


Veles Sloboda

HOW TO ORDER ORGANIZES WAR AND REVOLUTION

Anthony Sutton



RSS SUBSCRIBE.RU

Share :

G+1 0

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

THE MYSTERY OF THE XX CENTURY

Foreword

Create a conflict and dialectical process

OPERATIONAL MEANS TO CREATE CONFLICT

THESIS: ORDER CREATES THE SOVIET UNION

Antithesis: FINANCING NAZIS

NEW Dialectics: ANGOLA AND CHINA

APPLICATIONS:

SERBIA - RANGE "NEW WORLD ORDER"

CHECHNYA: whose policy - TOGO AND WAR

NATO advance eastward

Freemasonry in RUSSIA YESTERDAY AND TODAY

SECRET OF POWER IN THE HISTORY: NEW BOOKS

THE MYSTERY OF THE XX CENTURY

The book you now hold in your hands, is unique. It is dedicated to the most terrible secret of the twentieth century because of wars and revolutions, devoured tens of millions of lives of our ancestors and contemporaries. It does not just describe historical events, analyzes the sources, indicating the reasons for world drama. It exposes the hidden mechanism of our destruction.

Author of the book American economist and political analyst Anthony Sutton. His brief biography with a list of the most important works published in 1960-1980-ies, the reader will find on the cover of the brochure. According to Sutton as the publication is available to the Russian people, we briefly retell their basic content.

All published works by Anthony Sutton, a number more than twenty, can be divided into several groups. The first group of books develops common problems functioning of the economic and social systems, their interaction and reaction. From the outset, the scientific activity of the scientist interested in the mechanisms of power, the

underlying causes of political and military conflicts. Names in this series of works speak for themselves, "Technological treason", "Diamond Communication", "gold against paper", "War of gold", "Energy and organized by the crisis", "War and the revolution." The struggle for the latest technology, for the possession of energy sources for the provision of real paper money that's what the motives of those in power activities.

The second group consists of books of studies on the USSR. It is above all a fundamental three-volume "Western assistance and Soviet Economic Development" (Volume 1: 1917-1930, Volume 2: 1930-1945, Volume 3: 1945-1965), as well as the work of "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution." These works fall within the "sovietology" section of political science in the West, which thoroughly examines the whole range of problems associated with the emergence, development and collapse of the Soviet state.

The most striking facts contained in the monograph on the financing of the Bolshevik Revolution. Based on documentary sources, Antony Sutton details the US and Canadian epic Trotsky, who brought with him to Russia the whole army of professional revolutionaries. It reveals the secret role in the kidnapping of the Morgan clan Russian gold reserves. In specific examples, he shows, through which banks and how the aid was carried out to the Bolsheviks. It reveals the secret side of the American Red Cross Mission. Carefully analyzed the mechanisms of "economic aid", which was originally aimed at protecting US strategic interests in Russia. Sutton book "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution," confirms the theory of anti-Russian conspiracy of Jewish bankers and internationalist politics. Its most valuable materials and conclusions formed the basis of the third chapter of the study "How to Order organizes the war and the revolution."

Trilogy on economic assistance to the Soviet Union apparently not so spectacular, because is a summary of data on industrial and commercial contacts between the two countries. However, precisely because of this apparently prosaic texture hides the main instrument of US influence. Shaping the economy of the young state, supplying it with their technology and macroeconomic models, American businessmen were able to guide the development of Soviet Russia in the right direction and they supervise it throughout its history. Thus, it is possible to take for granted that the pro-American lobby in our economy there was not yesterday, not the beginning of "perestroika". It existed originally, for itself and contribute to the emergence of the Soviet Union.

Tools US influence on the development of European states Anthony Sutton also followed the example of the Third Reich. Germany in the first half of the XX century, is dedicated to its study on "Wall Street and Hitler's rise to power." The author is interested not so much the Nazi regime, with its occult ideology and the ups and downs of the political struggle, as a mechanism of economic support of Hitler's power. After careful study of secret documents and private certificates Sutton come to a sensational conclusion: international banks played a key role not only in promoting Hitler to the chair Reichs Chancellor and the President of Germany, but also in the economic security of the Reich's war machine. The unleashing of World War benefited the big industrialists and financiers USA: Morgan, Rockefeller, Kuhn, Lamont, General Electric, Stendert Oil, Ford, Leib & Company, banks End Chase Manhattan Neshenl City and others. They deliberately pushed Europe and America to military clashes, knowing that this will bring them profit.

The highest corridors of power in the United States and the safety issues devoted three books Sutton: "Wall Street and Franklin D. Roosevelt", "Trilateral Commission in Washington," and "National Suicide: Military assistance to the USSR", which form the following thematic group. The book is about the era of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the American president since 1933, was elected four times to this position, shows how his "New Deal" paradoxically combined populist phraseology, struggle with free competition and protection of Wall Street interests, along with their own. The research activities of the Tripartite Commission on the next turn in the process of strengthening the power of international institutions, and saddled the White House. Sutton proves that nadpravitelstvennaya Commission from the very onset of dictating its will on Washington. This will not always coincide with the national interests of ordinary Americans. A typical example - the military assistance provided by the Soviet Union in 1973.

After all these rigorous studies, at times resembled a detective investigation, the author has collected a lot of questions of principle. Why bankers on Wall Street, and at the same time supported the Soviet Union and Nazi Germany? Why are they still like Hitler and Stalin? Why is government policy turns into a blatant lie and the real historical facts carefully hidden from the public? The answer to these questions, Anthony Sutton found, quite unexpectedly, when he accidentally fell into the hands of a sheet with a list of members of some secret organization. The study of the activities of the organization, then called the Order, Sutton has devoted most of his famous series of books. The series consists of four books: "Introduction to the Order," "How to Order controls the formation," "How to Order organizing the war and the revolution" and "Secret Order of the cult."

"Introduction to the Order" begins with the permission of the key mysteries: is it possible, in principle, an explanation of world events through a conspiracy theory? A positive response leads the author to the study of the history of the Order. He gathers all the facts about it, trying to determine who is part of a secret society, what are its objectives and forms of action. It turns out that the members of the Order are made rich American family: the Rockefellers, Davidson, Payne, Harriman and others, which are under the control of key industries and large companies. Gradually expanding its sphere of influence in the institutions of power in the White House, the media, political and public organizations, in the judiciary and in the Church, the Order began to create their own foundations and think tanks, their research, advisory and power structures. Their rapid growth and advancement in the highest corridors of power, including the Council of State for International Relations, led to "the formation of transnational structures: the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergers, the Atlantic Council and the other where The Order originally took the place of honor. It is clear that this kind of organization are not just for fun. Their main goal - the widespread protection of their own interests, power control and preventive measures to avoid any danger to the welfare and prosperity of the members of the Order. Not to be unfounded, the author gives some specific examples of coordinated activities of Freemasons.

By the way, Anthony Sutton does not use the familiar word "Masons" for us. Perhaps because the concept is too broad and vague, and the history of the Order - the relationship is quite certain people and events associated with the American reality. One of the characteristics of a secret society, the exposure of Sutton, is its peculiar cult of "skull and bones". Therefore, the last book in the series called "Secret Cult of the Order." We will not go into the details of a detective investigation in the hope that sooner or later, the reader will get a complete translation of the book. Pay only attention to the fact that the cult of the "black Roger", who joined the Order from time immemorial, has obvious features of Satanism. Symbolic rituals and rules borrowed from various Masonic sources, particularly in the Illuminati, reliably described by the author on the basis of the Order of secret documents of the past and current century.

Christian Sutton could not disturb the fact that this Satanism implanted in American society is already starting from school. In the book "How to Order controls the formation of" he detailed how the effect of a secret society for young people, and more - on a public means of formation of outlook. Sutton traces the continuity of the Order to the European Masonic education system, outlines the structure of its relations with international cultural organizations such as UNESCO, and also points to the penetration of the Order in all the pores of the American education system, including even the Church.

Research by Anthony Sutton, "How the Order is organizing the war and the revolution," came out in the third series, but it is without a doubt can be considered final. It summarizes the findings of all the previous books, and provides answers to the key questions of our time. First of all, Sutton explains the methodology of his research. The fact that in previous books he mentioned in passing, by the way, is put at the forefront. It is a dialectical approach to the historical process. Hegel's dialectics the author uses the analysis of the strategy and tactics of "organized conflict." European countries, Russia and Germany - in the political mechanisms of the Order, as a lens to act as a subject-organizer. Sutton shows that the financing of the Bolshevik revolution and the Soviet economy was a kind of a strategic "thesis." "Antithesis" of the Nazis became support. Collision of two dialectical opposites, or rather their mutual destruction during the Second World War, led to a "synthesis" victory "third force" to settle the conflict with beneficial effects for themselves. Sutton said that the main purpose of such collisions is to establish a "New World Order", where the Order will take the lead. This scheme, derived as a result of fifteen years of research and confirmed by indisputable facts, are graphically displayed on the page 33. It is also important to note that the theory of "managed conflict", has long been known politicians as the Roman principle of divide et impera («divide and conquer"), after the end of the world war Medal used repeatedly: in China, Angola, the Middle east. Nowadays, it is used in the former Yugoslavia and on the ruins of the Soviet Union.

Why we have chosen to publish in Russian is the latest book by Anthony Sutton? Because this book - about us. That Russian people have become the main victim of conspiracy of the Order. As a result of this conspiracy, Russia has lost more than 100 million people. Only in the war with Nazi Germany, the Soviet Union natravlennoy Masons, were killed in the ground about 26 million of our fathers and grandfathers. And what Russian does not appear on the light? How many babies killed in the womb of fear to give birth in the current difficult circumstances? Destroy entire classes and class. Nation beheaded and brought to the slave state. We all the time insists that most of all in the XX century, the Jews suffered. After the war, the Zionists with their brothers from Wall Street built a prosperous State of Israel, and which turned out to be Russian? Until now, any attempt to organize resistance to the genocide of the Russian people is classified by officials as "fascism" and "Nazism". Is this not yet another mockery of us?

Resentment can not cram the Russian people at the opening of such facts. He can not and do not ask ourselves: How did the earlier one did not know the terrible truth? Really it was a secret known only to a circle of the Order? No, I know. Many people in the West know about the Russian tragedy. Moreover, they are not silent, they talked about it in public, the press wrote, in his memorable testimonies and studies. Just for whom?

Only in the last few years before we began to reach the truth about the extent of Russian catastrophe. Someone was very interested in the fact that we are about it, or do not know anything or know as little as possible and as late as possible. In the West - it was known to all, and we have - nothing. An interesting pattern, does not it?

In the United States alone America today there are more than two hundred centers specializing in Russia. This academic institutions with extensive scientific structure, private foundations created by the Americans and Russian immigrants, social organizations religious and educational nature, analytical group at the security services and all kinds of businesses, it is finally a network of university departments, with independent status and the leading scientific research. From this powerful intellectual systems out hundreds of books, dozens of specialized magazines and thousands of articles on the history of Russia and its economy, the system of political power, the outstanding Russian scientist and statesman. Hundreds "Russianists" "sovietologists" "Kremlinologists" and other specialists are read sea our daily literature, basically, of course, non-artistic content. Studied, analyzed, translated Mountains materials which, after the necessary treatment form that has long called Sovietological school. When we banned literature of the White movement, the West opened funds almost each of his figure. When the Soviet censors withdrew from all public sources, in addition to orthodox Marxist-Leninist, the West came out of all the works of repressed associates of Vladimir Ilyich, and along with a few fundamental studies about him. When, after the Second World War in Russia all the "anti-communist elements" have been "neutralized", Vlasov appeared publications and archives in the United States. And so in everything that we have the subject of criticism and ideological struggle, in the west of the subject of extensive scientific study.

One can hardly say that all works perfectly sovietologists objective and that they give satisfactory answers to all questions. Most of them originally had a practical value. However, it is impossible not to recognize that the Soviet political science, mired in myth-making, considerably lagged behind overseas. For obvious reasons, the most important general works on the Russian twentieth century emerged in Europe and the US.

A significant part of these works belongs to our compatriots, fate caught up free abroad: the leaders of the White Movement of Denikin, Krasnov and Wrangel, philosophers Ilyin and Berdyaev Fedotov, historians and Zenkovsky Pushkarev, writers Solonevich and Solzhenitsyn. Some of Russian thinkers, such as Sorokin or Nabokov, integrated into Western life, have created their own schools.

Emigrant works gradually infiltrated from the West home, a book for a book, and here were read to the holes, fear of arrest photocopied, passed from hand to hand. In recent years, the patriots are not easily able to reprint much of emigre literature. But not all of the emigre heritage became the property of a modern Russian society: not yet reached the novels of General Krasnov, almost completely not developed circle of "fascist" literature, even those unknown to generalize the study as "Russia in the XX century" and Izmestieva "Novopokolentsy" Pryanishnikova.

There is no doubt that Western sovietology relied and continues to rely on the works of Russian immigrants. Books John Billington, Richard Pipes, Walter Laqueur, simply would not take place if they are not owned by Russian literature. In recent years, however, Americans are trying to conduct their own independent research. New immigrants as such Sinyavsky or Yanov, they are subordinate to the implementation of specific tasks, whether it's on the radio "Freedom", published by "seeding" or Russian subsidiaries "Rotary clubs."

Research by Anthony Sutton obviously fall out of this series. They are conceived in spirit much closer to the famous writings of Douglas Reed, Henry Ford and LN Kay. Exposing the secrets of an international conspiracy, Sutton objectively defends Russian interests.

You can not, for example, does not see the difference between the valuation of the key events of the XX century in Sutton and Walter Laqueur, the chairman of the International Scientific Council of the Center for Strategic and International Studies, a renowned expert in the German and Russian "fascism." In his book "Russia and Germany: Hitler's mentors" and "Black Hundred", published in Russian by the famous millionaire-internationalist George Soros, Laqueur is trying to convince the reader that the appearance of the Nazis are guilty of the alleged Russian. Sutton proves otherwise: Hitler nurtured the West to use it to start a war against the USSR. Strictly documented arguments Sutton deal a powerful blow and doubtful speculation Rezun, GRU defector from the CIA, shamelessly used as an alias name of our national hero - Generalissimo Suvorov. Rezun in its series of books that have been abandoned with the West in Russia, developing its own hypothesis that Stalin used Hitler as a battering ram

against Western Europe, it is in the "Icebreaker" and in the documentary novel "Day-M", which describes the beginning of the Second World War, manipulated the facts to accuse the Russian conquest in the plans and to lay the blame on us for the tragedy from which we are most affected.

After the release of the 1992 documentary collection JL Dyakov and T.S. Butuevoy with bright, but in the sense of the unfortunate name of "Nazi sword forged in the USSR", the modern community met with sensational materials about the secret collaboration of the Red Army and the Reichswehr in 1922 - 1933 years. Documents stored for 50 years under the "top secret", confirm the fact that the Soviet-German military cooperation, but we are in them is not a "conspiracy" of Stalin and Hitler, and on cooperation with the armed forces of the Red Army of the Weimar Republic. It turns out that in the Soviet Union, bypassing the Treaty of Versailles secretly built and operated the Soviet-German factories, airfields, armored and aviation schools. Actively carried out the program by military experts exchange. After Hitler came to power in 1933, these relations were sharply reduced. By 1935, they all came to naught, and got stronger Reichswehr converted into the Wehrmacht, reoriented in their contacts in the north-west of the country. The key to unlocking the mysteries of the physical destruction of the whole of the High Command of the Red Army, was trained in Germany, and the officer corps, one way or another associated with the secret program, to be found in connection with these events.

Western strategists were quick to take advantage of the contradictions that arose between the Nazis and the Bolsheviks. When Germany began to act more aggressively in Europe and Japan in the East of England policy, France and the United States formally declared a "non-intervention" policy actually began condone militaristic sentiments and provoke a world war.

Stalin solved the criminal plans of international instigators. "In the non-interference policy, he wrote in March 1939, - reveals an eagerness, a desire not to throw the aggressors do their dirty work, not interfere with, say, Japan to get involved in a war with China, and even better with the Soviet Union, not to interfere with, say, Germany get caught up in European affairs, to get involved in a war with the Soviet Union, to all the participants of the war bogged down deep in the mire of war, to encourage them in this secret, give them to weaken and exhaust one another, and then, when they have become weak enough, to speak at the scene with fresh strength, to speak, of course, "in the interests of peace," and the enfeebled belligerents dictate their conditions. And cheap and cute! "

Less visionary Stalin was in relation to the German National Socialists. The pact "Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact", concluded in order to avoid the war between Germany and Russia, ultimately was used by Hitler for their own benefit. By ensuring the security of its own borders in the areas adjacent to the Soviet Union, the Nazis first took possession of most of Europe, and only then, with the rear of traditional allies and neutralized opponents, treacherously attacked our country. There can be no doubt that the aggressive, predatory, imperialist war is in fact Germany was directed mainly against the Russian and Slavic, and astride them against "Judeo-Bolshevism" - in particular. It was a war for the new living space, which the Nazis sought initially in the East. International backstage skillfully played the "Hitler card" as a trump, managing to push their main opponents, the Soviet Union and the Third Reich, in a bloody, vzaimoistrebitelnoy slaughterhouse.

The special sound book Sutton gains due to the fact that it comes in the year of the 50th anniversary of the victory of Russian arms over Nazi army. It was a "Pyrrhic victory", for its price - the death of almost a whole generation of people. It was not just a war against the Germans, it was a war against the Germans "until the last Russian." In connection with the anniversary of the mass media developed an unprecedented propaganda campaign, which aims to speak, to swing, to disguise the true reasons for the war. Based on the worldwide scale and abundance of sponsors in this political show - the same overseas director. One of the paradoxes of this anniversary is that the former allies of the USSR anti-Hitler coalition gave an interpretation of the role of Stalin, after which it had to retroactively withdraw from Yalta of Churchill and Roosevelt, which was confirmed even in one of the modern sculpture. This fake performance does not embarrass local guides mondialist policy. Yegor Gaidar and Yuri Afanasiev made in printing with special articles, which explained bewildered public that the US victory in World War II and this is our victory and Stalin deserves only condemnation, because he was more "fascist" than Hitler.

