

germanvictims.com – 100 000 Americans have been killed in Korea and Vietnam-by our own technology... About 100 Soviet ships are used on the Haiphong run to carry Soviet weapons and supplies for Hanoi’s annual aggression... There was no such thing as Soviet technology. ...Almost all-perhaps 90-95 per cent [of weapons] came directly or indirectly from the United States and its allies...

THIS IS A TYPICAL EXAMPLE OF SUPPLYING BOTH SIDES WITH WEAPONS BY THE SAME INSTIGATORS. PURPOSE: SOLDIERS TO KILL EACH OTHER AND DECIMATE COUNTRIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL GANG, INCLUDING THEIR COWARDLY HENCHMEN IN THE U.S.A. NEXT COME THE RAPE, ROB, TORTURE AND MURDER ORGIES WHILE THEY BUY LOW AND LATER SELL HIGH A COUNTRY THEY HAVE STOLEN.

THE SUTTON REVELATIONS

“... 100 000 Americans have been killed in Korea and Vietnam-by our own technology. The only response from Washington and the Nixon Administration is the effort to hush up the scandal.” This is the explosive indictment, not of

some “neurotic right-wing extremist”, but of Antony C. Sutton, the Western world’s most out- standing academic on Western technological and eco-nomic aid to the Soviet Empire.



soviet flag



american flag

Antony Sutton’s carefully documented studies shatter completely the most dangerous myth ever presented to a gullible mankind; a myth accepted by non-Communists as well as Communists and their fellow-travellers. The myth was once stated to me as follows by a young first- year school teacher: “Communism has certainly been responsible for brutalities with

which we cannot agree. But starting with an impoverished Russia in 1917, Com-munism has in the face of bitter opposition from the capitalist world, progressively built the Soviet Union up to the stage where it is one of the great super-powers in the world. We must admit its tremendous industrial and technological achievements and now learn how to co-exist with the Soviet Union.” Like tens of millions of other people, that teacher was reflecting an image created by the instruments of modern centralised propaganda. A false image can be created by a combination of both distortion and suppression. The result is that people are living in two worlds: the one presented by the creators of false images and the one of reality. The reality presented by Antony Sutton is one which the deeper students of Communism have known about

11

for a long time. Some of these have dealt with different aspects of the evidence concerning the external financing of the Soviet Union. In his last book, *The Bolshevik Invasion of the West* (1966), the late Louis Budenz, the former top Communist and Managing Editor of *The Daily Worker* (U.S.A.), who eventually came back to his Christian Faith, warned of a growing alliance between “Wall Street”-international financial groups-and Mos-cow. However, the evidence presented by Sutton is much more concrete than that presented by others. Once it is grasped that Western technology and industry has been primarily

responsible for the creation of the formidable Soviet military machine, and the expanding Soviet naval power, the reality takes on a chilling significance which those who wish to retain freedom can only ignore at their peril.

British-born Antony Sutton, former professor of economics at California State University at Los Angeles, began a study late in the 1950's of the transfer of Western technology to the Soviet Union and how the Soviet economy and military-industrial complex had benefited from this flow of Western assistance. The first work was completed in 1966 and published in 1968 by the Hoover Institution at Stanford University under the title *Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development, 1917 to 1930*. Deeper students of International Communism quickly perceived that an outstanding academic had carefully documented in detail the vast technological and economic aid which the West had been supplying to the Soviet Union since 1918, confirming what they already knew.

The second volume of Sutton's study was published by the Hoover Institution in 1971 under the title *Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development, 1945 to 1965*. Although Sutton's studies are of the greatest significance, they were only publicised in reviews in a few conservative and anti-Communist publications. But the media generally ignored what is beyond doubt the most significant work of the last 50 years. However, a few Members of the Republican Party grasped the vital importance of Sutton's research work with the result that he was invited to testify before a sub-committee of the

12

Platform Committee of the Republican Party at Miami Beach, Florida, on August 15, 1972. I am informed that many of those who heard Sutton found it a most shattering experience, which is not surprising when they were told that President Nixon, the man they were planning to re-elect in November, was permitting American troops in Vietnam to be killed with American technology. If it had been adequately publicised, Sutton's testimony would have caused a national sensation and had a major impact on the elections.

