were just beginning, even in the West.

General Electric built at Krakow, a turbine plant which was two and a half times greater in capacity than its own plant in New York at Schenectady.

There were three gigantic tractor plants built in the Soviet Union, and the Soviets built more Internationals and more Caterpillars than those two companies built in the United States.

Now, go back to my introduction — the **three levels of information**. The whole world largely still believes that the Soviets did it themselves. That's the official Establishment version. In reality, the Soviets didn't do it. It was done by Western free enterprise.

The cost? The cost in Russia — **the millions of Russians who died in labor camps** I'd point out Solzhenitsyn's arguments, Julius Epstein: Operation Keyhole.

Did the American firms know about this? Yes. They did.

They lied in their public announcements when they said there was no forced labor in the Soviet Union. And they knew they were lying. I know they were lying because I've seen the reports in the State Department files. The engineers on-site in Russia were protesting — it was the time of the Depression, they had to have a job — and the firms told them to do nothing: *say nothing, keep quiet.*

I submit that our larger corporations — the **corporate socialists**, were no more interested in Russians dying in the early 1930s than they were in Americans dying in Korea and Viet Nam with technology that they had installed in the Soviet Union.

And yet, the way this world is put together, it's the **Harrimans** and the **Hammers** and the **Morgans** and the **Rockefellers** who are admired and lauded. And those who plead for human decency and state the facts of DICTATORSHIP are slandered and insulted.

And we find, regrettably, **academics fall over themselves to perpetuate the myths**

So, back in the early 1930s, **Gerard Swope** of **General Electric** and **Bernard Baruch** and their friends, were building the 5-year plans in Russia. But they weren't inactive elsewhere in the world. And this is one period where I've been able to develop most of the story.

Roosevelt's **New Deal**, the NRA, National Recovery Administration, was not drawn up by the brain trust or Roosevelt's advisors. It was drawn up by **Gerard Swope** of **General Electric**. And I've published the whole thing in the book I've just produced. I call it **Swope's Plan**. It wasn't FDR's plan at all. And **Herbert Hoover** was quite correct when he called it Fascism. Because Roosevelt's **New Deal** was nothing else but Fascism along the lines of the Mussolini corporate state.

And our friends, **Bernard Baruch**, **General Electric**, building up the Soviet Union, were also very active in [garbled], promoting, and writing for Roosevelt in the early 1930s. But, also, **they were active behind Hitler**. It's interesting that both Hitler and Roosevelt came to power in early 1933.

Now, the story of the promotion of Hitler by our own **corporate socialists** is yet unpublished. But, I'll tell you this much; it'll give you the flavor of the book. I have the bank transfer slips — which is about the hardest kind of evidence you can get — of **funds going from large corporations to the Nazi party** and particularly, a political slush fund operated by **Rudolf [Hess?]** This was very important in the early 1930s when the Nazis needed all the money they could get to finance their gangs of goons going around the streets beating up people, and the various payoffs and this kind of thing.

One of these transfer slips refers to **German General Electric**, sixty thousand Reichmarks. And two directors of **German General Electric** will interest you, or should interest you. One is **Gerard Swope**, General Electric, and one is **Owen Young** of the **Federal Reserve Bank** of New York.

So, what we find is — when we begin to probe behind the scenes of history — is that we have the gentlemen **promoting three brands of socialism all at the same time**

▪ [1] They're building the first 5-year plan in the Soviet Union.

Enter your email address

Follow

BLOG STATS

5,686 hits

BLOGROLL

Wise Up Journal



HITS SINCE 21 JAN 2015:

000215622

web counter

FOLLOW ME ON TWITTER

My Tweets

- [2] They're writing Roosevelt's New Deal for him.
- [3] And they're trying to get Hitler into power in Germany.

All at the same time.

So, let's go back to the building of the Soviet Union.

During World War II, you will remember, was the massive lend-lease program. This pretty much replaced any capacity the Soviet Union might have lost in World War II. But, more importantly, it brought the Soviet Union to a fundamentally new technological horizon.