Any facts can be interpreted in such a way and that. Any objective contradictions can be interpreted in its own way and turn it to their advantage. However, you can not hide the obvious. "Anti-fascists" and Lacker Rezun and their local allies, like Gaidar Afanasyev, deliberately ignore the role of international banks for the war, and Sutton, which can not be suspected of pro-Russian sentiments, considers this a crucial role. In recent years, too, there are publications, which tells about the springs of power in Russia, Masonic organizations and international government plans but the introduction of a "new world order". Basically it is a book of researchers, writing in the

first half of this century: Alexei Shmakov, Alexander Selyaninov, Arthur skull-Spiridovich, Nikolay Markov 2nd, Vasily Ivanov, Gregory Klimov. Short-run, semi-clandestine editions or even photocopies and photocopies, they are difficult, but the reach of inquisitive minds. They began to leave and the work of contemporary writers who took the older generation the baton and continue to expose the enemy's intentions. Many are already known publications Nikolai Yakovlev, Fatteya Shipunova, Anatoly Kuzmich, Alexander Ivanov, Oleg Platonov, Alexander Dugin, Igor Dyakov. In a recently released book "Secret forces in the history of Russia" Academician Runners gathered and commented on all the key documents proving the existence of an international anti-Russian conspiracy.

The mystery of the twentieth century gradually revealed to the Russian people. This gives hope for the possibility of our Renaissance. We do not need conspiracies, secret rulers and their agents, the overseers. We need truth and freedom.

Foreword

History of the Order can be understood only in terms of the Hegelian dialectic. Simply put, we argue that the conflict creates history.

From this it follows that controlled conflict can manage history. For example, when the Trilateral Commission discusses another managed conflict carefully developed in the literature, it implies the use of the conflict with well-defined far-reaching goals, and not for the circumstances of any control.

The dialectic raises the Trilateral Commission-controlled conflict is much higher. According to Hegel, every action (thesis) is opposition (antithesis). The conflict between the two acts (thesis) results in the synthesis.

Synthesis, which seeks the establishment, called the New World Order. Without controlled conflict this new world order will be. Random acts of individuals will not lead to the desired synthesis in society. It is programmed, it is necessary to create. And it creates with the help of managed carefully calculated conflict. That is why the international bankers supported both German Nazis and the Soviet Union and North Vietnam and North Korea, the worst enemies of the United States. Clash of the warring parties to each other, not only gave immediate benefits. Even more important it is that the world is therefore moved closer to One World

Government. This process continues today.

We apologize for the poor quality of some important documents included in the book. These are the best copies that exist today. Surprisingly, they all survived. For example, the letters between the "patriarch" Amos Pinchot (Club D.95) and "patriarch" by William Kent (Club d.85) probably would have been destroyed if the State of New York, the Commission did not use these documents for investigation of subversive activities in the United States .

But even in places where it is difficult to accurately determine the content, the mere presence of even fragmentary text proves something important: the reality of calculated attempts to "Patriarchs" together to achieve their own goals. The different nature of the conflict in the letters commented "Patriarchs" and can only be explained in terms of the Hegelian dialectic.

Therefore, the existence of the letters for ourselves is just as important as their content. The documents prove conclusively the existence of jointly planned action, ie the existence of a conspiracy.

Anthony C. Sutton, April 1984.

MEMORANDUM NUMBER ONE

Create a conflict and dialectical process

INTRODUCTION

The first volume of this series, "Introduction to the Order" describes in general terms the nature and purpose of the Order.

Our hypothesis is that the United States managed by an elite secret society, was reinforced by documentary evidence: a society does exist, belonging to it is hidden and the recognition of this does not happen voluntarily. After the publication of the first volume of the Yale University Library, which was a major part of the

documentation, the researchers subsequently refused admission to the papers "Russell Trust" (the official name of the Order).

We also stated in the first volume that the actions of the Order should be considered and explained in terms of Hegel's dialectical process. Another philosophy of these actions can not be explained, so can not be called the Order of the "right" or "left", secular or religious, Marxist or capitalist. The Order and its objectives are fully and so, and others in these pairs of concepts.

In the philosophy of the political "right" Hegel conflict and political "Left", or, according to Hegel, thesis and antithesis, is essential to the progressive movement of history and historical change themselves. The conflict between thesis and antithesis results in synthesis, that is, to the new historical situation.

Description of the history of the world in the West and in Marxist countries consists of the description and analysis of the political limits of the "right" or "left." For example, a historical work, published in the West, looks at communism and socialism through the eyes of a financial capitalism or Marxism. The historical work, created in the Soviet Union, the West looks only Marxist eyes. However, there is another kind of historical analysis that has never (as far as we can tell) is not used; namely the application of the Hegelian logic in order to determine whether the use of the elite who control the State, the dialectical process to create a pre-planned historical synthesis.

only faint glimpses of this method can be found in today's history books. Most manifested it in the book of the late Carroll Kvingli "Tragedy and Hope", which we quote below. Few politicians in the periphery of the power elite allows himself a little to understand the company. For example, President Woodrow Wilson made a frank statement: "Some of the largest US actors in the field of commerce and manufacture knows that there is a force, so organized, it is difficult to identify, complete, penetrating, it would be better to talk to them in a low voice when they condemn it" .

Who or what is this power? And how is it used?

We affirm that the modern world the situation is intentionally created with the power elite through the manipulation of "right" and "left" elements. We also affirm that the most powerful of the world's elite for 100 years has created a "right" and "left" elements to create the ultimate New World Order.

Without a doubt, the so-called American establishment is using "managed conflict" for their own purposes. The practice of controlled crises openly acknowledged, for example, in the documents of the Tripartite Commission. Moreover, it is indisputable that decisions about war and peace are taken by individuals from among the elite, but not many. There are no polls or referenda. In this book, we consider some of the main conflict resolution adopted by individuals from among the members of the Order, as well as how deliberately created the "right-left" of the situation, is then adjusted to the conflict in order to achieve synthesis.

Later, we associate these decisions and actions of the elite, and particularly with the Order.

How does the dialectical process

Over the past two hundred years, with the advent of Kant in German philosophy, we can identify two conflicting systems of philosophy, and also the opposite idea of the state, society and culture. In the United States, the British Commonwealth and in France philosophy is based on the individual and individual rights. While in Germany since the time of Kant, Fichte and Hegel through until 1945 it was the core of the philosophy of universal brotherhood, the denial of individualism and a complete rejection of Western classical liberal thought in all its aspects. German idealism was a philosophical basis for the works of Karl Marx and the Left Hegelians, as well as the Bismarck, Hitler and Hegelian right. It's a paradox, but it is a fact. Most German revolutionary movements stem from a single source. And Marx and Hitler philosophical roots in Hegel.

From Hegel's system of political thought, alien to most of Western society, there are such absurdities as, for example, look at the state as a "movement of God through history". It is argued that the state is God too. With only duty of a citizen is to serve God by serving the state. What is the state - it is an absolute mind. What citizen can find freedom only honoring the state and obeying him. But, as we noted in one of our papers, "How The Order controls the formation of" Hegelian absurdities thoroughly infiltrated in the US education under the pressure of a number of large organizations such as the National Association but education and culture.

From this system of Hegelian philosophy it implies that all historical events are the result of the conflict between the opposing forces. Any idea or to follow the idea can be considered as a thesis. This thesis will inevitably lead to

the emergence of opposing forces (antithesis). The final result will be neither one nor the other, and the synthesis of the two conflicting forces.

Karl Marx in his "Capital" saw capitalism as thesis and antithesis of communism as. But that was completely ignored by historians, including Marxists, it is the fact that any clash between these forces can not lead to a capitalist or a communist society. It will lead to the synthesis of these conflicting forces. This is Hegel's logic. Moreover, this synthesis will reflect the concept of the state as God, where the individual is completely subordinated to him.

What then is the function of the Hegelians for parliament or congress? These institutions should be simple to the person able to feel that their opinion has some value, and the government was able to take advantage of random displays of some wisdom, "peasant". As Hegel said, "because of this participation, personal freedom and vanity, with his identity public opinion may seem noticeably effective and can feel satisfied that they mean something."

War, organized by the conflict, according to Hegel, is only the visible outcome of the clash of ideas. As he wrote John Dewey, a fierce fan of Hegel, in the modern educational system, "War is the most effective preacher, vanity almost all finite interests, it ends with the selfishness of the individual, pushing her and her family to do anything to defend character to life and property" (John Dewey, "German philosophy and politics," p. 197).

Of course, this bellicose statement Dewey omitted for the sake of convenience, the National Association of Education, which is now occupied by "peace movement." To miss a once in a while, when it is in full motion helps Hegelian Soviets.

Hegelian doctrine - it is rather the divine right of states than the divine right of kings. State for Hegel and the Hegelians is God on earth: "God's movement in the history of this question is the existence of states. The basis of their power of the mind, manifesting as the will. Any state, whatever it may be, involved in the approval of the divine essence. The state is not a matter of human hands. Only the mind can create it ("Philosophy of Law").

For Hegel, the identity of nothing. She has no rights. Its morality is only to follow the leader. For ambitious person rule is saying Senator Mansfield: "To succeed, we must move on." Compare this to the letter and spirit of the United States Constitution: "We the people, give some power to the state, and all other reserve power to the people." According to the US Constitution, separation of church and state, which denies Hegel, according to which "the state is God on earth." But compare is a necessary condition to the actions of the Order in the United States, the "Group" in England, in Germany, the Illuminati and the Politburo in Russia. For these selected state above all else, and self-proclaimed elite that controls the state to act truly as God on earth.

J. P. Morgan uses a dialectical process

Hegel's concept is clearly beyond the comprehension of modern compilers of textbooks. None of the known theoretical books on the history or politics does not discuss the possibility of using the Hegelian dialectic in American politics. However, its use noted by Professor Carroll Kvingli in his book "Tragedy and Hope", which included the Council on Foreign Relations documents. Kvingli not only describes how the banker JP Morgan used the right and left as levers for political manipulation of society, but also opens the eyes Fomam Unbelievers: "Unfortunately, we are not able to cover here the great and unknown history, but it should be remembered that what we say, It is only part of a much larger ("tragedy and hope", str.945).

This "much more" kind of opens up a real book. Please note briefly as JP Morgan used the dialectical process as a means of political control for fiscal purposes. The only higher education institution in which he studied Morgan 2-3 years in the mid-1850s, was the University of Göttingen in Germany, is the center of activity Hegelians.

We have no information on the participation of Morgan's in some secret society or "Konkneypanten" tamoshnem student society. However, the influence of German Hegelianism clearly emerges in the JP Morgan approach to political parties - Morgan used them all.

Kvingli writes: "Association between Wall Street and the left, which is a striking example of Mike Streit, are in fact a continuation of association between the bank and Morgan left. To Morgan all political parties were simply organizations that should be used and maintained firm contacts with all the camps. Morgan himself, Dwight Morrow and the other partners were allied with the Republicans; Russell S. Leffingwell teamed up with the Democrats; Grayson Murphy with extreme right-wing and left-wing Thomas V. Lamont. Morgan pays attention to libraries, museums, art in general and in this case shows a complete inability to distinguish between loyalty to the United States from loyalty to England. He is involved in charity work among the poor, it supports various, sometimes conflicting, political initiatives. This approach to domestic policy came from the founder of the firm of

George Peabody (1795-1869). It is thanks to this initial figure used tax-exempt association. They were used to control these political games. This can be seen in different parts of America to this day (the so-called Peabody museum and library) ... "

Kvingli did not know about the connection between the firm of Morgan and other financial institutions in New York and the Order. He has published valuable exposure of the British establishment, known as the "Group". As we know from personal correspondence Kvingli, he suspected more than published, but similar to the exposure of the American elite in his plans not included. In his work "The Anglo-American Establishment" no names Harriman, Bush, Acheson, Whitney, even the name of the Stimson.

Therefore, we can, without leaving the scope of the study correct, supplement the passage cited from the "Tragedy and Hope" mention of the Order. Then, does this passage becomes more understandable. Although Morgan himself was not a member of the Order, some of its partners, it consisted of, and after the death of Morgan firm was named "Morgan Stanley and Co". "Stanley" - is Harold Stanley (in the Order since 1908).

In the days of Morgan influence of the Order was carried out through P.Devisona Henry, whose son, JP Davison, Jr., entered the Order in 1920. Senior Davison took the firm Morgan Thomas laumontite and Willard Strait. These people were involved in the creation of the left wing of the dialectic of Morgan, which included the Communist Party, USA (Julian Hammer led him thus Hammer, whose son Armand led the company "Occidental Petroleum")

Morgan partner Thomas Korchan was admitted to the Order in 1904. But most members of the Order can be found not among the partners themselves and in a network of affiliated companies controlled by Morgan. In such firms as "Guaranty Trust" and "Bankers Trust," apparently quite remote from JP financial center Morgan.

Practice Support Order "Right" and "Left" continues to this day. In 1984, for example, Averell Harriman (member of the Order since 1913) is the elder statesman of the Democratic Party and George Bush (the Order since 1948), Vice President, Republican leader mistakenly called "moderate" Republican Party wing (actually extremist) . In the center we have the so-called "independent" John Anderson, who actually receives from the elite big financial support.

Creating wars and revolutions

Manipulation of "right" and "left" even more powerful on the international level, where the "left" and "right" political structures are artificially created and collapse in the struggle for a world synthesis.

In school textbooks wars and revolutions are portrayed as more or less accidental clash of conflicting forces. The transition from the political negotiations to physical conflict occurs, according to these textbooks, after brave attempts to avoid war. Unfortunately, this is nonsense. Wars are always created deliberately. It creates specific people.

In Western books are huge gaps. For example, after World War II tribunals created for the investigation of Nazi war criminals, carefully concealed any material on Western assistance to Hitler. In the same way Western textbooks on economic development of the Soviet Union omit any description of the economic and financial assistance provided by the revolution of 1917 and the subsequent economic development of this revolution on the part of Western firms and banks.

Revolutions are always portrayed spontaneous acts of politically or economically oppressed against the autocratic state. In Western books you will not find evidence that the necessary funding for the revolutions that the source of funding, in many cases, is Wall Street.

Therefore, we can say that our Western history just around distorted, having been heavily censored and generally useless, as the history of Nazi Germany, the Soviet Union or Communist China. No Western institution is not to subsidize research on these topics. A few Western scholars even survive if these topics will be engaged. And, of course, no major publisher is not easily accept a job, which discusses such issues.

In fact, there are mostly unwritten story, which tells about the events that are totally different from those described by our textbooks sterilized. It tells the story of the deliberate creation of wars, revolutions, knowingly financing for changes of government and the use of conflict to establish a New World Order.

Further, the memorandum number two, we will describe the operational tools applied to create two revolutions and a world conflict. In a memorandum of three and four, we examine the thesis and antithesis in an important historical episode, namely, in the establishment and formation of the Soviet Union (thesis) and Nazi Germany (the antithesis).

In a memorandum to the five we examine the continuation of this dialectical conflict in the last few decades by the example of today's Angola and China. We show that the purpose of the Order is to create a new synthesis, a New World Order on the Hegelian model where the state built into an absolute, and the person is the one and only opportunity to become free in full and blind submission to the totalitarian state.

MEMORANDUM NUMBER TWO OPERATIONAL MEANS TO CREATE CONFLICT general opinion

Our first task is to refute the almost universal belief that the Communists and the capitalist elite implacable enemies. This Marxist axiom fake, which for decades were fooled both scientists and researchers.

We illustrate the following statements. Consider a report on the revolutionaries in the United States, compiled a respected London by Scotland Yard in 1919. While London politicians followed the Bolshevik revolution and tried to identify those who support it in the West. When it came to men with long beards and even longer coats, there were no problems. These people look like revolutionaries, so they had to be revolutionaries. But when it came to a respectable bankers in dark suits, Scotland Yard was unable to rise above their level of thinking and recognize that bankers can also be revolutionaries. Listen to what they say in the passage of the intelligence report of Scotland Yard (a copy is kept in the US State Department, № 316-22-656): «Martin is a very well-known personality. It is precisely linked to the "Guaranty Trust Company." It is surprising that such a respectable and influential association deals with the Bolshevik concern. "

Scotland Yard has received precise information about the close connection of the Soviets with the "Guaranty Trust 'in New York, but the British could not believe it and stopped the study because this version.

Even today, the FBI thinks well. For example, David Rockefeller met regularly with a KGB agent in the United States. Weekly joint lunches where seemingly further. But the FBI can not bring himself to look at the David Rockefeller as a potential Soviet agent. However, if some of Joe Smith of Hoboken (New York) weekly meetings with KGB agent, be sure the FBI would take his trail. And, of course, our domestic US Marxists considered completely impossible, inconceivable. No one in the head could not come to support the capitalist communism.

Organizations such as Scotland Yard and the FBI, as well as almost all scientists who rely on the researchers in their work, have a very significant drawback: they consider the well-known historical facts verifiable from the already well-established stereotypes. They convince themselves that they already have an objective judgment on this issue even before the problem indicated.

The key to understanding the facts of modern history are the following: the elite has a close working relationship with both Marxists and Nazis. It remains only to establish the personalities and motivations. A common reaction to this is the desire to simply discard these facts. But, on the other hand, only one national security require that we recognize the existence of these unwanted relationship, our way of life is not caused even more damage.

In this memorandum we will present the concept that world history around 1917 reflects deliberately created conflict, the purpose of which - a new synthesis, a New World Order.

Global operation began actually before 1917. In subsequent volumes we will look at the Spanish-American War and the Boer War in 1899. The first was organized by the Order, that is, the US elite, and the second - "the Group", that is, the elite of the English (with some US assistance). We would have the right to name their first and second Hegelian war, but that's another story. Now we restrict ourselves to the coming to power of Hitler in Germany, and the formation of a Marxist state in the Soviet Union. The clash between these two powers, or political systems, and was the main cause of the Second World War.

After her world arena has changed. Since 1945, against each other were the United States and the Soviet Union. The first dialectical clash led to the formation of the United Nations, that is, the elementary step on the road to world government.

The second - the creation of the Trilateral Commission, ie to the regional groupings, and, in a more sophisticated level, attempts to bring to the United States and the Soviet Union merger.