BOMBSHELL FACTS

Sutton made his position clear at the beginning by stating that he was not a politician and that his responsibility was to present facts. He was not concerned about whether his listeners liked or disliked his facts. After pointing out that he had spent ten years in research on Soviet technology, he then proceeded in a short fifteen minutes to drop bombshells such as the following:

There was no such thing as Soviet technology. "Almost all-perhaps 90-95 per cent came directly or indirectly from the United States and its allies. In effect the United States and the NATO countries have built the Soviet Union. Its industrial and its military capabilities. This massive construction job has taken 50 years . . . It has been carried out through trade and the sale of plants, equipment and technical assistance . . . The United States is spending \$80 billion a year on defence against an enemy built by the United States and West Europe. Even stranger, the U.S. apparently wants to make sure this enemy remains in the business of being an enemy."

"The Soviets have the largest merchant marine in the world-about 6000 ships. I have the specification for each ship. About two-thirds were built outside the Soviet Union. About four-fifths of the engines for these ships were also built outside the Soviet Union."

"About 100 Soviet ships are used on the Haiphong run to carry Soviet weapons and supplies for Hanoi's annual aggression. I was able to identify 84 of these ships. None of the main engines in these ships was designed and manufactured inside the USSR. All the larger and faster vessels on the Haiphong run were built outside the USSR."

“All Soviet automobile, truck and engine technology comes from the West.” The Gorki organisation, built by the Ford and Austin companies, produced most of the trucks used to carry Soviet-supplied military equipment down the Ho Chi Minh trail. Automobile factories can also be used to build tanks.”

Antony Sutton summarized his testimony with his charge that 100 000 Americans had been killed with American technology, adding “The only response from Washington and the Nixon Administration is the effort to hush up the scandal.” No wonder the Republicans listen-ing felt chilly! While they could not argue against Sutton’s charge that “You do not subsidize an enemy”, they also feared that Sutton was right when he said that “when this story gets out and about in the United States, it’s going to translate into a shift of votes.” **It was there- fore essential to ensure that as far as possible the story did not get publicised. Political survival and party loyalties were much more important than trying to expose a treacherous policy of aid to an enemy killing American troops.**

But what about the news media? Surely the Sutton exposure was news by any standard? Both the **two major American wire services UPI and AP received copies of the Sutton testimony. Both suppressed it! And the media generally has ignored Antony Sutton’s book, National Suicide (1973) in which he tells the whole dreadful story of how the Soviet has been built up by the West over fifty years.** At the conclusion of an address to a British audience in 1972, during which I had mentioned the emerging policy of Western nations openly providing the Soviet with increasing credits on a long-term basis and at low interest rates, a member of the audience took me aside and introduced himself as an oil technologist whose work took him throughout much of Soviet Russia. He stressed the appalling state of much of the Soviet economy, particularly agriculture. He also told me of how in Moscow he had met with a number of American computer experts who had come to the Soviet to instruct the Russians on the latest American computer equipment being supplied on credit. Sutton points out that computers are now indispensable in modern warfare. And so are heavy, multi-axle trucks for transporting both troops and

heavy equipment. The huge Soviet Kama organisation, the biggest in the world, has been virtually a gift from the United States. The American Export-Import Bank has advanced direct loans of \$86.5 million for the pro-ject, while the Rockefeller Chase National Bank of New York anticipates making loans up to \$192 million. The Kama organisation is projected to manufacture 100 000 trucks per year, more than all the American truck manu-facturers put together.