I've covered the whole story of this build-up in the Hoover series of books. By 1946, the Soviets had a capacity to do certain things themselves. They could manufacture the shells of factories, that is, the buildings, not too difficult; and they could duplicate the simple equipment, simple lathes, this kind of thing.

But they still needed — and still need today — foreign technology to advance the technological horizon for a quite simple reason: that a socialist planned society cannot advance technologically by itself. Once again, our Western businessmen were only too happy to oblige, and, once again, they went into the Soviet Union in the 1950s, certainly the 1960s, and you see the peak of this in the last few years under Kissinger.

And, to give you, again, some examples, from the development in these 20 years, you will find mining equipment firms like **Joint Manufacturing**. Non-ferrous metals, you'll find they're using for example the **International Nickel** process for nickel smelting, refining.

Iron and steel is an exception. The Soviets adopted the classical blast furnace back in the 1930s, their plans were largely laid out by the **Frame Corporation** of Chicago. It's a very simple process; what they did was build bigger units, what I call scaling up; and for the classical blast furnace technology, they have not come back to the West. What they have done, particularly in rolling techniques, and what you might call the high technology forms of steel or metals.

In petroleum processes, you can see the copying of the lend-lease refineries, all the way up to today. I think, just a few weeks ago, there were recent agreements to transfer more petroleum technology to the Soviet Union.

In chemicals, **Armand Hammer, Occidental Petroleum**, of course has always played a key role.

Textiles: we find Soviet nylon — all their synthetic fabrics are Western fabrics, but of course with different specifications — with different model numbers; categories.

Motor vehicles. All the motor vehicle plants I can identify in the Soviet Union have equipment from the West. They have been able to reproduce simple transfer lines, but, as you know, with the **Karma plant**, still today, the Soviets require equipment from — mainly from the United States.

Soviet atomic energy. Their first reactor was a copy of the **Henford Reactor**. But, more importantly, they couldn't have achieved their atomic energy program without United States' help. **I'm very skeptical today about the Rosenberg spy story. What is much more important is how did the Soviets get the industrial technology, the equipment** — very specialized kind of equipment which is needed for an atomic energy program. This could only come from one of three countries: United States, Switzerland, or Great Britain.

Locomotives. For example, we find **General Electric, Business Standard**.

In aircraft, we find all the **Rolls Royce** engines, [garbled] that make the silver [garbled]. The door, for example, on some of the aircraft is a **Boeing** door. You go right down the line, it's there.

Merchant marine, I calculated that exactly, because the Soviets had published a very exhaustive catalogue [—ing] of their Soviet ships. every Soviet ship is there, catalogued with its technical specifications. And I can tell you exactly **67%** of the hulls were built in the West, and **80%** of the engines were built in the West. The **20%** that were not built in the West were built in the Soviet Union, mainly at **Briansk** [plant?] in Leningrad, under technical assistance agreements. There's no such thing as a Soviet marine diesel engine. That's what got the *Soviet Weekly* upset in London and said I was "wild". And, of course, I pointed to their own catalogue. It's right there, if they bothered to get a calculating machine, which, of course, will have to be Western.

And uh—

[Laughter.]

they can— they can repeat what I did.

Their computer technology is courtesy of **IBM and Radio Corporation of America**. But, there's an English corporation, **International Computers**, which has transferred the most advanced of its own computer technology. I did happen to meet a director of this particular company last April when I was in England and I pointed this out to him that it was his own suicide. He had more to lose than I had. And,

his argument was, well the Americans do it, why shouldn't the British do it?

And he was actually unable to see that it was his own suicide. But I did also meet a gentleman from the **Dunlop Rubber Company** — and **Dunlop** has been very important, transferring rubber tire technology to the Soviet Union — who admitted that so far as that area was concerned, I was exactly correct; in fact, I hadn't got all of it. But he said, well, even if it is my own suicide, I will continue to do it because it's business. And I had no answer for that one.