In the "Introduction to the Order", we have established the existence of this secret society. Now we will show how the Order has created and developed two global leverage to create Hegelian conflict.

Since 1917 the operational funds for the maintenance of this global battle were:

- a) "Guaranty Trust Company" in New York - the same firm, reported in the Scotland Yard report in 1919, and
- b) "Brown Brothers Harriman," private bankers in New York. Until 1933, "Brown Brothers, Harriman," consisted of two companies: "U. Harriman Company" and "Brown Brothers". Many members of the Order consisted of both companies, but one person stands above all others as a key figure in the actions of the Order: W. Averell Harriman (member of the Order since 1913).

The name William Averell Harriman [About 100 relating to it in this context, the documents dated from the 1920s, can be found in the US State Department №№ 861.6364-6461.] Appears on the background of global political events more frequently than the name of any other member of the Order. Perhaps this is because Harriman is a man of extraordinary activity. Born in 1891, graduated from Yale University in 1913, he is still worthy of a mention in the press in the 1980s. In June 1983, Harriman had a private meeting with Yuri Andropov in Moscow, and in December of the same year, at the age of 92, he broke his right leg while swimming in the sea in Barbados. What would we do here may be said about Averell, we must celebrate it truly remarkable energy and longevity.

However, in official biographies Harriman Order, "Skull and Bones" or "Russell Trust" are not mentioned. Like other members of the Order, Harriman carefully concealed his membership in it from the public. We do not yet know whether aware of it ever FBI. although such knowledge is necessary when checking a person's biography parts occupying government posts. Maybe the FBI simply has not found time to require background checks specifically Harriman.

To understand Averell Harriman, we should go back to his father Edward Harriman X. "robber barons» XIX century. "Rented" Biography of Edward Harriman clearly. It was written by George Kennan (published by Houghton Mifflin in 1922), who worked in the State Department under Dean Acheson. The author of the famous (some say "infamous") 68 document issued by the National Security Council, was none other than George Kennan.

Edward Harriman started work at age 14, having little education, but he married Mary Averell, the daughter of a New York banker and railroad president's campaign. At 22, Harriman bought a seat on the stock exchange in New York and was lucky enough, or clever in the campaign "Union Pacific" after the collapse of 1893.

Even widely accepted "Dictionary of American biography," he notes that Harriman was responsible for the combination to control the trade in (case 1904 of the "northern security"). His dubious financial activities earned him \$ 60 million. This led to an investigation committee "Interstate Commerce Commission". In this investigation Harriman mentioned as an example of how the railway can deplete the benefit of enriching members of the campaign. "

Harriman published securities with par value of \$ 80 million to expand the scope of their capture railways. This scam was conducted through the campaign "Kuhn, Loeb and K °», which means a simple thing: 60 million Harriman pocketed.

In short, Edward X. Harriman was simply a thief, a swindler and criminal, for criminal fraud with the conversion of \$ 60 million in cash from scratch is a crime. Harriman escaped prison, safely donate money to politicians and political parties. Biographer George Kennan describes how Harriman said in 1904 at the request of President Theodore Roosevelt in getting 250 thousand dollars for the Republican National Committee. (J. Kennan, *ibid*, p.192).

These funds were transferred to the Committee and the other lawyer Harriman Judge Robert Scott Lovett. The latter was also general counsel of the campaign "Union Pacific Rail Road", which can be considered the last Harriman. The son of a judge Lovett, Robert Abercrombie Lovett (member of the Order since 1918) attended Yale University, and together with his two sons Harriman, Averell and Roland, was admitted to the Order. Robert Abercrombie Lovett again appeared on the scene in 1950 as the Minister of Defense, a partner in the campaign, "Brown Brothers, Harriman," he appears as a key figure: it is through the President Harry Truman recalled General Douglas MacArthur in Korea. By itself, the Lovett family is not very significant. But in conjunction with the family Harrimans is power.

Anyway, 250 thousand dollars is unlikely to change the view of the Theodore Roosevelt Harriman. Two years after that gift in a letter to Senator Sherman Harriman describes Roosevelt as a man "deeply corrupt" as "undesirable citizen" and as "an enemy of the republic."

Another characteristic of his father Averell Harriman contained in a brief dictionary of national biography (str.402): "Self-confident, powerful, cold and ruthless, he spared neither friend nor foe, if they threw his plans."

We will not tolerate the sins of the father to his sons, but we should have this in mind, studying career Harriman sons, Averell and Roland. We have every right to suspect the worst.

Outwardly life Harriman completely different from the life of his father. Here's the official statement of a long career Averell Harriman:

Married three times:

- (1) 1915 Kitty Lanier Lawrence
- (2) Mary Norton Whitney in 1930
- (3) Pamela Churchill Hayward in 1971.

- Preparatory School in Grottone, then Yale University. Admitted to the Order in 1913.
- He joined his father's company, "Union Pacific Railroad".
- In 1917 he founded the shipbuilding company "Merchant Shipbuilding Corporation", sold all shares of the company in 1925
- In 1917, the director of "Guaranty Trust." Family contributions reach third. They were invested in the campaign JP Morgan in 1912.
- In 1920 he founded the "U.A.Harriman Company", in which his brother Roland was vice president.
- In 1923 he formed the manganese company in Georgia.
- In 1933, WA Harriman merged with the "Brown Brothers" and formed a company, "Brown Brothers, Harriman."
- 1934: Special Assistant to the Administrator in the "Act of national revival" Roosevelt. 1941: Minister of the UK headed the operations of Lend-Lease for Britain and Russia. 1941: Ambassador to the Soviet Union. 1946: Ambassador to the UK. 1946: Minister of Trade. 1948: US Ambassador to NATO on defense.
- 1950: Special Assistant to President Truman.
- year: the US ambassador to NATO on defense. 1951: Director of "Mutual Security Agency." 1955: Governor of the State of New York.
- 1961: Ambassador on common issues. 1961: Assistant Secretary of State, but the Far East. 1963: Deputy Secretary of State on matters of general policy.
- 1968: US Representative at the Paris "peace" negotiations on Vietnam.
- 1974: head of the ad hoc group of the Democratic Party's foreign policy.
- 1975: partner of a limited liability company, "Brown Brothers, Harriman."
- In 1983 he visited Yuri Andropov in Moscow.

You would think that with such a long global experience Harriman acquired in-depth knowledge, understanding, a sense of peace. But the reality of his works can only assume that he or pretty stupid, or one of the few people on the ground, leaving itself the most misleading. Here is an example. Article written

Averell Harriman and published in the journal "Onion" October 3, 1967 under the title "From Stalin to Kosygin: myths and reality", states:

1. "In the early twenties my firm participated loans to finance trade with Russia. Like others, we found that the new government is very careful to fulfill their financial obligations. "

In fact, the Soviets expropriated concession 20s, including Harriman owned, and usually without compensation. Harriman was deceived by the Soviets with its manganese company in Georgia, and then he was persuaded to

take in compensation of \$ 3 billion in Soviet banknotes (see. Document on pp. 60-61). This Soviet "compensation" actually put Harriman in the position of the person authorizing the first American loan to Russia in violation of United States law against such loans.

But what Harriman told John B. Stetson, Jr. of the Department of State (861.637 Harriman): "Mr. Harriman said that they will lose about \$ 3 billion, they will be written off as an experiment." And it Harriman calls "a very careful execution of their financial obligations."

2. "From the Russian side the biggest concern brings the myth that America is run by" rulers ", consisting of representatives of Wall Street and industrialists interested in the continuation of the Cold War and the arms race, to support the" capitalist "economy. Anyone familiar with American politics knows what nonsense. "

Unfortunately, the Russian right mainly in regard to the political aspects of this. By making such a statement, Harriman not only confirms the speculation Russian, but also deceives the audience readers "Bow", saying that they (the capitalists) are actually involved in the management of political affairs. Compare this statement with the acts of the Order, and you will see how the mind works Harriman sophisticated, not so much different from Harriman, Sr. methods.

From the above official biography Harriman can be assumed that, given the decades spent in the political kitchen, Harriman is well aware of the dependence of the Soviet Union on Western technology, and the fact that the Soviet Union could not develop its economy without them. So Stalin and said

Harriman in 1944. Here is an excerpt from the report of Ambassador Harriman in Moscow, the State Department on June 30, 1944:

"Stalin paid tribute for the assistance provided by the United States of Soviet industry before and during the war. He said that about two-thirds of all industrial enterprises in the Soviet Union were built with the help of the United States or with their technical assistance "(the original report to the US Department of State, file 033.1161).

Stalin could have added that the remaining one-third of Soviet industry was built by British, German, French, Italian, Finnish, Czech and Japanese companies. In short, Harriman, at least in 1944 had accurate information about the fact that the West was built in the Soviet Union. Now look at the official biography Harriman with its chain of assignments in NATO, a joint security agency, the State Department, foreign offices, and so on. In these posts Harriman actively fought for the strengthening of the military power of the United States. But if the Soviet Union was considered an enemy in 1947, we did not need to build massive defenses. We just could deprive him of his technology. Soviet was not the technology, and Harriman knew that the Soviets did not have the technology. Moreover, Harriman was at the forefront of those who advocated the slogan "more trade" with the Soviet Union, and trade - a means of technology transfer. In other words, while Harriman spent two opposing policies:

- a) the establishment of Soviet power by export of our technology and
- b) the establishment of the Western defense against that power.

Is not Hegelian dialectic? Thesis versus antithesis, then conflict, leading to a new synthesis. The following memoranda we will show how Harriman companions in the Brotherhood authorities have treated with this program create a conflict.

Moreover, Harriman is extremely sensitive, when questioned "sanctity" of its position as expressed in the phrase: "I am always right when it comes to the Soviets."

A memorable event occurred in 1971, when Edward (Teddy) Vayntal attended a dinner party with Harriman, who gave his usual phrase: "I first warned about the Soviet threat ..."

Vayntal interrupted. When collecting material for his book Vayntal found in the national archives documents mentioning Harriman, like us reproduced below. In particular, Vayntal quoted a telegram from the State Department February 12, 1944 from Harriman to Roosevelt. Vayntal said: "You claimed that convinced the Soviets intention not to establish a communist government in Poland."

Then the 79-year-old Harriman jumped up from the dinner table and waved his fists in the 70-year-old Vayntalya. Harriman shouted: "If you type something like this in his book, I'll break you to the jaw."

Said an excited host barely separate the two, but after that, as a correspondent of the newspaper "Washington Post" has learned the details of an argument (see. "Washington Post" on March 17, 1971 material Meksayna Cheshire).

"Guaranty Trust Company"

This company was founded in 1864 in New York. Over the next hundred years, the banking firm expanded rapidly, absorbing other banks and trusts; in 1910 it merged with "Morton Trust Company," in 1912, "Standard Trust Company," and in 1929 with the National Commercial Bank. Company J. P. Morgan effectively controlled the "Guaranty Trust" in 1912 when Mrs. Edward Harriman (mother of Roland and Averell) sold its portion of the 8000 shares of a total of 20,000, which it was necessary to pay JP Morgan. By 1954, "Guaranty Trust" became the most important company controlled by the bank JP Morgan, and the merged company became known in 1954 as "Morgan Guaranty Company."

The initial capital for the "Guaranty Trust" came from the Whitney family, the Rockefellers, and Vanderbilts Harrimans (all of them are represented in the Order). Mark also serves on the boards of family members of "Guaranty Trust" throughout the period under review. Harry Payne Whitney (member of the Order since 1894) inherited the two states of "Standard Oil" from family and Payne Whitney. G.P. Uitni was the director of "Guaranty Trust," as his father William S. Uitni (in the Order since 1863). Alfred Gwynne Vanderbilt (member of the Order since 1899) represented the Vanderbilt family until he drowned in a shipwreck "Lusitania" in 1915. (His sister Gertrude married said Harry Payne Whitney). The strength of the Order can be seen in the strange case where Gwynne Vanderbilt sat in New York on the "Lusitania" before its fateful voyage. Before departing "Lusitania" was taken on board a telegram in which Vanderbilt warns of the need to postpone the trip, but he did not get the telegram. And drowned with the steamer.

Attachments Harrimans in the "Guaranty Trust" were presented W. Averell Harriman and Rockefeller investment - Percy Rockefeller (member of the Order since 1900).

In short, the Order has been closely associated with the companies' Guaranty Trust "and" Morgan Guaranty "long before 1912, when Mrs. Edward Harriman sold her stake in JP Morgan. After the transfer of these shares Averell Harriman was in the Council of "Guaranty Trust." The following members of the Order were also part of the "Guaranty Trust Company" and its directors were:

Harold Stanley (member of the Order since 1908), in 1885 the birth of the son of William Stanley, inventor associated with the company "General Electric". Stanley prepared to Yale University in the school for the elite Hotchkiss, Lakeville, Conn. An outstanding athlete, Stanley graduated from Yale in 1908 and was admitted to the Order.

After Yale joined the National Bank of Albany, and then, between 1913 and 1915 year was with JG White, known for the compilation of the first five-year plan for the Soviet Union. In 1915, Stanley became the vice-president of the "Guaranty Trust." From 1921 to 1928 he was president of the company, and then a partner in the firm JP Morgan, replacing William Morrow. From 1935 until 1941 he was president of the "Morgan Stanley and K & O", then until 1955 a partner with limited liability until his death in 1963.

In short, a member of the Order was the vice-president and then president of the "Guaranty Trust. Company" from 1915 to 1928 - the years of the Bolshevik revolution and the ascent of Hitler to power in Germany.

Joseph R. His (member of the Order since 1902). "WARRANTY" was controlled by the "Guaranty Trust Company, and the president and the first was Joseph Rockwell His (the Order since 1902), he was also one of the directors of" Guaranty Trust. "

Percy Rockefeller (member of the Order since 1900), in 1878 the birth of the son of William D. Rockefeller (brother John D. Rockefeller). He inherited part of the capital of the company "Standard Oil". Percy was the director of "Guaranty Trust" from 1915 to 1930.

How to Order relates to the "Guaranty Trust Company and the" Brown Brothers, Harriman "

ORDER

"Guaranty Trust Company"

- Harold Stanley (in the Order since 1908)
- U. Murrey Crane (1904)

- P.Uitni Harry (1894)
- U.Averell Harriman (1913)
- Knight Woolley (1917)
- P.Shepard Frank (1917)
- Joseph P.Svoi (1902)
- Thomas Cochrane (1894)
- Percy Rockefeller (1900)

Partners after the 2nd World War

- H.Chittenden George (1939)
- William Redmond Cross (1941)
- Henry P.Devison Jr. (1920)
- Thomas Rodd (1935)
- Clement D.Dzhayl (1939)
- P.Devison Daniel (1949)

"Brown Brothers, Harriman" (formerly "WA Harriman")

- W. Averell Harriman (1913)
- E.Roland Harriman (1917)
- Ellerdzhi S.Dzheyms (1917)
- Ray Morris (1901)
- Prescott Sheldon Bush (1917)
- Knight Woolley (1917)
- Mortimer Seabury (1909)
- Robert A.Lovett (1918)

Partners after World War 2

- Eugene B. Stenson, Jr. (1934)
- Walter H.Braun (1945)
- Steven U.Hord (1921)
- Beckwith, John Madden (1941)
- Greyjoy K.Kostikyan (1929)
- Partner is not comprised in the Order Matthew S.Brash (32 ° Mason)

"Brown Brothers, Harriman"

This is another operating arm of the Order, a private banking firm. Until 1933, this arm was firm "WL Harriman Company" and the "Brown Brothers" has remained in the shadows. the combined company to continue the work, "Harriman Company" After 1933.

In the book "Introduction to the Order" we presented the details of combining these firms. There is, however, one aspect on which we would like to stay: the exclusive role graduated from Yale University in 1917, the firm "Brown Brothers, Harriman," and in the events described in the memorandum of three and four. We are talking about the next five, entered the Order in 1917 (a total of 15 people were taken):

Knight Woolley was in the "Guaranty Trust" from 1919 to 1920. In "Harriman Company" from 1927 to 1931., And then in the "Brown Brothers, Harriman," from 1933 to the present. Woolley was also the director of the Federal Reserve Bank.

Frank P. Shepard also joined the "Guaranty Trust" in 1919 and was its vice president from 1920 to 1934., That is, when developed and Soviet Russia and Hitler's Nazi Party. From 1934 onwards Shepard was in the "Bankers Trust Company", part of the Morgan group of banks.

Ellery Sedgwick James was a partner in the "Brown Brothers, Harriman."

And finally, two interesting personalities: Edward Roland Noel Harriman and Prescott Sheldon Bush, father of George Herbert Walker Bush, the current US vice president.

"Shill" ORDER OF MATTHEW C. BRUSH

In the period between 1 s World War and the mid-1930s, "frontman" Order in the company "Guaranty Trust" and the "Brown Brothers, Harriman," was Matthew C. Brush.

Brush was not a graduate of Yale University, nor a member of the Order, but after a chance meeting in 1890 his talents were used in the Order. Brush became a member of the Order of the franc-masons, freemason of the 32nd degree and templar, but as far as we can tell, has not been more closely linked to the center of power.

Brush was born in Stillwater, Minnesota, in 1877, and finished Armurksy Institute of Technology. By chance, the first of its occupation in 1890 was the site of a clerk in Chicago "Franklin McVeigh company. A member of the Order since 1862 Franklin McVeigh was a member of the US Treasury, and then the Minister of Finance (1909-1913). Under President William H. Taft (Member of the Order since 1878)

Face of McVeigh, unlike Brother, it is not so easy to identify, in 1913, he resigned from the Ministry of Finance and resigned as trustee of the University of Chicago. By 1919, he was involved in the non-payment of sums of money, "Russell trusts." We have a copy of the notice to pay debts, sent in 1919, the president of "New York Life" and the treasurer of the Order of Otto Bonnard (member of the Order from 1876) against McVeigh. The last notification is invited to pay their debt.

While McVeigh trail disappears after 1913, the former in his time as a clerk Matthew Brush continues to thrive. After he held several positions in railway companies, he became in 1918 Vice-president of the American International Corporation, and in 1923 its president. He was also chairman of the "Ekvitaybl Den Building", also known as 120 Broadway. (See. P.51).