In a testimony presented to the United States of America House International Trade Sub-committee of the Banking and Currency Committee, on April 24, 1974, Sutton said that “About 1968 I became concerned with our policy of technical assistance to the Soviet military- industrial complex, a policy denied by the State Depart-ment, and some Members of Congress. **This technically subsidised Soviet economy was providing about 80 per cent of all supplies to North Vietnam and U.S. troops were being killed in Vietnam.** I made numerous attempts to bring the problem to public attention . . .

“. . . none of these efforts on my part had any recog-nisable impact. Therefore, in late 1972 I put together the information immediately at hand into a book: **National Suicide: Military Aid To The Soviet Union, published by Arlington House in New York.” This was in October, 1973.**

National Suicide published as an appendix Sutton’s testimony before the Republican Party Platform Com-mittee in August, 1972. Also given are the specifications of the **ninety-six Soviet ships identified transporting weapons and supplies to North Vietnam, 1966-1971.** Sutton tells the whole dreadful story of how the Soviet has been built up over fifty years. He names firms, organisations and Presidents.

National Suicide has been generally ignored by the media. It is too explosive, even for those self-proclaimed “fearless” journalists who are allegedly always searching for the truth.

National Suicide was also too much for some professed anti-Communists. In his Testimony before the American Banking and Currency Sub-committee, Sutton revealed how, after National Suicide came to the attention of the Hoover Institution, “I immediately – and I mean im-mediate-ly – came under considerable criticism and hostility for publishing the book. My name was removed from my position as Research Fellow at the Hoover In-stitution. My hasty conversion into a non-person was so complete that the third volume of my Hoover series, which was then in press, had its dust jacket changed to read ‘was a Research Fellow at the Hoover Institute from 1968 to 1973’.”

Sutton has commented that his shameful treatment by the Hoover Institution because of his protests against American military aid to the Soviet Union “parallels the police state tactics of Hitler’s Germany [*he is very wrong here and brainwashed about Hitler~germanvictims] and is a pitiful state of affairs to encounter at one of this country’s great universities.”

Sutton effectively disposes of the argument that it is possible to have “peaceful” trade with the Soviet Union. Automobile manufacturing organisations can also be used for producing a variety of military vehicles. The Gorld organisation, for example, built under the guise of “peace-ful trade”, produced in 1964 the first Soviet wire-guided anti-tank system. Western-provided tractor plants have been used to produce Soviet tanks. Technological as-sistance to the Soviet to produce chemicals for agricul-ture has in fact meant vital assistance to the Soviet munitions industry.

Antony Sutton says “let’s take a look at the Soviet industry that provides the parts and materials for Soviet armaments: the guns, tanks, aircraft. The Soviets have the largest iron and steel plant in the world. It was built by McKee Corporation. It is a copy of the U.S. steel plant in Gary, Indiana. All Soviet iron and steel technology comes from the U.S. and its allies. The Soviets use open hearths, American electric furnaces, American wide strip mills, and so on – all developed in the West and shipped in as peaceful trade.

“The Soviets have the largest tube and pipe mill in Europe – one million tons a year. The equipment is Fretz-Moon, Salem, Aetna Standard, Mannesman, etc. All Soviet tube and pipe making technology comes from the U.S. and its allies. If you know anyone in the space business, ask him how many miles of tubes and pipes go into a missile.”Perhaps the classical example of what “peaceful” trade with the Soviet means was provided by the

16

U.S. State Department’s approval that Burmeister and Wain of Copen-hagen, Denmark, should provide the Soviet with technical assistance for the manufacturing of diesel engines. **These engines were used in the ships which carried Soviet nuclear missiles to Cuba!**

Excerpt from “Censored History” 1974 / 1983 – Eric Butler – Full Book below:

[Censored-History – Eric Butler](#)

[Sutton–WesternTechnologyAndSovietEconomicDevelopment1917To1930-pdf](#)

[Sutton–WesternTechnologyAndSovietEconomicDevelopment1930To1945-pdf](#)

[Sutton–WesternTechnologyAndSovietEconomicDevelopment1945To1965-pdf](#)