So, what I'm saying is, that in brief, all Western technol— excuse me— all Soviet technology, from 1917 right down to the present day, comes from the West. And this is based on a very precise technical analysis; it's technical: I look at engines and machines, and I look at specifications — it's not something I *imagined* — so, I've been at this thing over a decade and a half, but no one yet has proven me wrong on a technical factor.

And, this is approximately the position today; except that under **Kissinger**, the Soviets had been able to achieve a fundamentally new technological horizon — of course, with a financial subsidy — because they're getting loans at **6%** when we have to pay **10%** or **12%** — with a financial subsidy from the United States.

Now, the big problem that I had in the early 1970s was that this was not the whole story. There were at least two remaining problems.

One, we were building up the Soviet military capacity; capability. And there were indications — and I was a little unsure about this in 1970 — that this was a deliberate policy on the part of the United States.

I called it the "**X Factor**". I spotted it perhaps as early as the late 1960s that there was something operating there to enable these massive transfers to continue over periods of decades. And any time you pointed it out, you were immediately slapped down. There was some kind of behind-the-scenes pressure making for these massive transfers.

Now, the most important problem that I saw was the military transfer problem. So, as I'm sure some of you know, I went to Miami Beach in 1972; I attempted to point this out to the Republican Party, and what I got was outright hostility. These are things we just don't talk about.

Looking at **The Wall Street Journal** last week and noting that **Armand Hammer** gave the Republicans \$100,000.00 in 1972, I can see that I wasn't quite the right game. I certainly didn't give them anything like \$100,000.00.

Now, to summarize the **National Suicide** book, there is no question in my mind that Soviet military capability essentially depends on Western technology. But there is one exception I would point out: that you do not need a free-enterprise system to develop military technology. Because the military work in a rather different way to an industrialist. The military say, well, this is the next specification we want; they set up a specification and they work towards it, and cost is no object,

But, within of course, industry, cost is very much part of your objective; you've got to be competitive.

And so what the Soviets have been very successful in doing is setting up a very adequate, a very sensible, design — military design specifications — and using Western technology to work towards it, and do it quite it quite capably. So, I'm quite sure that aircraft with our systems, and their ships and their guns, are quite effective.

To give you some examples, American pilots were coming back during the Viet Nam war, and they were saying, "Well, that's funny, because those trucks on the Ho Chi Minh Trail looked like **Ford** trucks." Well, they *were* **Ford** trucks, because half of them were coming from the Gorky plant which was built by Ford Motor Company.

And you got the [Migs?] Silver Career, which I pointed out earlier had **Rolls Royce** engines. And **Rolls Royce** and some of the German designs, **BMW**, have been the basis of Russian jet development.

So, that is part of the story.

What we need today is research to fill out the gaps in our knowledge of the loss of American independence. And there are two major areas which I suggest need study in-depth.

One is the **Federal Reserve System**. Particularly the political role of the **Federal Reserve Bank of New York** from 1913 up to today.

Currently, the **Federal Reserve System** controls money supply, and therefore is a very important, if not a dominant factor, in what happens in the economy.

This whole attempt to replace gold with artificial fiat money is part of this whole problem that I think has to be investigated. But, up to the moment, we can't even get an audit of the Federal Reserve System.

The second area which I think needs to be investigated is the **COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS**. I don't have that much evidence myself, but a number of people I respect — well, a great number of

people I respect have pointed out that members of this particular COUNCIL turn up in a number of key places on a very regular basis.

I suspect that one can dismiss 90% of them as being academic hangers-on or social climbers, but **there's a core in there which probably well warrants investigation.**

I can tell you this much: certainly, in the 1920s, where the State Department files are open, there is very clear evidence that members of the COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS were fundamental in achieving a pro-Soviet policy and building up the Soviet Union: **Gerard Swope**, for example, was most certainly a member.

So, given the state of our knowledge today, I think we can say the following:

The constitutional independence of the United States has been abandoned.

Further, there has been a knowledgeable and deliberate effort to build the Soviet Union into a formidable enemy. In spite of the fact of two Wars in which 100,000 Americans and countless allies have been killed.