Moreover, Brush was President of Barnsdall Corporation and Georgian Manganese Company in (we'll see how important these positions in the next memorandum).

In the meantime, we wanted to show how the two banking houses are controlled by members of the Order. Established members of the Order. More toga, both companies were founded by members of the Order. "Brown Brothers" company was absorbed only in 1933.

Now consider the evidence that these two banking firms have the means to create wars and revolutions.

MEMORANDUM NUMBER THREE THESIS: ORDER CREATES THE SOVIET UNION

About the book, published in 1974, we presented major evidence that Wall Street helped the Bolshevik Revolution. Mostly, money, weapons and uniforms, as well as diplomatic support in London and Washington. In his book "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" also expresses the idea described Kvingli that Morgan's financial capital and other paid all parties from left to right and, accordingly, influence them.

This memorandum continues the same story, but now links the Order with proof of participation in this Wall Street in the past.

Below we reproduce the map of the area of Wall Street and the list of firms located there. These firms were both associated with the Bolshevik Revolution and financed Hitler. Now we can install the truly overwhelming influence of the Order on these firms.

Companies associated in 1917 with the Order and located in the building 120 Broadway or in the vicinity:

- 120 Broadway - X. Edward Harriman (before his death)
- 59 Broadway - U.A.Garriman Company
- 120 Broadway - The American International Corporation
- 23 Wall Street - firm JP Morgan.
- 120 Broadway - Federal Reserve Bank of New York
- 120 Broadway - Bankers Club (upstairs)
- 120 Broadway - Thomas D.Techer ("Simpson Thacher and Bartlett")
- 14 Wall Street - William Boyce Thompson
- 120 Broadway - Guggenheim Exploration
- 15 Broadway - Stentson, Jennings and Russell
- 120 Broadway - RP Martin Weinberg and Posner (the first Soviet "ambassador")
- 110, 40th Street, a Soviet Bureau
- 60 Broadway office Amos Pinchot
- 120 Broadway - Stone and Webster
- 120 Broadway - GE
- 120 Broadway - Sinklear Gulf Corporation

Revolutionary activity was concentrated in the "Ekvitaybl Building Trust", 120 Broadway. It was the address of the EH Harriman. American International Corporation was located in the same building. Club, where Wall Street bankers met at lunch, was at the very top of the building. It is in this plush, club, William Boyce Thompson expounded plans to participate Wall Street in Russian Revolution of 1917. The firm "Guarantor Sekyuritiz" was here. A "Guaranty Trust" was next, at 140 Broadway (the building can be seen on the left of the house 120).

- 120 Broadway Guarantor Securities
- 140 Broadway - Guaranty Trust Company
- 233 Broadway - Anglo-Russian Chamber of Commerce

Individual members of the Order in the building 120 Broadway:

- George Adam Webster (in the Order since 1904)
- Allen Wallace Ames (since 1918)

- Philip Lyndon Dodge (1907)

Order in favor of helping the Soviet Army

We have a copy of a memorandum written by a member of the Order, which summed up the intentions of the Order in respect of the 1917 Bolshevik Revolution. The Memorandum was written by Thomas D. Techerom (Member of the Order since 1904), a partner in the on Wall Street law firm "Simpson Thacher & Bar-Lett." Address Thacher was 120 Broadway. Today, the law firm, now located on the Plaza BETTER, owns the largest building on Wall Street, and her partner is the former Secretary of State Cyrus Vance ("Scroll End Key").

In 1917, Thatcher was in Russia with the Red Cross mission William Boyce Tomis. After consultations in New York, Thacher was sent to London to negotiate with Lord Northcliffe of the Bolshevik revolution, and then to Paris for the same negotiations with the French government.

Memorandum Thacher not only insists on the recognition of the existing hard-Soviet government, which in 1918 controlled only a very small part of Russia, but also requires the provision of military aid to the Soviet Army and the implementation of the intervention, to prevent the penetration of the Japanese in Siberia, while the Bolsheviks did not prevail.

Here are the main points of the memorandum Thacher:

"First of all, ... allies must prevent the Japanese intervention in Siberia. Secondly, it should be given all possible assistance to the Soviet Government in its efforts to organize a volunteer revolutionary army. Third, the Allied Governments should give their moral support to the Russian people in their efforts to develop their own political system, free from the influence of any foreign power ... Fourth, as the norm until the end of open conflict between the German and Soviet governments, there will be the possibility of peaceful commercial penetration by German companies in Russia, as there is no break in relations between Germany and Russia is likely to be impossible to completely prevent such commercial activity. Therefore, you should take steps to obstruction of, as far as possible, the export of grain and raw materials from Russia to Germany ". (The full text of the document is stored in the US State Department 316.13.698).

The reader should pay special attention, in particular, the second sentence: "In the second place, should be given all possible assistance to the Soviet Government in its efforts to organize a volunteer revolutionary army." About this assistance referred to in my book "National Suicide: Military aid to the Soviet Union."

It was a covert policy adopted at the highest levels, in absolute secrecy, the United States and, to some extent, the "Group" (especially Milner) in the UK. Apparently Thacher negotiations with the French government did not bring much success.

When President Woodrow Wilson sent US troops to keep the Trans-Siberian Railway, he personally gave secret instructions to General William S. Greysu. We have not found these instructions (although we know that they exist), but a careful study of available records shows that American intervention had little to do with the anti-Bolshevik activities, as approved by the Board, George Kennan and other writers.

Councils were so grateful for American help in the revolution that in 1920, when the last US troops left Vladivostok, the Bolsheviks staged their friendly farewell.

That's what reported February 15, 1920 "New York Times":

"Vladivostok 1 February (Associated Press). Today is the second day the city celebrates the complete liberation from the power of Kolchak parades, street rallies and speeches. Red flags are flown on government buildings, many business establishments and homes.

There is a pronounced pro-American sentiment. Before the American headquarters revolutionary leaders climbed the stairs located in front of the building, and in their speeches called real friends the Americans, who at a critical moment saved the existing movement. People insist on a non-interference policy collaboration during the occupation of the city and for the assistance in the peaceful resolution of the situation. "

Typically, the messages do not agree with the line pursued by the establishment, jammed or radio services, or scribes in the major newspapers (small newspapers, unfortunately, follow the "New York Times"). This same message is passed unchanged.

In fact, the United States captured the Trans-Siberian Railway, and kept it up as long as the Soviets did not matured enough to control it. The English and the French military mission in Siberia, noted the unusual actions of the United States Army.

With regard to the aid of the Soviet Army, the State Department has evidence that the Bolsheviks were supplied weapons and equipment. And in 1919, when Trotsky spoke publicly to the anti-American speeches, he also requested Ambassador Francis to send US military inspection teams for training the new Soviet Army. (See. My book "National suicide" Aldington House, New York, 1974, as well as the "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution," *ibid*, 1974).

Order in favor of the Soviets in the United States

And yet it is in Washington and London, the Order has provided real help to the Soviets. Order could not only prevent military action against the Bolsheviks, but muddy the waters in politics, that, despite the official ban, it became possible to supply from the United States in Russia vital raw materials and products, and ultimately even loans.

From the following documents can be seen as members of the Order were able to maintain the Soviet ambitions in the United States. At that time, as the Department of Justice would send to Russia the so-called "red", within the US government acted far more powerful force to keep afloat barely rising to his feet the Soviet Union.

The following letter (see. P. 59), directed by Amos Pinchot (member of the Order since 1897). His brother George conservative Pinchot, was also a member of the Order since 1889. Amos Pinchot - the founder of the American Civil Liberties Union, he actively assisted the Soviets in the early days of the Bolshevik revolution. The letter illustrates this assistance. It was sent to a certain Santeri Nuorteva November 22, 1918, just a year after the 1917 revolution. In a letter Pinchot says that "he heartily sympathized Nuorteva views on the situation and the work that it performs."

Who was Nuorteva? This was another name Alexander Nyberg, the Soviet representative in the United States. Nyberg worked in the Soviet Bureau, which initially covered up the name "Finnish Information Office" together with Ludwig S.A.K.Martensom, first Soviet representative and former vice-president of the company, "Weinberg and Posner." The New York office of the company is located at 120 Broadway! Assistant Nyberg was Kenneth Durant, an American newspaperman, who then was a TASS correspondent in the United States and at one time was the adjutant, "Colonel" William House, a mysterious man Wilson administration. Director of the Trade Department in the Soviet Bureau was "Comrade Evans Clark". Clark later became executive director of the influential company «XX century faundeysn", and in it, in turn, we find a member of the Order in this case Charles Phelps Taft (in the Order since 1918), nephew of the President and Chief Justice William Howard Taft. In the future, it will be apparent that a significant role played in gegelizatsii American education, in collaboration with the Order «XX century faundeysn" Evans Clark.

Next document (p. 61) is devoted to a brief biography published by the Soviet Bureau, "Evans Clark comrade" in 1919 with his appointment as assistant director of the trade department of the Bureau with the task of establishing trade relations with the United States. Pay attention to the association at Harvard and Princeton.

Bureau of information about Soviet Russia 299 Broadway, Room 1812.

Statement April 19

"Comrade Evans Clark left his post of director of the research bureau of the Socialist Eldersanichesky delegation in New York and was appointed assistant director of the Bureau of the Trade Department of the representative of the Russian Socialist Federative Soviet Republic, with headquarters in the" Uorldz Taua Building "at 110 West 40 th Street .

Comrade Clark was a member of the Socialist Party in 1911 and was active in the labor movement in the United States. He graduated from college in Amherst, the University of Harvard and Kolambskuyu law school. He was an instructor on policy issues Priistonkom University and was one of the organizers of intercollegiate socialist society, the first president of which he was. Comrade Clarke will assist fellow Geller in the establishment of trade relations between the United States and Soviet Russia. " (A copy of the archives №1500 "Lusk commit", New York)

Trade was vital to the survival of the Soviet Union, in 1919 all Russian factory and transport stopped. There was no raw materials or skilled labor.

For help Evans Clark turned to the Order. May 29, 1919 Amos Pinchot wrote to a colleague, a member of the "Scully & Bonzo" and strong Republican William Kent to lift the blockade of the Soviets. William Kent (member of the Order since 1887) was in the United States Tariff Commission, and, in turn, wrote to Senator Lenrutu with a request to interview the "professor" Evans Clark. (Albert Kent, his father, was a member of the Order since 1853 and married the daughter of Thomas Thacher, a member of the Order from 1835 year).

In short, the two members of the Order of Pinchot and Kent together and presented unsuspecting senator known Bolshevik leader. Neither one nor the other member of the Order not reported senator Lenrutu about Clark belonging to the Soviet Bureau.

How to Order developed backward Soviet Union

In the period between 1917 and 1921, the Council extended its control in Russia to Siberia and the Caucasus. As we have noted, the United States intervened in Siberia along the Trans-Siberian Railway. In versions of the Soviets and George Kennan about US intervention stated that it was anti-Soviet. In fact, there was nothing like that. US troops deployed only to keep out the backbone of the Japanese, but not tips. When the Americans went through Vladivostok, the Soviet authorities staged a noisy farewell to US troops. But this is another Untold Story, which is not in the textbooks.

Before the Soviets there was immediate problem: the recovery of non-Russian factories. This required raw materials, technically skilled workforce and working capital. A key role in the restructuring of the Russian Caucasus oil fields played. They constituted the main part of Russia's natural resources. The most important field in Baku was developed in the 1870s. In 1900, it provided more than crude oil than the United States, and in 1901 more than half of the crude oil produced in the world. Oil fields of the Caucasus have experienced the revolution and intervention without much structural damage, and became an important factor in the recovery of the Soviet economy, in terms of value producing about 20 percent of total exports, and it was the single largest source of foreign exchange.

The Bolsheviks took over the Caucasus in 1920-1921 years., But by 1923 oil drilling almost ceased. During the first year of Soviet rule "... no new wells did not produce oil" (US State Department, 316-137-221), or even two years after the Soviet occupation was not the discovery of new oil fields. In addition, deepening old wells virtually ceased. As a result, they entered the water, and the flow of crude oil turned into nowhere suitable mixture of oil and water. Objective indicators show poor state of maintenance of oil fields, their operation and performance. Statistics gives a picture of total collapse after the arrival of the Soviets. In 1900, Russia was the world's largest producer and exporter of crude oil. required to drill almost 50,000 feet per month to maintain this level of production in one Baku. By the beginning of 1921, the average monthly drilling dropped to about 370 feet (this is 0.7% of the level in 1900), while 162 rigs were in operation.

Then the chairman of the "Azneft" (the Soviet trust oil production) Serebrovskii proposed recovery program in an article in the newspaper "Pravda". In 1923 it was planned to increase oil drilling to 35,000 yards per year (245,000 feet). To do this would require 35 turbodrills (for drilling 77,000 feet) and 157 hammer drill (for drilling 130,000 feet). Serebrovskii stressed that "Azneft" has no turbodrills and that Russian industry can not deliver them. A drilling using turbodrills was essential for the successful implementation of this plan.

Further, he wrote: "And it is in this American capital will support us. The American company "International Barnsdall Corporation" submitted a plan ... lack of equipment does not allow us to increase production of the Baku oil industry on their own. ... The American firm will supply equipment, will start drilling in the oil fields and organize oil deep pumps in technical terms ... (" Pravda ", September 21, 1922).

In the next few years, said Serebrovskii company together with "MANUFACTURING Lucy" and other companies, equipment manufactures for foreign oil fields, execute the program as outlined in "Pravda". The equipment was imported from the United States in large quantities. It was put into operation turbodrilling program, drilling crews "Azneft" familiar with their operational issues, oil production was reorganized by drilling deep wells with electric pumps.

The first concession was signed in October 1921, and then two more agreements were signed in September 1922. There is no doubt that "Barnsdall" actually worked on these agreements. The "Pravda" reported the direction of a group of American oil companies in the oil industry, and a few months before the United States consulate in Istanbul transferred, that a representative of the Caucasus Philippe Chetburn proceeded on the way from Russia. In the archives of the US Department of State provides an entertaining excerpt from remarks Rykov in October 1922: "In a relatively bright spot in the Russian oil industry is, and this is largely due to the fact that a number of US workers arrived at the oil fields to monitor their work." (US Department of State, microforms 316.107.1167).

Who or what was behind the company "Barnsdall Corporation"?

Chairman of the company was Matthew S.Brash, which we have previously defined as a "figurehead" of the Order. The company owned the "Guarantee Trust", "Lie", "Harriman and Company U.A.Garri-man" and "International Barnsdall Corporation" 75% owned by the company "Barnsdall Corporation," and 25% "H.Mayson Day" .

Interests "Guaranty Trust" was presented by Eugene V. Stetsonom (also Vice-President of "Guaranty"), whose son Eugene V. Stetson Jr. was admitted to the Order in 1934. Interests "Lee Hshtinson" were presented by Frederick Winthrop Allen (Member of the Order since 1900). In short, the Order of the controlled company "Barnsdall Corporation."

Another potentially the largest source of foreign currency by the Soviets in the 1920s there were large deposits of manganese in Russia. In 1913, Tsarist Russia supplied 52 ° 0 global manganese exports, about 76% of which, or one million tons was mined from deposits of Chiatura in the Caucasus. Production in 1920 was zero, and by 1924 it rose to only about 320,000 tons per year. The main problem was that "primitive equipment which was considered extremely inappropriate, even at pre-war standards, seriously hindered the further development."

Chiatura deposit, located on the high plateau, and at a considerable distance from Batum, developed a primitive way, the ore was transported by donkey from the mountains to the railways. Along the way, the track width change, and manganese had overloaded between the start of loading and port. Already in the port of ore transferred buckets. It was a slow and expensive process. Tips purchased modern equipment to develop its manganese deposits and transportation, received foreign

currency and finally shattered America's foreign policy with respect to loans to the Soviet Union a series of business agreements with "UA Harriman and Company "Guaranty Trust." (The interested reader is referred in this respect to the more than 300-Strat-Border documents the US State Department 316-138-12 / 331, as well as the archives of the German Foreign Ministry. The full text of the contract was issued, "the Supreme Council of Public Education" entitled "Agreement concession between the government of the USSR and "WA Harriman & Co." in New York. "Moscow, 1925).

July 12, 1925 the agreement was signed. It included the concession for the operation of Chiatura manganese deposits and the development of the extensive use of modern methods of its production and transportation.

(Letter to the US State Department ambassador in London. 861.637 / 1)

"November 14, 1924.

Dear Mr. Atherton!

Please accept my thanks for your letter of October 30, 1924 clipped from the newspaper "The Times" on 28 October, containing a report on the speech of the Prime Minister, which is referred to for the Americans concessions for manganese ore in Russian and contains confidential memorandum regarding the nature of the concession.

I thank you for your courtesy and concern about this matter. In a memorandum sent to you contains the first information received by the Department of the concession, in addition to the one that appeared in the press.

Yours sincerely Ivan Young

Ray Atherton, Esquire, Secretary of the US Embassy in London. "

Under the agreement on concession with Harriman mechanization of mines and the conversion of the manual production process in Mechanical it was spent 4 million dollars. They were built ore processing factory and loading elevator in Poti capacity of 2 million tons; rail system with an air tram to transport manganese ore was constructed. The costs were estimated around 2 million to the railway system and one million in the mechanization of the mines.

Chairman of the Georgian Manganese Company, ie Harriman companies operating in this part of Russia, was none other than the "frontman" Order Matthew S. Brash.

Order is too strong to allow a State Department investigation

As soon as the Order carried out his plans for the development of Russia, the State Department could not do anything. His bureaucrats sitting in Washington's diplomatic body like a bunch of rabbits hypnotized.

First, in 1920 to provide loans to the Soviet Union was a serious violation of US law. While US citizens can travel to Russia at their own risk, there was no diplomatic relations, no government support or a business permit. The mood of society and the US government was overwhelmingly negative with respect to the Soviets, not least because of the numerous atrocities committed in the name of the Revolution.

Secondly, the syndicate "Harriman guarantees", representing the Order, the State Department has not informed about its plans. As can be seen from the quoted letter from Washington to the US Embassy in London, the first information on the manganese deposits Harriman was derived from overseas, the Embassy took her out of the London newspaper reports.