I suspect, or I suggest — that there is a knowledgeable and deliberate effort to submerge U.S. independence into a web of economic and financial relationships with a totalitarian dictatorship.*.

And this is in large part concealed from the American public. In fact, my earlier example, **the truth is at the third level**, and the statements coming out are all on the first level.

On the other hand, these policies — from where I stand — are not too well thought out in detail. There was a *Foreign Affairs* article in April 1974 entitled The Hard Road to a New World Order and it pointed out the problems with using the **United Nations** as a vehicle to achieve a socialist world state.

And I suspect that the problems of creating a socialist world order are increasing and are somewhat greater than anticipated by the world planners.

Some of the more important problems that I can glean from sources like *Foreign Affairs* would certainly be the United Nations.

I suggest that the concept of the United Nations as “the” global authority may have been abandoned. And the emphasis is going to be on **regional planning**, on **regional management**.

The vehicles will be such things as world environment, commodities, food supply, population, that kind of thing; it's a more round-about way to get the same objectives. What I suggest the process would be, would be to build larger pieces first, and then WELD these larger pieces together.

We can observe a major effort to substitute SDRs — Special Drawing Rights — (paper money) for gold. These are going to be an engine of international inflation in the same way that the Federal Reserve System has been an engine of domestic inflation.

But, historically, these attempts to use paper money have always collapsed.

And I see no reason, technically, why the SDR effort should succeed.

On the other hand, you cannot achieve a world order, with hard gold currency. Because the politicians cannot print numbers on gold; they can print all the numbers they want on pieces of paper.

So, as I see it — from my viewpoint — **the world planners** have got to impose a paper-money system as part of their move towards what they call the “**new world order**”.

The third problem, which may not sound too much, but may in fact be the biggest stumbling block, is that, as I see society — the natural order of events is for people to group themselves together in small contiguous units, not in big regional groupings. People voluntarily associate in small groups, not in large groups.

But, on the other hand, the whole trend of a world order is toward unification and regional groupings. In other words, you're going in two different directions. The planners are trying to impose **large regional units**, but the natural trend-order within society is toward small groups. And I suspect that as more people begin to see what is happening — it's antagonistic to their own interests — that the resistance will also increase.

So, let me emphasize — I'm getting near the end — one point.

That the battle for American independence can only be won with facts; and they have to be accurate facts.

I do not believe that the American people want to abandon the Constitution; or free enterprise; or individual freedom.

I don't believe the American people want such things as internal passports, hundred-billion-dollar energy programs, [cross-bussing?], back-breaking taxation. I don't think they want it.

Further, the Establishment no longer has credibility. They've lost it because it's ignored too many facts; it's lied; it's distorted. That is your opportunity. To present the facts at the third level.

But let me warn you; to retain credibility, you've got to be 100% accurate 100% of the time.

You get it wrong once, you've lost your audience, your enemies will never let you forget it.

Make one mistake, it's instant loss of credibility.

Sometimes, it's very tempting, I think, to overstate the case. Don't do it. Because you can't do it and win.

Let me leave you this morning with, I think, the moral of my story.

What I've tried to write over the last decade — we tend to emphasize the obvious; we can recognize the planners and their socialist friends, they're directly identifiable. Give you one example: **Attorney General Levi** says he's going to introduce internal passports, and **he knows it's unconstitutional** He says so. Now, that, to me, is an obvious enemy. I don't sleep wondering what he's going to dream up for me next.

But more important, perhaps, are those behind the scenes. What I call **the subsidizers**. Those who provide the technology, the financing, the political power, the political thrust for world dictatorship. Look at the subsidizers. Look, for example, at Big Business.

Big Business supplied technology both to Hitler's Germany and to Soviet Russia. In fact, both at the same time, and Roosevelt for good measure.

Look at the academics, who are more interested in promoting a **New World Order** than in promoting freedom. That's what they should be doing.

Look at those organizations who promote anti-Communism but always stop short at identifying and pointing out those who subsidize and make possible the onset of a world socialism.

And my moral today is — the moral I would like to leave with you — **the planners** could not exist without **the subsidizers**, and both are equally dangerous to what you hold to be true.

— 30 —

/

KM/NoSnow: To complete this lecture by Professor Sutton, I would look to his own Chapter 12, in his book **Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler**, and the segment entitled "The Pervasive Influence of International Bankers", quote:

"Looking at the broad array of facts presented in the three volumes of the **Wall Street** series, we find persistent recurrence of the same names: **Owen Young, Gerard Swope, Hjalmar Schacht, Bernard Baruch**, etc.; the same international banks: **J.P. Morgan, Guaranty Trust, Chase Bank**; and the same location in New York: usually **120 Broadway**.

This group of international bankers backed the Bolshevik Revolution and subsequently profited from the establishment of a Soviet Russia. This group backed Roosevelt and profited from **New Deal socialism**. This group also backed Hitler and certainly profited from German armament in the 1930s.

When **Big Business** should have been running its business operations at **Ford Motor, Standard of New Jersey**, and so on, we find it actively and deeply involved in political upheavals, war, and revolutions in three major countries.

The version of history presented here is that the financial elite knowingly and with premeditation assisted the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 in concert with German bankers. After profiting handsomely from the German hyper-inflationary distress of 1923, and planning to place the German reparations burden onto the backs of American investors, **Wall Street** found it had brought about the 1929 financial crisis.

Two men were then backed as leaders for major Western countries: **Franklin D. Roosevelt** in the United States and **Adolf Hitler** in Germany.

The Roosevelt New Deal and **Hitler's Four Year Plan** had great similarities. The Roosevelt

and Hitler plans were plans for fascist takeovers of their respective countries.

While Roosevelt's NRA failed, due to *then-operating* constitutional constraints, Hitler's Plan succeeded.

Why did the Wall Street elite, the international bankers, want Roosevelt and Hitler in power? This is an aspect we have not explored. According to the "myth of **Sidney Warburg**," Wall Street wanted a policy of revenge; that is, it wanted war in Europe between France and Germany. We know even from Establishment history that both Hitler and Roosevelt acted out policies leading to war.

The link-ups between persons and events in this three-book series would require another book. But a single example will perhaps indicate the remarkable concentration of power within a relatively few organizations, and the use of this power.

On May 1st, 1918, when the Bolsheviks controlled only a small fraction of Russia (and were to come near to losing even that fraction in the summer of 1918), the **American League to Aid and Cooperate with Russia** was organized in Washington, D.C. to support the Bolsheviks. This was not a **Hands off Russia** type of committee formed by the Communist Party U.S.A. or its allies. It was a committee created by **Wall Street** with **George P. Whalen** of **Vacuum Oil Company** as Treasurer and **Coffin** and **Oudin** of **General Electric**, along with **Thomson** of the **Federal Reserve System**, **Willard** of the **Baltimore & Ohio Railroad**, and assorted socialists.

When we look at the rise of Hitler and Naziism we find **Vacuum Oil** and **General Electric** well represented. **Ambassador Dodd** in Germany was by the monetary and technical contribution by the **Rockefeller-controlled Vacuum Oil Company** in building up military gasoline facilities for the Nazis.

The Ambassador tried to warn **Roosevelt**. **Dodd** believed, in his apparent naiveté of world affairs, that Roosevelt would intervene, but Roosevelt himself was backed by these same oil interests and **Walter Teagle** of **Standard Oil of New Jersey** and the **NRA** was on the board of Roosevelt's Warm Springs Foundation. So, in but one of many examples, we find the Rockefeller-controlled **Vacuum Oil Company** prominently assisting in the creation of Bolshevik Russia, the military build-up of Nazi Germany, and backing **Roosevelt's New Deal**.

– 30 –

/

[FN1] In Canada, **RENE LEVESQUE** — a so-called "separatist" who was actually raised as a Communist by his father, championed Roosevelt-style policies. He took **Roosevelt** as his personal role model; and he admired **Avril Harriman**. Once installed as Premier in Quebec by the 15 November 1976 provincial elections, Lévesque began to unroll his own policies — *for all of Canada*. (Which is illegal, as no Province has legal power to make law for any other province, let alone the country.)