In other words, Averell Harriman dragged the illegal project, bypassing the US government. If it is not irresponsible behavior, while it is called? And it was the man who later was to become the US ambassador to Russia.

State Department letter to London (. page 67) is very typical in this respect: "In a memorandum sent to you contains the first information received by the Department of the concession, in addition to the one that appeared in the press."

About a month later received a letter from the Department of Commerce to confirm information about the above concession and give more information on this subject. Obviously, Harriman did not bother to inform the Ministry of Trade and.

"Department of Commerce. Bureau of Foreign and Internal Trade. Washington, January 23, 1925.

Honorable Uilburgu Dzh.Karru, Assistant Secretary of State, Washington, the diplomatic corps.

Dear Mr. Carr!

We have a copy of the dispatch from the Secretary of State, Mr. №2565 F.V.B. Coleman in Riga, Latvia, in relation to a conversation with Mr. Friedlander but about Russia.

On page 7 of this report there is a paragraph, which reads as follows: "Megraf is an agent of the Imperial and foreign corporations, which represents the investment Garrima-na, Stshshesa and his own in Chiatura margantsevtsyu concession. From Mr. Friedlander's report it is clear that they have pooled their investments and represent a united front. "

The question of the Chiatura manganese concession is of great interest to the US mining industry and its control of American concern will have a significant impact on the US steel industry. Therefore, we are interested in getting the most reliable information on this issue, as much as possible, and therefore ask that you possibly got for us a confirmation of the above posts. We would like to know a little more about the reliability of the statements Mr. Friedlander and any other facts available to you in this case.

Very spawned your R.S.Miller ".

(Copy of the letter 861.637 / 5 and its translation)

"The Department of State Sector of Eastern European Affairs January 29, 1925 Dear Mr. Carr!

Regarding the attached letter to Mr. Miller Officer Department of Commerce, there are some very specific reasons why I think it is very inappropriate to the State Department began an investigation in respect of the said manganese concession. If you so wish, I'll be glad to explain to you the reasons orally.

Ivan E. Young ".

Now we come to a truly amazing time. The US government was not informed UA Harriman and Company "Guaranty Trust" about the intention to invest \$ 4 million for the development of Soviet manganese deposits. However, it was clearly an illegal move, which had obvious strategic consequences for the United States. Could not get this information, the US government and elsewhere; while it was not the CIA. Economic intelligence engaged in the State Department. It is also clear that government officials were interested in receiving information, as it should be.

Truly amazing is that the US government was not able to conduct an investigation.

We've just reproduce the memorandum, directed by Ivan E. Young of the sector on the East European Affairs, Assistant Secretary of the Karoo. Note that this memorandum goes on the Department of State Summit. Young, in particular, wrote: "... there are some very specific reasons why I think it is very inappropriate to the State Department began an investigation in respect of the said manganese concession."

And Assistant Secretary of State Carr carelessly wrote underneath: "I yield to your judgment about it" (presumably, after an oral exchange of opinions).

It creates a clear impression that behind the scenes with a certain force is not necessary to communicate.

The Order establishes its own law

Order controlled all non-strategic positions related to the Soviet Union. It seems that nothing escaped his attention. For example, anglorusskaya Chamber of Commerce was established in 1920 with the aim of developing trade with Russia, what is desperately needed the Soviets to restore dormant industry. A key post in the House, as chairman of its executive committee, held R.Bertron Samuel (in the Order since 1885), vice-president of "Guaranty Trust" and a former member of the Root mission to Russia in 1917. Elihu Root, chairman of the mission

was, of course, personal attorney William Collins Whitney (member of the Order since 1863), one of the key figures of the Order.

(Translation of a document dated 13 October 1922 861.516 / 140.)

"Will participate Max May of" Guaranty Trust Company of New York. To date, Mr. Max May was appointed director of the foreign department of the Moscow Bank.

The above paper gives the following information about the new bank: "There is a board consisting of five members and five active directors. Among them, we note Mr. Schlesinger, the former head of the Moscow Commercial Bank, Mr. Kalashkina - chief Junker Bank, and Mr. Ternov-ray - the former head of the Siberian Bank. Mr. Max May was appointed director of the foreign department of the bank. According to Mr Aschberg, Russian Bank by Mr. Aschberg Bank in Stockholm "Ekonomigolbaget" will be in close contact with the German and American financial institutions. "

The newspaper "Svenska Dagbladet", dated October 17, reports that the aforementioned Mr. Sheinmann managed to obtain the consent of Professor Gustav Cassel act as an advisor to Russian state bank, which, apparently, has a representative in the administration of the new Trade Bank of Moscow and has the right to control its activities. In particular, it is a statement of Professor Cassel:

"The fact that the bank is to maintain a purely banking cases opened in Russia, is a big step forward, and I think that this bank was founded in order to do something in order to create new economic life in Russia. What Russia needed is the creation of a bank for internal and external trade. If Russia and other countries will be any business, there must be a bank to deal with them. Other countries should strongly support this step forward, and when asked for my advice, I said he was willing to provide this support. I am not a supporter of negative policy and I believe that we should take every opportunity to help in a positive reconstruction.

The big question is: how to return the currency circulation in Russia in the normal state. This question will require careful study. To solve it, I feel a great interest in this work. It would be foolish to leave Russia with its own resources and their own destiny. " I have the honor, sir. Your humble servant

Ira Morris. "

Attention is drawn to the letter from the Anglo-Russian Chamber of Commerce Bertrona, cited above, the question is put to him, "When is the federal government banned the trade in Russian loans"

SR Burton (member of the Order since 1884), chairman of the American-Russian Chamber of Commerce.

"American-Russian Chamber of Commerce. Department of State. Russian sector. Washington diplomatic corps.

Lord, could we answer the following questions?

1. When it is below the listed banks in Russia were seized by the Soviet government:

- **International Bank of Commerce, to Petrograd**
- **Russian Bank for Foreign Trade, Petrograd**
- **Volga-Kama Commercial Bank, Petrograd**
- **Commercial banking Azov-Don, Petrograd.**

2. When is the federal government banned the trade in Russian loans?

We will be very grateful for any help you can provide in this matter.

Sincerely, The American Chamber of Commerce, the Secretary (signature) "

This means that in 1921 the Order was well known that the "loans" to the Soviet Union were illegal, and stage-of this Act only after coming to power of President Roosevelt in 1933. However, legal or not, 18 months before this letter Bertrona, "Guaranty Trust" turned over Russian trade credits. "Guaranty Trust" bank concluded an agreement with the Council and appointed Vice President "Guaranty Trust" Max Mey director of the foreign department of the Soviet Bank ("Ruskombank" see. Document signed by Ira Morris).

In general, while the US government was assuring the public of the country that tips this vile murderers, until the Justice Department would send "red" back to Russia, while all politicians (almost without exception) assured the American public that the US will not have relations with the Soviets until this stream of lies attacked the duped people behind the scenes of the company "Guaranty Trust 'in fact headed the department of Soviet bank! A Soviet revolutionaries welcomed US troops for their help in protecting the revolution.

That is why, dear readers, governments need to be monitored. That is why even after 50 years after the events of some independent researchers (not sycophants) is almost impossible to obtain key documents declassified.

**"Simpson Thacher and Bartlett,
120 Broadway, New York, July 21, 1927**

Honorable RE Olds, Assistant Secretary of State, Washington, the diplomatic corps.

Sir! State Bank of the USSR in spite of that, but our data, all its capital belongs to the Ministry of Finance (the People's Finance Commissariat) the Soviet government itself is not part of the Soviet apparatus, and is a legal reality, included in the November 1921 decree of the Soviet government. State Bank of the USSR have the right to initiate proceedings, and prosecute in the courts of the Soviet, as an individual.

This bank has invested large sums of money in different US banks. In view of the increase in trade between our country's companies and the USSR, and the USSR desire to expand this trade, the State Bank would like to increase their investments in banks SSSL. Before you allow the State Bank to increase their contributions to the desired size, we would like to, if you still support us, to get your opinion on the matter, confirming the traditional attitude of our government to such deposits. In practice, if we correctly understand your views, it seems that the obstacles to an increase in the State Bank deposits not, in spite of the lack of recognition of the USSR by our government.

**With great respect, your
"Simpson Thacher and Bartlett."**

Law firms Order

Law firms in the New York establishment, part of which was founded by members of the Order are closely connected with the banks, and in particular with those firms "levers" of the revolution, which has already been mentioned.

Take, for example, the company "Simpson Thacher and Bartlett", located in 1920 at 120 Broadway. It was founded by Thomas Thacher (Member of the Order since 1871) in 1884. His son Thomas Day Thacher (member of the Order since 1904) worked at the family law firm after graduating from Yale University and joining the Order. Junior Thomas Thacher went to work for Henry L. Simpson (Member of the Order since 1888), a very active member of the Order. Around this time, Thatcher, written statement of the Order of the Bolshevik Revolution, became friends with Felix Frankferterom and Raymond Robins. According to the extensive documentation as Franferter and Robin provided significant assistance to the Soviets.

Another link between the revolution of 1917 and the company "Simpson Thacher and Bartlett," is the daughter of Thomas Anthony Thacher (in the Order since 1885), which entered into marriage with William Kent (in the Order since 1887). The latter is attributed to a member of the Order of Thomas Pinchot in light of his actions in the Washington diplomatic corps during the Russian intervention.

In addition, a member of the Order of Samuel Bertron participated in 1917 in the mission Ruta in Russia. And Thomas Thacher (member of the Order since 1904) was a member of the mission of the Red Cross with Allan Wardwell, son of Thomas Wardwell, treasurer "Standard Oil" and a partner in another law firm on Wall Street, "Stetson, Jennings and Russell" (communication of company with Order will be: are described in the next volume). Eugene Stetson, Jr., for example, in the Order since 1934.

"Simpson Thacher and Bartlett" was represented by the State Bank of the USSR and the US were the mechanism that was used to inform the Order of the State Department about the actions that might otherwise be blocked by bureaucracy, even a low level, fulfilling the instructions of the Government. For example, in 1927, Simpson Thacher and Bartlett said the US government on a permanent and significant increase in the Soviets of their investments in the United States. This increase was the preparation for pumping huge sums into a few select US companies to build the first Soviet five-year plan.

A careful reading of this letter, its purpose is clearly visible: it puts words into the mouth of the State Department, that is, as it emphasizes that what is done and will be done, as it belonged to neither the US government. Note, for example, in the last paragraph: "it seems to us that there could be reasons that would increase their contributions to the State Bank prevented regardless of whether the Soviet Union recognizes our government."

What politicians said US citizens

All of these activities on the construction of power of the Soviets, noted in the files "Lusk commit" and the State Department, carefully concealed from the American people. What is even said to be called mountain lies from beginning to end.

In order to show the degree of hypocrisy, we reprint below the head of the page "Russia" from the document "An excerpt from the statement of the respected Frank B. Kellogg, Secretary of State, under the title" External Relations ", published by the Republican National Committee in the Bulletin number 5 for 1928" .

"Over the past four years, the US government holds the position that to enter into relations with the Soviet government is useless and unreasonable as long as the Bolshevik leaders persist in their purpose and function in practice in the field of international relations in a way that excludes the possibility of the establishment of diplomatic relations based on generally accepted principles. The US government believes that relations established on a regular basis between the friendly countries, can not be set with the actually existing government, which is a tool group which has set a goal to achieve the overthrow of the existing political, economic and social order in the world and adjusts its attitude towards other countries, respectively .

On the experience of various European governments to recognize the Soviet regime, and entered into a relationship with him, visible to the ultimate wisdom of the policy that the United States adhered to the constancy, the recognition of the

Soviet regime did not result in any cessation intervention Bolshevik leaders in the internal affairs of their country recognizes; and it did not lead to the adoption of other fundamental obligations in international relations. Some European states, engaging in discussions with representatives of the Soviet regime, tried to reach a solution on the basis of a disagreement generally accepted international practice. Such conferences and discussions were completely infertile. No State failed to make payment of debts left over from Russia under the previous government, or compensate its citizens for confiscated property. In fact, there is every reason to believe that the granting of recognition and negotiation was the only cause of Russia's support of the current rulers in their policy of seizure and confiscation, as well as their hopes that we can establish a working basis adopted by other nations, by means of which they can to continue the war with the existing political and social order in other countries

The events of today show that Moscow is steadily continued to make the main goal of a world revolution, and in practice it is manifested in a way that makes it impossible to establish normal relations with the Soviet government. The current Russian rulers, trying to direct its development in the political, economic and social ways so that it became effective - "the base of the world revolution", continues to pass through the Communist International and other organizations with headquarters in Moscow, within the boundaries of other countries, including US, extensive and carefully planned operations with the ultimate goal to revolutionize the existing system in such countries.

Weight information regarding the activities carried out in the United States by various Bolshevik organizations under the guidance and supervision of Moscow, was presented to the State Department of the Senate Subcommittee on Foreign Relations Committee in January 1924. "

Among the forgeries, distributed by the Secretary of State Kellogg, is the following: "... the US Government adhered to the position that it would be useless and unwise to enter into relations with the Soviet government."

In fact, at this very time the United States, taking advantage of the hidden government support and participated in the planning of the first five-year plan in Russia. US firms are active in this matter.

Construction of the Soviet "dialectical arm" continued throughout the 1930s up to the 2nd World War. In 1941, Averell Harriman was appointed administrator of the Lend-Lease to ensure the inflow of technology and US products to the Soviet Union. Research Lend-Lease documents show that US law has been broken. The law provided for the supply of military equipment only. And in fact also a huge amount of supplies industrial equipment and printing the currency board in which the Soviets were free to print US dollars.

After the 2nd World War the United States to keep the Council at the level of modern technology. About this story a lot of detail and written.

In short, the creation of the Soviet Union is on the Order. The survival of the Soviet Union is on the Order. The development of the Soviet Union is on the Order. But the most important thing is that politicians hide the story from the American people ...

Now let's turn to the financing of the Nazi Party in Germany.

MEMORANDUM NUMBER FOUR

Antithesis: FINANCING NAZIS

The Marxist version of Hegel's dialectic is considering financial capitalism as thesis and antithesis of a Marxist revolution. The apparent enigma in the Marxist position is the nature of the synthesis, presumably from developing clash of opposites, that is, as a result of the collision of financial capitalism and revolutionary Marxism.

Lenin's thesis on the withering away of the state at the synthesis stage is absurd. In fact, as evidenced by all the modern Marxist state, the government has virtually become the most powerful. The immediate task of the "revolution" is the transfer of all power to the state, and contemporary Marxist state are always in panic fear that the government may in fact slip out of the hands of the state in the hands of the people.

We believe that world powers can be regarded differently, although from the point of view of Hegel's dialectic. If Marxism regarded as a thesis, antithesis and national socialism, then it is possible that the synthesis would be Hegelian New World Order, a synthesis of Marxism in a collision with National Socialism. Moreover, according to this provision, those who finance the clash of opposites, and controls them, can continue to control the synthesis.

If we can show that the Order artificially promote and encourage both sides - revolutionary Marxism and National Socialism, while at the same time, some control over the nature and extent of the conflict, then it turns out that the Order will be able to determine the development of the New World Order and its character.

Where the Nazis took funds for the revolution?

In his book "Wall Street and the coming of Hitler", we have described several financial channels between Wall Street and the Nazi Party. Then additionally published the book "Hitler's secret helpers", has long been banned. In other books also emphasized the financial relationship with Hitler Fritz Thyssen. After the split with Hitler himself

Thyssen Thyssen wrote the book "I Paid Hitler". Now we are able to combine the evidence contained in these books, other materials and our documentation Order.

The minutes of the Supervisory Board of the United States in Germany after the war contained an interview with a prominent Nazi intelligence. They confirm that the main source of funds for Hitler was Fritz Thyssen and his "Bank für Handel und Schiff", formerly called "Background Haight Bank". This information coincides with the evidence in the book "Wall Street and the coming of Hitler" and "Secret assistants of Hitler's" down to specific names of participants and the name of the bank, ie, Thyssen, Harriman, "Guaranty Trust," "Background Haight," Carter, and so Further.

The document reproduced below US escaped censorship, as intelligence director's office did not know about the connection between Fritz Thyssen and capital Harriman in New York. Most of the documents on the relationship with the Wall Street Hitler was removed from the minutes of the Supervisory Board of the United States. Anyway, we reproduce here the report intelligence, establishing responsibility Fritz Thyssen and his Bank (number EG / Me / 1 dated September 4, 1945), and Page 13 questioning of Fritz Thyssen, entitled "Financial support for the Nazi Party" (it is not moved from -this poor quality photocopies -. Note, pen).

Who's Thyssen?

Fritz Thyssen was a German steel magnate, who associated himself with the Nazi movement in the early 20s. During the interrogation, under the code name "Project Dastbin" in 1945 Thyssen recalled that in 1923 during the French evacuation of the Ruhr approached by General Ludendorff. Shortly after this meeting Thyssen presented to Hitler and Thyssen by General Ludendorff secured funds Nazis.

September 4, 1945

For limited use.

American Integrated Control Board (Germany). Office of the Director Intelligence Agency field of information engineering department.

Intelligence report N°EF / Me / 1

Content:

Report N°1, parts 1 and 2 of the study Dr. Fritz Thyssen.

To: Newsletter special list.

1. The report consists of two parts.

a) Three statements prepared and signed by the Thyssen, along with his interrogation.

- 1. Thyssen's relationship with the Nazi Party**
- 2. The second statement on this issue**
- 3. Thyssen interview with Goering January 29, 1941.**

b) Notes on various issues of verbatim records of his interrogation.

- 1. Real estate and personal data**
- 2. Financial resources**
- 3. The movements during the war**
- 4. The positions before the war**
- 5. Checking through the Gestapo**
- 6. personalities**
- 7. Financial support for the Nazi Party**
- 8. Protecting his support of the Nazi Party**
- 9. Landmark meeting in Düsseldorf in 1932**
- 10. The book - "I Paid Hitler"**
- 11. The opposition of the Nazi Party**
- 12. The resistance in the Ruhr in 1923**
- 13. Young Plan**
- 14. Plants Hermann Goering.**

2. The report, compiled on the basis of interrogation of Thyssen Mr. Clifford Hishshgom the Council on the integrated control of the US in Germany, the finance department. Dastbin, 13, 20 and 23 July 1945.

In 1930-31 to Emil Kirdorf approached Thyssen and subsequently sent Rudolf Hess for the negotiations on the future functioning of the Fund of the Nazi party. At this time, Thyssen has issued a loan of 250,000 marks in the "Bank voor Handel en Scheepvaart NV" (the Dutch name of the bank, called Thyssen in the attached document) at 18 Zyuyblaak in Rotterdam, Netherlands.

Thyssen was the former head of the United Steel factories, German steel trust, funded Dillip, Reed (New York) and was instrumental in Hitler's rise to power, the liberal referring to the Nazi party and influencing their fellow industrialists so that they joined the him in support of the Führer. As a reward for his efforts Thyssen was showered with political and economic benefits in the Third Reich and enjoyed almost unlimited power and prestige under the Nazi regime until his break with Hitler in 1939 because of the latter's decision to invade Poland and hasten the onset of the 2nd World War.

This incident and the subsequent publication of Thyssen "I Paid Hitler" similar to the story of his father, August Thyssen. In 1918, thanks to such a statement Thyssen Sr., despite the fact that he was known as a strong supporter of Pan, was able to convince the Allies that the responsibility for the German aggression should be charged only on the Kaiser and German industrialists should not be blamed for the support of the Hohenzollerns. Obviously, being influenced by August Thyssen and his allies were not trying to rebuild the German industry after the 1st World War. As a result, Thyssen was allowed to keep a vast industrial empire and pass it on intact to his heirs and successors.

It is against this background that in 1926 Fritz Thyssen was under the control of the family property after his father's death. The new German steel baron has already famous throughout the Reich to its open non-recognition of the French during their occupation of the Ruhr in 1923. Like Hitler, Thyssen regarded the Treaty of Versailles "pact of shame, which must be reset to fatherland could rise again." This story is described in the book "The Secret Hitler's helpers."

Thyssen went on the same road as his father, supported by substantial loans on Wall Street for the construction of the German industry. August Thyssen merged with Gugenburtom, Kirdorfom and senior large for development vsepemedkogo Union (Vsegermanskogo League), which was a logical basis for the expansionist policy of the Kaiser.

His son became an active member of the organization "Steel Helmet", and then joined the Nazi party using Goering. And finally, after the crisis of 1931 brought German industry on the brink of bankruptcy, he openly threw himself into the arms of National Socialism. In the next two years, Thyssen has used all of its capital and its influence on the to bring Hitler to power. In 1932 he made a famous meeting in the club Düsseldorf industrialists, where Hitler addressed the business leaders of the Ruhr and the Rhineland.

At the end of the speech Hitler Thyssen shouted: "Heil, Herr Hitler!", While others clapped enthusiastically. By the time the German presidential election in the same year, Thyssen gathered with industrial cartels donations to the election of Hitler's campaign fund. It is reported that in 1932 alone it spent 3,000,000 marks for the Nazis.

Communication "Union Benking"

This flow of funds held by banks Thyssen. Bank for trade and navigation, as the source said in the report CILIA intelligence was controlled by August Thyssen bank and founded in 1918, HI Kouvenhovenom and DS Schutte, partners with decisive votes. In short, it was a banking transaction personally Thyssen, supported by financial capital A. Harriman in New York. Thyssen told his inquirer: "I chose a Dutch bank because they do not like to mingle with German banks in my position, so I thought the best one to do business with the Dutch bank, and I thought that I would be a little harder to keep the Nazis in their hands."

In his book "Hitler's secret helpers," a source from the US identified with the word "von Heydt" and "Bank von Heydt" previously meant Thyssen Bank. Moreover, the Dutch bank under the guise of Thyssen, ie "Bank voor Handel en Scheepvaart NV" controlled "Union Benking" corporation in New York.

In this corporation Harriman had financial capital and E.Roland Harriman (member of the Order since 1917), Averell's brother, was its director. Corporation "Union Benking" in New York City was a joint venture between Thyssen-Harriman, which in 1932 the directors were:

- E. Roland Harriman (member of the Order since 1917) - Vice-President of "UA Harriman and K ° », New York;

- HJ Kouvenhoven (Nazi) - Nazi banker, partner with the bank's voting rights and August Thyssen "Bank for Handel en Shinvart NV" (bank for Thyssen transfers of funds);
- Knight Woolley (member of the Order since 1917) - Director of the "Guaranty Trust", New York;
- Cornelius Lievens president of the corporation "Banking Union" and the director of the Dutch-American "Investment Corp. "; .
- Ellery Sedzhik James (member of the Order since 1917) Partner "Brown Brothers and K & Co.", New York;
- Johann Groshshger (Nazi) Director of the bank, "Hendel en Shinvart" and the United Steel Mills (Tissema operation with the article);
- Dzh.L.Gvinter Director of the Corporation "Banking Union";
- Prescott Sheldon Bush (a member of the Order since 1917) - Partner "Brown Brothers, Harriman." Father Vice President Bush.

Eight Directors 'Banking Union' is a truly interesting group. See for yourself:

- Four directors' Union Bznking "are members of the Order. All accepted into the Order at Yale in 1917. All one issue. All were members of a single cell (club) D-115.
- E.R.Garriman was the brother of Averell Harriman and the vice-president "U.A.Garriman Komiani".
- "Guaranty Trust" was presented by Knight Woolley. Two directors of the Corporation and the Groninger Kouvenhoven were Nazi directors Dutch bank Thyssen. The bank was the intermediary between the "Guaranty Trust" and Hitler.
- Ellery S.Dzheyms and Prescott S.Bush were partners in the "Brown Brothers", then "Brown Brothers, Harriman." Therefore, of the eight directors Thyssen Bank in New York, we can install the six, who is either the Nazis or members of the Order. This private bank used to be called "Von Heydt Bank", and Sharpe in his book "Hitler's secret helpers" von Heydt calls the mediator between "Guaranty Trust 'in New York and Hitler during the 1930-1933 period. Note that Sharpe wrote in 1933, when this information was still known only to those pertaining to all the ins and outs. Of the tens of thousands of banks Sharpe calls those in 1933, the financing of which is confirmed by Hitler decades later as a result of surfacing evidence of this.

In short, when we combine information from the interrogations 1945 Sharpe book 'Hitler's secret helpers', we find that the main channel of financial assistance to the Nazis because of ocean stretches to the Order, namely, the cell D-115.

Profit from conflict

As a result of wars and revolutions appear enrichment opportunities.

Conflict can be used for corporate profits, are under the influence and control of the Order.

We can give examples of how US corporations trading with the enemy for the sake of making a profit during the second World War, the Korean and Vietnam wars.

This "bloody trade" in any case is not a random or limited by several companies; it is universal and reflects the highest policy and decision quite definite philosophical views. Even large corporations are subject to the Order and its allies.

Although officially the United States did not enter into a war with Germany until 1941, legally and, of course, morally United States were at war with Nazi Germany after the conclusion of the December 1940 deal with Britain for fighters "Destroyer", that is, the exchange of 50 old US fighters strategic base on the British territory. Even prior to December 1940, namely 21 September, a German submarine sank the steamship "Frederick S. Feyls", owned by the company "Vacuum Standard". In 1941, the company "Standard Oil" of New Jersey (now the "Exxon") still had six tankers under Panamanian flag with crews from Nazi officers to carry fuel oil to refineries of the company "Standard Oil" in the Canary Islands - refueling base fuel Nazi submarines.

Report dated July 15, 1941 from exploration in the fifth case in Colombia, Io, Ohio, mistakenly says that the Nazis did not sunk any ship company "Standard Oil". Major Burrows, obviously, did not know about the sinking of the "Frederick S.Feylsa" in 1940.

**"The headquarters of the district of the 5th Corps.
Office corps commander
of Fort Hayes, Columbus, Ohio**

July 15, 1941

Contents: Steamers company "Standard Oil" from New Jersey under the Panamanian flag.

To: AK of-C, Dzh.-2, War Department, Washington, DIP package.

From Cleveland, Ohio, received a message stating that the source of this information secure and that now ships transporting oil (fuel) from Aruba (Dutch West Indies) to Tenerife in the Canary Islands, it is obvious, ferrying up to 20% of the fuel to the current German government.

It is reported that about six ships on the way manned mainly by Nazi officers. Sailors sources said that they have seen submarines in the vicinity of the Canary Islands and found that these submarine refueling there. The source also said that the company "Standard Oil" today has not lost any of torpedoing the ship, as it happened with other companies whose vessels go to other ports.

**For AK of-C, 2-Dzh.
A. Barrow, military intelligence ... "**

Another example of profiting from war is given in the following document. He captures the RCA connection to the Nazis during the 2nd World War. RCA was essentially by the Morgan-Rockefeller and was connected, so with the Order.

department of state

Recording conversations May 24, 1943.

Contents: Links.

Members: Colonel Sarnoff, Mr. Long. Distribution: in PA, 1H.

In a telephone conversation with Colonel Sarnoff I explained to him that we have reason to believe that there are many more messages than the agreed 700 code groups in the week, sent the Axis powers to their governments.

I told him that I can not disclose the source of our information. Trying to get more information, our representatives there turned to Hayes. They thought that Hayes is not willing to cooperate. Probably it would be very good reasons why he refused to disclose the exact number of messages sent in each code group representative axis of his government. However, it seems there was no reason why the leadership did not request a report of all the code groups, sent for a certain period of time, day after day, and include a report on all the warring parties, and that if he receives such information through confidential channels, we will be grateful . I advise not to use the telegraph, telephone, and mail, but offered to do a mail bag (valise) available.

After receiving this information we will be able to better judge what should be our policy.

BL

Another example is the "Chase Bank". Chase has been associated with the Order by the Rockefeller family (Percy Rockefeller, a member of the Order since 1900) and Vice-President of Riva breeching. Directors' Chase Bank "in the Order were Frederick Allen (1900), V.E.S.Grisvold (since 1899) and Cornelius Vanderbilt, whose brother Gwynne Vanderbilt (in the Order since 1899) represented the family before his of death. President of the "Chase" was Winthrop Aldrich, the representative branch of Harvard Aldrich family (another branch is Yale and Order).

"Chase Manhattan Bank" is not only a firm that politics plays "that ours is yours', but also with the company" Ford Motor "it was one of the selected finance minister Morgenthau postwar investigations pro-Nazi activities," These two situations (ie Ford and "Chase Bank") convince us that the vital question is the immediate on-site investigation activities of subsidiaries, at least some of the large uS firms that operated in France during the German occupation ... "

The scope of cooperation with the Nazis Chase stunning and it was at a time when Nelson Rockefeller was in the intelligence service in Washington, which led the fight against Nazi operations in Latin America.

In December 1944, the Ministry of Finance officials have studied the "Chase Bank" documents in Paris. December 20, 1944 a senior US checks sent a memorandum to the Minister of Finance Morgenthau with the preliminary results of the investigation in Paris. Here is an excerpt from that report:

a) Niedermann, a Swiss by nationality, Chase's manager in Paris, was undoubtedly a collaborator;

b) In the main office of the "Chase Bank" in New York, it was aware of the collaborationist activities Niedermann, but no action was taken to remove it. There are even a prima facie case that the head office in New York saw Niedermann good relations with the Germans as an excellent way to keep intact the position of "Chase Bank" in France.

c) The German authorities were concerned not to stop the work of Chase and really take exceptional measures to ensure its income sources.

g) The German authorities want to "be friends" with the important American banks because they expected that these banks after the war will be useful as a tool of German policy in the United States.

d) "Chase Bank" in Paris showed a strong desire to please the German authorities, as far as possible. For example, the Chase zealously carried reports of the German Embassy in Paris, - "because every little thing helps" - (to maintain excellent relations between Whose Zoom and the German authorities).

e) The whole purpose of the Chase policy and operation was to maintain the bank's position at any cost.

In short, "Chase Bank" cooperated with the Nazis, but the above-mentioned draft report took place, as the investigation continued. The report was destroyed on the orders of the diplomatic corps in Washington.

On the other hand, "Chase Bank", was the main force to promote the export of US technology to the Soviet Union. This goes back to the beginning of the 1920s, when Chase violated US rules in order to assist the Council. Back in 1922, he tried to export engines for military aircraft "Liberty" in the Soviet Union.

And here are two "dialectical arm" began to act in a collision producing a "synthesis". Moreover, the corporate part of the elite of the battened of Lend-Lease Act, as well as the underground collaboration with the Nazi capital. At the same time, the political wing of the Order prepared the "new dialectics" of the era, which was to come, and came after the Second World War.

MEMORANDUM NUMBER FIVE

NEW Dialectics: Angola And China needs a new dialectical process

The Second World War was the culmination of the dialectical process, established in the 1920s and 1930s. The clash between "left" and "right", ie The Soviet Union and Nazi Germany, led to the creation of synthesis, for example, the UN, and the beginning of the formation of regional groupings of the Common Market, NATO, UNESCO, the Warsaw Pact, SEATO, CENTO, and later the Trilateral Commission. By the beginning of the New World Order. After the Second World War, the Order stepped in front of the need to create a new dialectical situation, to contribute to strengthening the conflict and achieve a higher level of synthesis.

Source today the process can be found in the Memorandum of the National Security № 68 of 1950, with its unusual gaps. This document paved the way for the construction with the help of Western technologies more advanced of the Soviet Union, which is what happened in the 1960s and 1970s with a computerized space age technology.

At the same time, the memorandum presented the argument in favor of a massive strengthening the defensive might of the United States under the pretext of future Soviet threat.

Skipping the memorandum was quite elementary. He lay in the fact that the Soviets could not progress without Western technology. Memorandum allowed to continue the transfer of technology. In other words, allowing Western companies to strengthen the Soviet Union, the drafters of the memorandum put forward in passing the arguments in favor of a radical US-growth budget. The relationship between the Memorandum and Order № 68 obvious.

Unfortunately for the Order, although it is quite natural, given the limited sense of peace inherent to its members, the dialectical plan, based on the Memorandum №68, has not reached the desired result. The main tools used to monitor the dialectical process of the past two decades have been: a) information and b) debt and c) technology. Over time, they lost their power. Today, they do not act as smoothly as in the 1950s.

Overall control of information was successful. The intellectual world is still involved in the unreal verbal fight between "left" and "right", while this struggle is a battle between personal freedom and infringing her absolute power of the state. The Soviet Union, with its rigid censorship is strictly Marxist (ie, the "left") orientation with respect to its citizens. The enemy is the United States always "fascist." West is a bit more difficult, but not so significant. Kvingli argument given in the book "Tragedy and Hope", tells us that John. P. Morgan used financial power to control the politics, this argument has been transferred to the control of the Order for more information. In the West, the choice is limited mainly controlled information between the "left" orientation and controlled information "right" orientation. The conflict between the two groups of controlled information supports the obvious conflict. Unwanted facts that do not fit to one camp, with the convenience of "concepts" are forgotten. Books that do not fit any of the camp can be effectively neutralized, as they will cause fury as "right" and "left."

In short, any publication that reveals the fallacy of division into two opposing classes "left" and "right" is ignored, and all citizens continue to be attracted to the polls, believing that they have a "choice".

Another control mechanism is the debt. If the Marxist countries have to import technology, they need to earn or borrow the currency of Western countries to pay for it. For loans granted have to pay. Since debtors to some extent under the control of creditors, if they do not pay.

The third control mechanism is technology. If you want to import technology to achieve a more efficient level of production, then the recipient is always on the side of "the intricacies of operations." The weakness of the Order is that the market system does not need military technology.

Therefore dialectic plan is not reached the goal for several reasons. Firstly, the information blockade was not as successful as expected Order. Next, we describe how to control the magazines "Time" and "Newsweek" has provided the Order impact on the weekly roundup. Television stations to some extent can control the reaction of the audience. For example, three luxury movie on ABC, the BBC in 1983. "The next day", "Tornberdz" and "The Winds of War" were imbued with the propaganda of the kind we are talking about. But the Order was unable to protect individuals and relatively small academic groups, which are often mistakenly referred to as "left" or "right" are common outside of these concepts.

Second, debt is obsolete weapons. Communist countries are mired in debt at the western bankers.

Third, although the technology is still an effective weapon for independent analysts obvious growth of the real danger posed by the strengthening of the opponents. And their voice is ignored is becoming increasingly difficult.

Therefore, in today's world, we can identify two points in the construction of a new dialectic. First, careful strengthening of the Marxist lever (thesis submitted in a memorandum of three), ie Marxist Angola, which give a "green light", but on the Marxist Grenada gave "red".

Secondly, the creation of a completely new arm of Communist China, too Marxist, but with a possible conflict of the Soviet Union. Order of great efforts (as the press wrote fragmentary) to create a new superpower conflict character in relation to the Soviet Union is a new antithesis, replacing Nazi Germany.

Order creates a Marxist Angola

Angola, a former Portuguese province in the south-west coast of Africa, is the latest example of the continued, albeit more cautious, creating a lever Marxist dialectical process.

A look at the official establishment Angola lies in the fact that Angola was a Portuguese colony and the board of the Portuguese oppressors led to the independent movement in which the Marxists won the "democratic" forces.

It is a false view. If the Portuguese were colonizers in Angola, the Boston Brahmins in Massachusetts also colonizers. Luanda, the capital of Angola, was founded by the Portuguese in 1575, half a century before the landing of the English Puritans in Massachusetts. Angola Aboriginal population in 1575 was less than the Indian population of Massachusetts. During the three centuries of Portuguese Angola treated more like a province than as a colony, as opposed to the colonial rule of Britain, France and Belgium in Africa. Thus, if Angola belonged to a non-existent indigenous natives, then, logically, Massachusetts owned by American Indians.