Lévesque entitled his English-language white paper: "*Quebec-Canada: A NEW DEAL...*" — a new system which he hoped to impose by distributing to every household in the Province, at taxpayers' expense, of course, a copy of it containing a yellow-journalistic tabloid "history" of Quebec's misfortunes in Canada as the basis for rejecting Confederation for his own Roosevelt-style "NEW DEAL".

[FN2] **William Z. Foster**, in *Toward Soviet America* (1932), makes these claims precisely. That it was "socialism" that had allowed the Soviet Union to work miracles.

[FN3] "that a socialist planned society cannot advance technologically by itself — If that is true, could it be one reason why "sustainable development" has been invented: because we are being forced into a backwards society that cannot advance any more of its own momentum, so the "environment" becomes the excuse to freeze and even roll back development?"

KM/NoSnow

– 30 –

/

Publication Titles by Professor Antony C. Sutton

>> [Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1917 to 1930](#), Antony C. Sutton, Hoover Institution Publications

>> [Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1930 to 1945](#), Antony C. Sutton, Hoover Institution Press, Stanford University.

>> [Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1945 to 1965](#), Antony C. Sutton, Hoover Institution Press, Stanford University.

>> [Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [Wall Street and FDR](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [The Best Enemy Money Can Buy](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [National Suicide – Military Aid to the Soviet Union](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [The Federal Reserve Conspiracy](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [Le complot de la Réserve Fédérale](#) Antony C. Sutton, Editions Nouvelle Terre.

>> [Trilaterals Over America](#), Antony C. Sutton and Patrick M. Wood.

>> [America's Secret Establishment – An Introduction to the Order of Skull and Bones](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [How the Order Creates War and Revolution](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [How the Order Controls Education](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [The War on Gold](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [Gold for Survival](#), by Antony Sutton.

>> [Gold vs. Paper, A Cartoon History of Inflation](#).

>> [Energy, The Created Crisis](#), Antony C. Sutton.

>> [Platinum](#), Antony C. Sutton.

– 30 –

[About these ads](#)

Related



[What Would Communism Be Like? \(Question to the West\)](#)
In "Bankers & Big Business Love Socialism"

[Who is Behind Communism?](#)
Who is Behind Communism? In reading the posts and articles at this web site, the astute observer will note the number of wealthy individuals, and "sons of millionaires", who emerge as elite Soviet spies and leading Communists. The case is no different in Canada. They have university degrees, travel money,...
In "Bankers & Big Business Love Socialism"

[The "New European Soviet" \(Coming to North America\)](#)
[The "New European Soviet" \(Coming to North America\)](#)
In "North American Union"