In the early 1960s, the United States actively supported the cause of Marxism in Angola. It is clear from the words of the former Secretary of State Dean Acheson. The following excerpts are taken from the memorandum recording the conversation between Dean Acheson (company "Scroll & K"), McGeorge Bundy (member of the Order since 1940) and President Kennedy on April 2, 1962;

"Then he (Kennedy) joined the negotiations with Portugal on the basis of the Azores. He said that, apparently, nothing important is happening and that he would be grateful to me if I took up the matter and look whether you can do something. I asked him for permission to speak a few minutes about the situation and said the following: "The Portuguese are deeply offended by the fact that, in their opinion, the United States abandoned them, if, in fact, not entered into this alliance with their enemies. The problem, it seems to me, not so much in negotiations with the Portuguese, but in the direction of US policy. The battle will be more in Washington than in Lisbon. "

Then Dean Acheson commented on the topic, obviously, already known to President Kennedy of the United States supported revolutionary movements in Angola:

"The president then asked me why I was so sure. that under current conditions there is no chance for talks. I told him that as he may be aware, we are actually subsidizing Portugal enemies; and that the Portuguese strongly suspect us in this, even though they can not prove it. Kennedy said that the purpose of such actions is the desire to prevent that the Angolan nationalist movement fell into the hands of the Communists and Ghana etc. and to

keep it as far as possible in a more "moderate" hands. I said I quite understand that, but this is unlikely to understand Portuguese. We are also engaged in secret redeployment of Angolans from Angola and train them in the Lincoln College near Philadelphia, spending the most extreme nationalist views. Moreover, the head of the institution and the secret illegally arrived in Angola, and upon his return worked antiportugalskoy violent propaganda. In the UN, we voted for a resolution "condemning" Portugal for maintaining order in the territory, no doubt under Portuguese jurisdiction. I stressed that the Portuguese proud people and are particularly sensitive because they sunk to such a helpless situation after this glorious history. They are more likely to agree to the collapse of his empire with dignity, as it was in Goa than allow themselves to buy or to persuade to work for his own suicide. "

There is a very important, though seemingly insignificant moment in President Kennedy's comments. Kennedy apparently believed that the United States is funding the nationalists, not of the Marxists, while the US actually helped Marxists, as well as later was done in South Africa, following the model, the application in 1917 to the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia. The archival data Kennedy is a moment for which there is a good track. That is: how much Kennedy had information about the operations of the CIA and the State Department, which had been under the control of the Order.

Marxists led by the MPLA leader Agostinho Neto gained control over Angola. Order together with powerful allies among multinationals putting pressure on the next administration to preserve Angola as the Cuban-Soviet base in South Africa.

Even in 1975, the United States, together with South Africa completed the present invasion of Angola. At the crucial moment when South African forces could reach Luanda, the US stopped aid. South Africa had no choice but to retreat. She learned the hard way that the United States against the Marxists only formally. In practice, the US did with South Africa as it did many times before, the elite betrayed its antimarksistkih allies.

By the early 1980s, multinational Friends of the Order were formed at the same time carefully coordinating public actions with the Vice-President Bush (member of the Order since 1948). For example, March 27, 1981 "Wall Street Journal" has placed revealing article, which includes some real things, interspersed with the line establishment. This article discusses support for multinational US Angolan Marxists under the heading "Friendly Enemy: US companies require care from Angola, reduce assistance to the rebels" (talking about the anti-Marxist rebels of UNITA forces under the command of Savimbi, which helped South Africa).

Leader of the United marxist forces in the United States is the Melvin J. Hill, president of the "Gulf Oil Company Exploration Production End" (part of the company "Gulf Oil", which operates in the Gulf of Cabinda). This refinery complex in Angola, to be protected from the pro-Western rebel Savimbi Cuban and Angolan troops Marxist. Hill told the magazine: "Angola - a knowledgeable, understanding and reliable business partner." Hill not only made in the Congress with their marxist positions, and met at least a few times with Vice President Bush.

Wood of Service PWJ has added to the mystery of "Gulf Oil": "The Angolans are more and more focused on the development. They are not interested in the politicization of the Central African Cubans, or the Soviet Union. Our people are not in Angola persona "non grata" ...

Hill and Wood, of course, nothing more than a public relations agents Marxist Angola, although we understand that they are not registered as foreign agents by the US Department of Justice. Angola is largely a Cuban-Soviet base to capture in South Africa; but in Angola is 17 western oil companies and other firms. These include the company's "Gulf", "Texaco", "Petrofina", "Mobile", "Citizen Service", "Marathon Oil" and "Union Texas Petroleum." Other firms are "Allied Chemical," "Boeing Aircraft", "GE" and "Bechtel Corporation." It should be remembered that the Secretary of State Shultz and Secretary of Defense Weinberger received a loan from "Bechtel Corporation."

"Gulf Oil Corporation" capital controlled by the Mellon. Only the "Mellon Bank" is the largest shareholder with its 7.68% stake. "Mellon Bank" presented in the Council of "Gulf Oil" James Higgins, a graduate of Yale University, but as far as we can determine, is not a member of the Order.

Another major shareholder is the Mellon family, which includes associations V.Mellon Andrew, Richard King and Sarah Scaife. This group, which considers itself a "conservative", owns approximately 7% of the shares. "Morgan Guaranty Trust" (to him we met earlier) owns 1.8 million shares, or about 1%. To a large extent, these corporations are in a delicate situation. Surprisingly, for example, that South Africa did not take countermeasures against firms based in Angola, especially the "General Electric", "Boeing", "Morgan Guaranty Trust," "Gulf Oil" and

"Citizen Services". After all, South Africans just lose people due to the fact that these firms have a strong support from the Angolan Marxists.

It would be cheaper, based on the lives of South Africans, direct response campaign against corporations, and not against the Cubans and Angolans.

After the United States had betrayed South Africa in 1975, when South African troops could get to Luanda, we must pay tribute to the care of South Africa, which has not applied it is quite obvious counter-weapons. In the end, a surgical strike on the Cabinda South Africans would quickly deprive Angola single largest source of foreign currency and would give multinational Marxists some food for thought. Of course, we do not recommend any such action, but South Africa has still a choice. A possible US response? It would be better prepared for the State Department and the CIA to the explanation of the plane of the US Embassy was detected by photographing the South African military installations!

We say this in order to show the dangerous nature of the conflict scenarios that govern the Order.

Order creates a new dialectical lever in China

Similarly, we have established the Bush family's involvement in the initial development of the Soviet Union, then in the financing of the Nazis and not so obviously, behind the scenes, in Angola, we find one of Bush in a new dialectical arm: Communist China.

In 1971, Nixon appointed Mr. George "Poppy" Bush's US representative in the UN despite the fact that Bush had no prior diplomatic experience. As the chief US delegate to Bush China was responsible for the defense of the republic, the original members of the United Nations free enterprise, from the attack of the Chinese Communists. With the support of the United States a great power, Bush stupidly disgraced: Republic of China has been excluded from the UN and Communist China took its place. Shortly after this fiasco, Bush left the United Nations and became chairman of the Republican National Committee.

This is not the place to tell the entire history of American involvement in Chinese affairs. It began with the intervention of Wall Street in the Revolution Sun Yat-sen in 1911 with history, still unknown to the public norm.

During the 2nd World War the US helped the Chinese Communists come to power. As wrote one Chinese authority, Chin-Tongliao of General Joseph W. Stilwell, the chief US representative in China from 1942 to 1944, "from the point of view of the struggle against communism ... (Stilwell) had a great disservice to China."

However, Stilwell only carrying out the orders of Washington, comes from General George C. Marshall. And, as stated by the Congress Admiral Cook, "... in 1946godu General Marshall used the tactics cease supplying ammunition to disarm unnoticed Chinese troops."

But if we talk about General Marshall, we should remember that in the United States in military matters the final decision of the civil power, and, therefore, talk about the then Secretary of War Henry L .Simpson, Marshall's chief and a member of the Order since 1888.

By an amazing coincidence, Simpson was also Minister of War in 1911, during the revolution, Sun Yat-sen.

The story of how he was betrayed, China, and the role of the Order can tell a lot. Now we only want to say about the decision to build a Communist China, as a new lever of dialectics, of the decision taken under President Richard Nixon and Enforcement of Henry Kissinger ("Chase Manhattan Bank") and George "Poppy" Bush (The Order).

If we refer to the press beginning of 1984, we see that the "Bechtel Corporation" organized then a new company "Bechtel China, Inc.," For the conclusion of contracts for the development, engineering and construction with the Chinese government. The new president of the company is B.Ford Sydney, a former marketing manager for the company "Bechtel Civil and Minerals, Inc..." Currently, Bechtel is working on research for the "China National Goal Development Corporation" and "China National Ofshoa Corporation." Both corporations are Chinese Communist organizations.

It seems that Bechtel will now be playing the same role as being in Detroit, "Albert Kahn, Inc.", the company, which in 1928 made an initial research and planning for the first five-year plan in the Soviet Union.

Around the year 2000, communist China will "superpower", built with the help of US technology and craftsmanship. Presumably, the intention of the Order is to bring this power in a conflict state with the Soviet

Union.

There is no doubt that Bechtel will do its job. Former CIA director Richard Helms works for Bechtel. In his work as Secretary of State George Shultz and Secretary of Defense Caspar Weinberger. This is a powerful influential group in the event that if anyone in Washington, one of those who plans to national security issues, go astray and speak with considerable protest.

However, the Order may have miscalculated again. What is Moscow's reaction to this dialectic challenge? Even without the traditional Russian suspicion Russian can understand if they feel more than a little disturbing. And who will say that the Chinese Communists do not reconcile with Moscow after the year 2000 and will join forces for the destruction of the United States of super-superpower?

Annex 1

SERBIA - RANGE "NEW WORLD ORDER"

Russia and Serbia are linked Destiny. For centuries, the political conflicts in the Balkans anyway necessarily affected the Russian. And now, the processes taking place in Yugoslavia, half a step, a few months ahead of similar processes in Russia. Communication is not of a mystical nature. Everything is much easier Serbia has always been a testing ground of anti-Russian forces of the West. And the Western community first "trial run" is now the mechanisms of its "new world order" in Serbia and then in a somewhat modified form, tries to use them against Russia.

Here are some of the most acute coincidences that make comparing the situation in Russia - the Soviet Union and Serbia - Yugoslavia:

1. The constituent role in both Yugoslavia and the Soviet Union played one nation: the Serb - in Yugoslavia, Russian - the Soviet Union. This is the objective situation. However, the party-state elite of both countries in their quest for equality exaggerated intentionally reduced the influence of leading the nation. This was expressed in certain benefits for members of different nationalities, but that turned out to be the most dangerous - in a random design of the administrative boundaries between artificially created national republics, in the territorial "gifts" numerically small nations.

As a result of the destruction of the Soviet Union and Yugoslavia on the world map, a host of states which previously or never existed (Belarus, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Macedonia, Bosnia and Herzegovina), or have very limited experience of independent statehood (Georgia, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania Croatia).

2. The international community is justifiably considered abnormal and temporary separate existence of the German people, it has undertaken and undertakes everything possible to smooth out the contradictions between North and South Korea. But the international community considers normal, for example, the division between the two states of the Ossetians. The Serbs also in this context are a unique nation: after the destruction of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (SFRY), they were separated by the borders of three independent states. The fourth part of the Serbs today live outside the territory of the SFRY.

A similar situation exists in the post-Soviet space, where one of the greatest nations of the world - Russian - has been divided in accordance with the administrative borders of the former USSR. Russia's attempts to defend Russian and "Russian-speaking" population in the republics of former Soviet republics cause a sharp rejection of the neighbors and accusations of meddling in internal affairs.

3. The international community has applied a full-scale sanctions against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. Today, though still fairly quiet start to voices on the possibility of imposing sanctions against Russia. Scenario collapse of Yugoslavia apply to Russia. "

Abstracts of the articles Sergei Baburin "Modern Serbian question and Russia" ("Our Contemporary", 1995, N 6, p. 93-94)

Appendix 2

CHECHNYA: whose policy - TOGO AND WAR

(Seven geopolitical theses uttered on the ruins of Grozny)

1. Russia is subject to three-level management. Purely factually it is ruled by the Kremlin. Methodologically - the US State Department. Conceptually - that very roughly it referred to as "world government." Both the Kremlin and the State Department, and the "world center concept" have significantly different goals, including in the Chechen crisis.

2. Interests of the Kremlin in this war defined whimsically crossed claims multiple comprador factions dissatisfied presumptuous Dudayev, the need for a "small victorious war" for political self-justification and the fear of "domino effect" in the relations between the center and regions. This is a war for oil spigot. And at the same time - for the unity and indivisibility of Russia. Not only were such wars in history, which would not change the political sign along the way.

What did the US State Department, which is in the Kremlin vast marble lobby? America is terminally ill, is on the downlink "Kondratieff". "Methodological Center" is beneficial to Russia, not only did not prevent the US to get out of the structural crisis, but to also help. Energize resources, organizational and political potential. For them, as well as for the Kremlin, intolerable that one of the major arteries of life in Russia and Eurasia - petroliferous communication Krasnovodsk-Baku-Novorossiysk - cut. Chechnya is just sitting on the trunk of the communications in Eurasia. Hence the initial restrained reactions of the American establishment on the Chechen crisis.

3. What is sought in this war "world center concept", also involved in this crisis? As evidenced by the vicissitudes of winter information war "the international community" against the Russian Federation?

Their goal - to crush Russia, the Soviet Union as before. (Chechnya - ideal geopolitical "skeleton key" to Russia as Baltic States -. The Soviet empire) If this is not possible - you can even embroil Russia with the Islamic world. At the same time find an excuse to accelerate the replenishment of the NATO countries of Central and Eastern Europe.

But this is only lying on the surface causes.

"Concept Centre" management of the world is preparing for the great war of the Pacific. Great War US and China, which will begin on a number of futuristic predictions in the first quarter of the next century. In 1991-1992, the American establishment hoped to draw Russia into a "security system from Vladivostok to Seattle." But for several reasons, "to put to the back of the head Russian Chinese yellow card" has failed.

In parallel, the United States began to build a geopolitical axis West-East through the Caucasus via Azerbaijan - Central Asia. Turkey started to organize hands of the Central Asian CIS states into a sort of "grand tour." Western oil and energy companies began to penetrate into the oil-bearing regions of Asia, to lobby local governments to create there "a chain of interests." Americans have chosen to Xinjiang; "Islamic factor" in Xinjiang, in terms of "conceptual world center", the same geopolitical master key to the Chinese empire, which was the case of the Baltic States in the Soviet empire.

The huge machine of this highly complex geopolitical conspiracy Chechen crisis can be considered as one of the measures to flank this geopolitical axis.

4. The war in Chechnya is being deliberately so that lasted a long time, a very long time. On the Terrible attacked from the north, in the "head", and did not take any measures to cut the southern path leading into the mountains of Chechnya. Now the war is artificially slowed down the negotiation process. Every effort is made to ensure that this group of separatists, which has almost half of them mercenaries, could wait for the autopsy passes, go to the mountains of Chechnya. And keep it long, long-term, systematic guerrilla war.

This war will be designed to cut off Russia from the southern resources and strategic communications. Moreover, with the Chechen conflict will become in the hands of the US foreign policy of the Kremlin line regulator. So implicit control policy of the USSR was in its time the Afghan war.

5. All oil pipelines in the Caucasus for all projects there the development of oil fields and offshore necessarily "closed" on the territory of Turkey. Iran-Turkmen enclave is out, he dropped out of Western influence. States do not need this oil: they are only 18 per cent are supplied with Middle East oil, they have Texas and the Caribbean. They want control over the Caucasus and Middle East oil - which means control over Europe and Japan, and in the future - an energy blockade of Russia. To achieve this is not easy, if we remember that Russia has the oil fields of Western Siberia and is not designed Lensky oil pool. But it does not seem impossible, if we take into account the expansion of US oil companies in Tyumen, and the fact that the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia), in whose territory the Lensky oil basin, more and more drawn into the orbit of US influence and the strategic Russian Railway -

Transsib - already cut 100 kilometers of it are laid on Kazakhstan's territory and are controlled by the Kazakh authorities. Add to that the time factor.

6. When Chechnya will be "pacified", the next "Chechnya" will be Tatarstan, and then - Yakutia ... To fasten Russia "neoafgansky regulator", obviously. Because they do not trust the Kremlin. In the last 1-2 years to outline a new strategy "center of the world concept" with regard to those areas which they are elected for the realization of large-scale geopolitical projects - generally eliminate any whatsoever state, as was the case in Afghanistan, Somalia and the like this, It may occur in the current Russia.

7. Clausewitz's famous formula - "war is the continuation of politics" - is complemented by another meaning: "Whose policy - and of war."

Printed on paper Evgeny Morozov, "Whose policy - and of war", published in the newspaper "Tomorrow" 1995

Appendix 3

NATO advance eastward

The end of "cold war", as well as the dissolution of the USSR and the Warsaw Pact have not led to the abolition of NATO. On the contrary, the Alliance's management approved a long-term program ("Partnership for Peace"), according to which all the former socialist countries and republics of the former Soviet Union should be in any way involved in the NATO. Thus, the scope of action and formal boundaries of this block will extend to Asia and the Pacific and South Asia.

The strategists of NATO often emphasize that this unit is already transformed into a purely political alliance, not threatening Eastern Europe and the CIS. According to their statements, to the liquidation of confrontation between East and West an opportunity for close interaction between the former socialist countries and NATO countries in military-political sphere in order to maintain the stability of democracy throughout Europe. As pointed out by the Supreme glavnokomanduyushy NATO forces in Europe, General George Dzhulvan, "the military command turns its gaze to the East and attracts new defense structures there to participate in the implementation of practical programs" (sm. "NATO Review", Russ. Ed., Brussels, 1995 , № 2). What is this program?

The answer gives the same figure: "States Parties of the program" Partnership for Peace "(all CIS countries have acceded to it - AB.) Will seek to ensure the long-term establishment of such armed forces to be better able to conduct operations together with the armed forces of the Alliance "(ibid).

What operations can serve as a prototype of the future, with the participation of Russian troops? In 1992-95 military action blocks have been taken to Somalia, the Gulf region, the former Yugoslavia, Zaire, Iraqi Kurdistan. However, these actions never brought peace, but contributed to the deterioration of the military and political situation in those countries and regions where NATO-UN "protected" or "have implemented" democracy.

Essentially, we are talking about punitive actions against the peoples and governments who are unwilling to obey the geopolitical combinations and interests of the major powers of NATO. But if interested in such actions of the CIS republics?

By the way, Mr. Dzhulvan not talking about the joint CIS military actions of NATO, ie not about the equal participation of the CIS countries in the adoption of military-political bloc of decisions and about bringing these countries to conduct NATO operations elsewhere ("NATO Review", 1995, №2, p. 3). In fact, the CIS countries will have to obey the "supreme" decisions NATO leaders agreed with the UN ...

Another aspect of cooperation between Russia and NATO - is a mutual reduction of armed forces, armaments and military spending, especially on the borders of the bloc with Russia. However, nothing like this happens. In neighboring Russia, NATO countries are still US military bases, and there has nuclear weapons. We are talking about Turkey and Norway.

In addition, in neighboring Russia and CIS countries more frequently conducted military exercises of NATO - they were held and will be held not only in Turkey and Norway, but also in Poland, the Baltic countries. All the countries of Eastern Europe, including the Baltic, to increase imports of weapons from NATO states, primarily from the US, Germany and the UK.

"Geography" NATO exercise in July enriched Ukraine - close to Nikolaev and Kherson held joint exercises of Ukrainian troops and US Marines, who, according to sources in Washington and Kiev will be regular. Black Sea ports of Ukraine, and Bulgaria and Romania, as well as the Danube, with 1994 * open to "visit" of NATO and the US Navy. If we add to that Turkey's membership in NATO and the presence of US military bases close to a number of Black Sea ports of the country, and are also available in eastern Turkey, bordering on Transcaucasia and Iran, the special objects, NATO and the US, the true partnership between Russia and NATO can not speak .

Note that the estimated razvedstruktur NATO and military agencies in Norway and Turkey, if we take the level of combat readiness of the complex of the former USSR at the borders with these countries in 1985 to 100%, then by 1995 the figure was 60-70% in the including staffing with qualified personnel - 55-66%, in the presence of serviceable weapons and ammunition stockpiles - 60-75%, according to-date communications infrastructure - 55-60%.

So, on the one hand, "enveloping" the structures of NATO in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet republics, and on the other - Unilateral disarmament of Russia. However, this is not limited to NATO.

Turkey has among the European countries the largest NATO army, equipped with modern Western European and North American arms. As of 1990-95 years, it is in Turkey and Norway have the highest rates of growth of expenditure on upgrading all the armed forces and the improvement of communications infrastructure among the countries of Europe. Moreover, in Turkish and Norwegian areas adjacent to Russia and the CIS, there is a more active military and communications construction in 1992.

So what is the real strategic goal of the "Partnership for Peace"?

**Printed on paper of Balieva in №1 (56) of the newspaper "Al-Quds",
in September 1995.**

Annex 4

Freemasonry in RUSSIA YESTERDAY AND TODAY

"500 years of gnosis in Europe." Gnostic tradition in printed and handwritten books: Moscow and St. Petersburg. Catalog of the exhibition in the Russian State Library of Foreign Literature. Amsterdam. 1993 goal. 312 p.

"Freemasonry in its past and present." Edited by SP Melgunov and NP Sidorov. Reprint edition of 1915 with the goal. In two volumes. T. 1-266 p. T.2-270 page.

TA Bakunin's "Famous Russian Masons." M. "Interbuk". 1990 goal. 142 pages.

A. Selyaninov. "The secret power of Freemasonry", with Reprint edition: Saint-Petersburg 1911 goal. 294 p.

VI Ivanov. "Orthodox World and Freemasonry", M., "TRIM", 1993, page 96.

B. Bashilov. "The history of Russian Freemasonry" in 9 volumes (14 editions), Library of "Our Contemporary". Of 1992-1995.

These publications are devoted to the complex, you might say, confusing and extremely popular theme. They are only a small part of the literature on the history, structure, and the mysteries of Freemasonry in Russia.

Catalogue of Publications for the Gnostic tradition, collected from numerous collections and exhibited on display in the Library for Foreign Literature, the most professional floor materials related to the outlook of Freemasonry. This was made possible thanks to the joint efforts of the Library of the hermetic philosophy, Amsterdam, the Russian State Library, Moscow, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg., The Central State Archive of Ancient Acts, the Russian Museum of AS Pushkin and said VGBIA. Printed on high-level printing directory offers articles Joost R. Ritman "500 years of gnosis in Europe", Vyacheslav Sun. Ivanov, "Russia and gnosis" Carlos Gilly "Path Russian Gnostics", AI Serkova "Destiny Masonic meetings in Russia," Frans A. Janssen "Library of Hermetic philosophy." in Russian and English texts. The catalog itself is also parallel to the comments for each illustration in two languages. We are talking about titles and individual pages of rare Gnostic Plato publications of Hermes, Raymunla Lull, Jacob Boehme, Gamaliel Lopuchin and others. The book has the necessary notes and biographical information. Directory under review sets the high levels of Freemasonry research, which is essential modernity.

The two-volume edited Melgunov and Sidorova is also one of the most honest books about Freemasonry. It contains articles and research: IM Kheraskov "The Origin of Freemasonry and its development in England in the

XVIII and XIX centuries." AM Wasiutynski "French Freemasonry in the XVIII century", VN Perieva "German Freemasonry in the XVIII Century", AV Semeke "Russian Freemasonry in the XVIII century," and other Russian authors of the famous Masons in Russia, Poland, America, about their societies, rituals and worldview. Especially shown in detail the era of Paul I and Alexander I. At a reprint of old editions managed to maintain the quality of the numerous drawings and prints.

A small book Tatyana A. Bakunin "Famous Russian Masons" is designed for those who are not able to delve into the voluminous tomes and rare editions, but wants to know about Russian Masons most important thing. Her booklet, produced up to now only in emigration, it is a brief biographical reference, which included Suvorov KHERASKOV Novikov, Kutuzov, Paul I, Lopuhin, Karamzin, Alexander I, Griboyedov, Pushkin and OE Instead of an epilogue pomeshena Bakunina article "Russian freestyle masons. " At the end there are no comments modern publishers, as well as a list of books about the Masons that they plan to publish in the «Mysterium magnum» series.

Reprints known work of Alexander Selyaninov significantly complements the understanding of the Masonic issue, not only because it brings the chronology of events before the era of the revolution, but also because of the coverage the most hidden from the uninitiated, the fact of participation in the Masonic movement Jewry. This topic is dedicated to two of his monograph: "Judaism" and "Jews in Russia."

The complex problem of "Freemasonry and the Russian Revolution" explores Bostunitsch Gregory, whose book was published in reprint form. Written shortly after the revolutionary events of 1917, she reveals the secret springs of the Masonic authority in Russia at the beginning of the XX century, and why is subtitled "The truth is mysterious and really real."

The same theme is dedicated brochure historian and publicist VF Ivanov, first published in 1935 in Harbin. He traces the connection of Freemasonry with the occult and theosophical societies of the late XIX - early XX centuries, and gives it a critical evaluation with the Orthodox position. Criticism VF Ivanova found the answer in a paper by Klizovskogo "The truth about Freemasonry." It is also written in the 30's goals. Klizovsky protect the honor and dignity of the outstanding Russian Freemasons and questioned Ivanov's arguments.

Fundamental "History of Russian Freemasonry" B. Bashilova in 9 volumes beginning republish MP "channel" in the Library "Our contemporary". This detailed study is printed in small editions in terms of: 1. The Moscow Russia before the penetration of Freemasonry; 2. The Mystery of Freemasonry, 3. Quietest King and his time; 4. Robespierre on his throne; 5. Start of Freemasonry in Russia; 6. The "Golden Age" of Catherine II; 7. Alexander I and his time; 8. Paul I, and masons; 9. Freemasons and the conspiracy of the Decembrists; 10. Enemy Masons №1; 11. Pushkin and Masonry; 12-13. Freemasonry and the Russian intelligentsia; 14. The legend was true.

By the flow of propaganda books and pamphlets exposing the "Judeo-Masonic conspiracy" include the publication NE Markov's "War of the dark forces", reprinted in "Moskvityanin" publishing house. In it, as in many other publications, mix together the many facts, speculation and myths that hinder the understanding of real-life historical mysteries.

On the extreme complexity and intricacy of the Masonic themes and points Aolla Zamoyski book "Behind the facade of the Masonic temple," M., "Politizdat", 1990. If Selyaninov, and Ivanov Markov Masons represent the anti-Orthodox, and thus anti-Russian forces, then Zamoysky Masons - the most reactionary ruling, including the Christian community. The first suspect Masons in connection with the Jews, and others, on the contrary, consider them to be Nazis.

Thus, the Masonic question can not be considered as finally settled, in no case can it simplify, it is necessary to thoroughly investigate and publicize. This task has set a well-known contemporary historian and publicist, Oleg Platonov. He had prepared for publication a detailed survey and a glossary of the most prominent Russian Masons. In his work, he relied on the secret Masonic documents from the "Special Archive of the USSR", materials which have been opened for the study in 1992, and in May 1994, quickly transferred abroad by a decision of the Russian leadership. Research Platonov "Masonic plot in Russia", partially published in the weekly newspaper "Russian Gazette» № 1-26, in a more complete form of prints since February 1995 the magazine "Our Contemporary".

Among individual newspaper and magazine publications about Freemasonry are the following: S. Putilov. "Secrets" New Atlantis "Francis Bacon" - "Our Contemporary" 1992, №2; B. Ostretsov "Heresy of Utopianism" - "Word", 1992, №1-6; O. Solovyov "Freemasonry in world politics" (in the archives of the XX century) - "Russian Gazette", June 1994 .; "Missionaries from Rue Puteaux ..." - "Politics", 1991, № 16; Ivanov "Masons say" -

"Sloth", 1992, №38; B. Prussians "Secrets" concerned "citizens", - "Tomorrow", 1994 .; S. Putilov "Freemasons in the old and new Russia" - Ibid; Ivanov. "Terrarium" - "Russian Gazette" in 1993, № 12; L.Ohotin. "The threat mondialism" - "Day", April 1991 .; E. Vagin. "Mondialism and Russia", - the "Word", / 99? city, № 10; Dragos Kalaich. "French people? No, shestiugolnichki "-" Russian Messenger ", 1992, № 11!; A. Karagodin. "Revelation mondialists", "Tomorrow" №41, 1994 .; "Beyond the threshold Masonic studio" - "Sweet Angel", Volume 2, 1995.

Those who wish to delve into the world of Freemasons can recommend the issued recently in Russian book Manly P. Hall "Encyclopedic Outline of Masonic, Hermetic, Kabbalistic and Rosicrucian Symbolical Philosophy", "Nauka", Novosibirsk, 1992, 794 p.

Appendix 5

SECRET OF POWER IN THE HISTORY: NEW BOOKS

JK Runners. "Secret forces in the history of Russia." Publishing them. DV Suvorin, St. Petersburg., 1995 goal of 280 pages.

In the book of our contemporary, a prominent Russian-Slavonic scholar, academician of the International Slavic, Petrovsky and Russian academies, Doctor of Philology Bulgaria and Russia, associate professor and researcher of Pushkin House, the author of about three hundred scientific and popular works on the history of Russian literature, as well as numerous articles on current topics in modern patriotic press, collected his publications on the secret mechanisms of the historical process and the backstage activities of such political forces as Freemasonry, Zionism, Bolshevism, mondialism. The uniqueness of the monograph JK Begunova is that it pays special attention to a documentary based on research. His book is a kind of commentary on the rare documents and publications, has long remained outside the attention of the Russian public.

The collection opens with reflections on the historical path of Russia, the driving forces of history, of, overt and covert mechanisms of power. The author divides the whole of Russian history in the six civilizations, each with its specific features. Currently, according to him, we are experiencing a transition in the seventh civilization model, an open and pro-Western type. The second chapter of the mystery of Israel and the beginning of political Zionism. It analyzes the so-called "Arles document" in 1489, containing the message of Constantinople rabbis. In the next chapter "How to destroy Russia" - the document Pike in 1890. This is followed by comments on the pamphlet Dabushera "Dream Kaiser" 1890 and the secret memorandum Lamsdorff 1906 goals. Several chapters are devoted to the famous "Protocols of the Elders of Zion." The author answers the questions: Who are the "Elders of Zion" as it belonged to the Theodor Herzl, whether their author Sergei Nilus and whether the "Protocols" Adolf Hitler read. According to the academician Begunova "Protocols" are an authentic document, which has played a sinister role in the destruction of Russia. A separate set of materials reveals the secret springs of the Bolshevik revolution. It shed light on the history of German money Lenin and his journey in a sealed train, examines the role of the American Bankers Zionists in Trotsky's nomination to the highest positions of the Bolshevik government. In the chapter "Who rules the Soviet Russia in 1918-1919" is the complete list of the members of the Soviet Government on the New York paper in 1920. At the end of the book the author presents material relating to our time already. This Turkish document in 1976, the history of the Tripartite Commission and Bilderbegskogo club, "the letter from New York" 1989-1990 of the revival of Zionism in the Soviet Union after the "perestroika" and, finally, a list of modern Masons OA Platonov.

In conclusion - Where is "living water" for Russia? - Academician Runners offers a program of revival of Russian statehood. The Annex contains 15 diagrams illustrating historical facts and author's conclusions. The book "Secret forces in the history of Russia" continues the research started Selyaninov, Skull-Spiridovich, Markov, V. Ivanov, Klimov, Lichevym, Nikolov and others. Perhaps nowhere is Begunova have not opened so clearly and so convincingly documented no role secret forces in the destruction of Russia. Collection of articles of academician Begunova, released in 1000 copies, can be recommended not only for the reading of all the patriots, but also to re-release for mass circulation.

BI Nicholas. "Secret pages of history." Moscow, Publishing House of humanitarian literature, 1995, 508 p.

The former Bolshevik and Menshevik and then Boris Nicholas is best known as a historian-archivist specializing in the pages of secret revolutionary and Soviet era. However, he did not become famous in Russia and in exile. Eight hundred-odd boxes of valuable materials from the archives of the Police Department, the Main Department

of archival affairs and historical-revolutionary archives collected Nikolaev, safely arrived in the US. These curious documents and issued us with the permission of the Hoover Institution (Stanford) A.I.N. SOUTH. Felshtinsky.

The collection opens with archival research Nicholas "On the History of the Bolshevik Center", which is on the title for clarity is called "Lenin and the Bolsheviks money." Next follows chronologically section "Germany and the Russian revolutionaries in the First World War", with priceless documents of subversive activities in Russia, the German secret service, the rich Lenin's "friends" of foreign funding Bolshevik "Pravda", etc. "Minutes of the Political Bureau and other secret documents in 1934" shed light on the mechanism of functioning of the Stalinist party apparatus, both in domestic and in international affairs. Sketch Nicholas "To biography Malenkov and history of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union" brings the historical picture to the last years of Stalin's life, talking about the special mission of a new generation of party leaders.

There is no doubt that the sensational facts that have become the property of Western science in the 1930-1950's, and will be of interest for us.

LN Kay. "The World Conspiracy", Moscow - New York, "the Alpha and the Omega" 1993 goal, 108 p.

"By offering this terrible pamphlet to your attention, I must warn you that it raised issues are of global concern and global importance ..." - these words of L. Kay begins the foreword to the study revealing the secret power structures prevailing in the US and other countries. Part One "Hidden Hand" sets out the basic provisions of the famous book of General Count Skull-Spiridovich a plot against Russia. It reveals the participation of the Rothschild family in European politics XIX-XX centuries. The next part - of the tactics and strategies of international banks. The struggle for power over the world has led to the creation of new transnational structures such as Billerbergsky Club and the Trilateral Commission. On these structures and their leaders-Zionists G.Kisindzhere, A.Bernse et al. The final chapter.

A. Kuzmich. "The conspiracy of world government", 1994, page 80.

The collection of the scientist and writer-publicist Anatoly Kuzmich Tsikunova, known by the alias "Kuzmich" includes sensational article, for which he paid with his life: "Russia and the market", "How we rob Yen", "Action" Privatization, "", " Behind a screen of "trade secrets", "" Why did the Soviet-finances do not sing love songs? ", " Tax on life ", " back door. " What they did not like the organizers of the murder? A. Kaledin, a compilation, in the conclusion summarizes the findings of a patriotic scientist as follows: "1. The restructuring imposed on us from outside and carried out it is not in our interest. 2. Our resources do not belong to us: Hypocritical compradors have long sold them for next to nothing, so no free enterprise, free market development can not be. 3. Our country, mainly Russia, according to the plans of the international mafia should become a colony of TNCs, where will that serves the most hazardous industry. We're with you, the representatives of "unprofitable" population, are meant to be the "the most cheap labor." 4. Our currency serves turnover of the so-called developed countries. 5. We do not work on themselves, but on the internationalist nomads who have relatives abroad, dual citizenship with the right to multiple trips to any part of the land. 6. Prices are dictated to us from outside in order to bring them to the level of the world. 7. Our last treasure - Russian land is leased by foreign companies and banks, which in the future will become its owners. No collective and state farms and farmers will not be able to stay on the land in such conditions. "This is the terrible truth about the current" reforms "in Russia.

MV Nazarov. "The Russian-American joint revolution ...", M., "Choice", 1994, page 34.

Brochure modern philosopher and historian Mikhail Nazarov, author of "The Mission of the Russian emigration", "HISTORIOSOPHY troubled times" and "Conspiracy against Russia", dedicated to the key issue of our lives. It is reflected in the title of the publication. "We are in the midst of the Russian-American joint revolution" - so said President Yeltsin at a press conference in connection with the visit to Moscow, the head of the US Clinton. Anyone who does not understand the true meaning of these words does not understand anything in the "restructuring". All, or almost all of the most important events in Russia today happen for the American scenario: the "health reform" from which halved production, and "nationwide" election of the Russian president, to shoot its own parliament, and "free, equal and democratic elections", which gave the formation of over 200 dwarf parties and 50 electoral blocs, and the systematic defamation of patriotic nationalists, called the press only as "fascists" and "Black Hundreds". It's about them, our overseas screenwriters, the words of the First Hierarchy of the Russian Orthodox Church, Metropolitan Vitaly, given by the author at the end of the brochure: "There will be thrown all the power, billions of gold, only to extinguish the flames of Russian Revival. That's before what is today Russia. This is worse than Napoleon and Hitler. "



The opinion of the author's site does not always coincide with the views of the authors of published materials!



© Yavolod 2003