November 1976, 1867, 1913, 1917, 1918, 1920s, 1923, 1928, 1929, 1930, 1930s, 1932, 1962, 5-year plans, Adolf Hitler, adversary, aid, aircraft, aluminum sheets, Ambassador Dodd, America, America's Secret Establishment - An Introduction to the Order of Skull and Bones, American International Corporation, American League to Aid and Cooperate with Russia, American passport, anti-Bolshevik, Antony C. Sutton, Antony Sutton, Armand Hammer, Arthur Kahn, assassination, atomic energy, automobile plants, Avril Harriman, Badger, Baltimore & Ohio Railroad, Bernard Baruch, Big Business, blast furnace, Boeing, Bolshevik Revolution, Bolsheviks, Bolshevism, brain trust, British foreign policy, British War Cabinet, California State University, Canada, Canadian authorities, capitalist socialists, capitalists, Caterpillars, Chancellor Von Bethmann-Holweig, Charles Crane, Chase Bank, Chicago, Coffin, Colin Simpson, Colonel William Boyce Thomson, committee, communist, Communist Party U.S.A., Communist Party USA, Confederation, constitutional government, Controlled opposition, corporate state, Czar, declassified, Democratic finance committee, Detroit, distortion, Douglas Aircraft, Dupont, Editions Nouvelle Terre, Energy, engines, England, Europe, executive secretary, fabric, fabrics, Fascism, fascist, FDR, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Federal Reserve Bank System, Federal Reserve System, financiers, first level, forced labor, Ford, Ford Motor Company, foreign companies, foreign concessions, Foster-Wheeler, Frame Corporation, France, Franklin D. Roosevelt, free-enterprise, gasoline facilities, General Electric, General Electric Corporation; corporate socialists, George P. Whalen, Gerard Swope, German, Germany, gold, Gold for Survival, Gold vs. Paper: A Cartoon History of Inflation, Great Britain, Greens, Guaranty Trust, Guy Richards, Halifax, Hammers, Harrimans, Henford Reactor, Rosenberg spy story, Herbert Hoover, Hercules Powder, Hitler, Hitler's Four Year Plan, Hjalmar Schacht, Holweig, Hoover Institute, Hoover Institute on War Revolution and Peace, Hoover Institution Publications, How did the Soviet Union become a world power, How the Order Controls Education, How the Order Creates War and Revolution, How The West Built the USSR, Human Events, hyper-inflation, industrial architects, International Nickel, Internationals, J. P. Morgan, Joint Manufacturing, Jules Archer, Julius Epstein, Julius Hammer, July 1917, Karma plant, Kennedy, kinds, Kissinger, Korea, Krakow, labor camps, Lamont, land-lease, lawyers, Lévesque, Le complot de la Réserve Fédérale, Lenin, Lenon, Lincoln Steffens, locomotives, London, Los Angeles Times, Macdonald Engineering, manufacture, military, mission, Morgans, Moscow, motor vehicles, murdered, Mussolini, National City Bank, National Recovery Administration, National Review, National Suicide: Military Aid to the Soviet Union, Nazi party, NEW DEAL, New York, nickel smelting, non-ferrous metals, Norman Thomas, Nova Scotia, November, nylon, Occidental Petroleum, Operation Keyhole, Oudin, output, Owen Young, Patrick M. Wood, Petrograd, petroleum, plant, Platinum, political, political upheavals, Pratte and Whitney, Premier, President, Prime Minister Lloyd George, prisoners of war, pro-Bolshevik, process, Professor of Economics, Quebec, Radio Corporation of America, reactor, Red Cross, Reds, referendum, refining, Rene Levesque, Review of the News, Revolution, revolutions, Rockefeller, Rockefeller's, Rolls Royce, Roosevelt's New Deal, Russian, Russians, Schenectady, secede, separatist, Sidney Warburg, slush fund, socialism, socialist, socialist society, Solzhenitsyn, Soviet planners, Soviet Union, Soviet Weekly, Stalin, Standard Oil, Standard Oil of New Jersey, Stanford University, State Department, steel mills, super-power, suppression, sustainable development, Switzerland, Swope's Plan, synthetics, takeover, technical assistance, technology, textiles, The Created Crisis, the Establishment version, The Federal Reserve Conspiracy, The Lusitania - An Attempt to Bring the United States into World War I, the NRA, The Plot to Seize the White House, The Rescue of the Romanoffs, the revisionist level, the second level, the third level, The War on Gold, The Washington Post, Theodore Roosevelt, three, three levels of information, Tony, totalitarian, Toward Soviet America, tractor, Trilaterals Over America, Trotsky, turbine, United Engineering, United States, Universal Oil, USSR, Vacuum Oil Company, Viet Nam, Wall Street, Wall Street and FDR, Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution, Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler, Walter Teagle, War, Warm Springs Foundation, Washington, Washington D.C., Western, Western businessmen, Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development, Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1917 to 1930, Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1930 to 1945, Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development 1945 to 1965, Westinghouse, Westinghouse Company, Whites, Willard, William Z. Foster, Woodrow Wilson, World War II. Bookmark the permalink.



Simon Reisman (FTA negotiator)
Suspected Communist Subversive: RCMP

Most Soviet Technology Came from the
West: Quigley (1966)



Leave a Reply

Enter your comment here...

Create a free website or blog at WordPress.com.

 Follow ...