


## Veles Sloboda

### WHO CONTROLS AMERICA?

---

**Anthony Sutton**



Share :



---

#### CONTENT:

**about the author**

**Chapter first. What is the Trilateral Commission?**

**Chapter Two. MEMBERSHIP of the Trilateral Commission**

**Chapter Three. NEW WORLD ORDER AS A GOAL**

**Chapter Four. POLICY monopoly control**

**Chapter Five. TWO DECADES OF PLANNING tripartite commission IN AGRICULTURE**

**ECONOMY**

**Chapter Six. Trilateral NOT LIKE TAXES FOR IT ...**

**Chapter Seven. ETERNAL WAR FOR ETERNAL WORLD**

**Chapter Eight. POLITICS OF NEW WORLD ORDER AND FORMATION OF ADMINISTRATION Trilateral Commission**

**Chapter Nine. FRIENDS OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER**

**Chapter Ten. OLD AND NEW MARXISTS**

**Chapter Eleven. WHERE Tripartite Commission erred**

**Chapter Twelve. CONCLUSIONS**

**Annex 1**

**Appendix 2**

**Appendix 3**

**Annex 4**

---

Anthony Sutton - known and respected contemporary scholar of secret mechanisms of power operating in the United States. He is the author of over two dozen documented monographs, two of which have been published in Russian: "How to Order organizes War and Revolution" (Moscow, "Pallada", 1995) and "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" (Moscow, "Russian idea ", 1998).

"The Tripartite Commission over America" - A new study by Anthony Sutton. It is entirely dedicated to one of the major international financial and political structures, whose influence extends far beyond the United States.

The book has twelve chapters ( "What is the Trilateral Commission?", "The New World Order as a goal," "monopoly control policy", "Global money monopoly" and others.), As well as the application with a list of members of the Trilateral Commission (TC). Based on the documents and scientific works, the author analyzes the events of the 1970s - 1990s, including during the Clinton Presidency.

In the Russian version of the publication contains additional applications: translating new documents from the official website of TC [www.trilateral.org](http://www.trilateral.org), as well as the article "Meet the Trilateral Commission" P. Budzilovich.

A new study by Anthony Sutton's necessary to understand the mechanisms of power in the United States, the international financial and political processes, external causes of the deep crisis in modern Russia. It will certainly be interested in a political science specialists and a wide range of readers who want to keep abreast of world events.

---

## about the author

Anthony Sutton - known and respected contemporary scholar of secret mechanisms of power operating in the United States. He is the author of over two dozen documented studies, two of which have been published in Russian: "How to Order organizes War and Revolution" (Moscow, "Pallada", 1995) and "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" (Moscow, "Russian idea ", 1998).

Anthony C. Sutton, PhD, was born in London, England, in 1925, spent most of his life in the United States. With academic training in economics and engineering, Sutton has worked in exploration, iron and steel industry. In 1960 he became a professor of economics at California State University in Los Angeles, and then seven years of doing research as a fellow at Stanford University.

The Hoover Institution (Stanford) Sutton wrote three volumes of the works of Soviet technology: "Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development" (re-released several times). This was followed by the book "National Suicide: Military aid to the Soviet Union", which accused the establishment in the killing of Americans in Vietnam of its own technology. Under pressure from the White House, Hoover Institute fellow deprived Antony Sutton titles.

Intrigued by the powerful forces behind this hostile act, an American scientist researched and wrote three volumes of the financial and political support of the international bankers of Wall Street three variants of socialism. They were published in 1970 as "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution," "Wall Street and the coming of Hitler", "Wall Street and Franklin Delano Roosevelt."

After retiring from Stanford, Sutton began to publish a "Letter of the Phoenix", a monthly newsletter on the abuse of power, and in 1990 began publishing another newsletter

"Intelligence report on future technologies", dedicated to sensitive technologies.

Being a staunch constitutionalist, Sutton openly and legitimately expresses his disdain for Washington's usurpation of political power, always based on facts.

"The Tripartite Commission over America" - A new study by Anthony Sutton. It is entirely dedicated to one of the major international financial and political structures, whose influence extends far beyond the United States.

The book has twelve chapters ( "What is the Trilateral Commission?", "The New World Order as a goal," "monopoly control policy", "Global money monopoly" and others.), As well as the application with a list of members of the Trilateral Commission (TC). Based on documents and research, the author analyzes the events of the 1970s - 1990s, including during the Clinton Presidency.

In the Russian version of the publication contains additional applications: translation of documents from the official site of TC, as well as the article "Meet the Trilateral Commission" P.Budzilovicha.

A new study by Anthony Sutton's necessary to understand the mechanisms of power in the United States, the international financial and political processes, external causes of the deep crisis in modern Russia. It will certainly be interested in a political science specialists and a wide range of readers who want to keep abreast of world events.

**Paul Tulaev**

---

## **Chapter first**

### **What is the Trilateral Commission?**

The Trilateral Commission was founded in 1973 by New York banker David Rockefeller, the then chairman of the Chase Manhattan Bank, and Harvard University professor Zbigniew Brzezinski, who later became assistant to President Jimmy Carter's national security.

What is the Trilateral Commission?

"The Trilateral Commission - by definition - was founded in 1973 by private citizens of Western Europe, Japan and North America in order to facilitate closer cooperation between the three regions on common problems. It aims to improve public understanding of such problems, to support proposals for joint solving them and to establish the common practice of joint work in these regions. "

However, populist political circles, the Trilateral Commission considers frank mechanism for achieving the New World Order, that sounds suspiciously like other dictatorial "world order"

From this point of view, the "tripartite" are the enemies of freedom, and serve to establish a monopoly on the world political power for their own interests.

Twenty years ago, the Tripartite Commission has a very short history and its intention was hard to find. Today, in 1994, we can look at 20-year history of the Trilateral Commission and a more accurate assessment of its objectives in the light of past political actions.

Initially, in 1972, Rockefeller and Brzezinski chose 200 members around the world, including about one-third of North Americans, Europeans and one-third of one-third of the Japanese. In 1993, this figure in the world increased by about 325 members, called the Trilateral Commission "prominent citizens", but in fact reflect an extremely narrow range of world views and cultures, the layer completely unelected, and do not represent anything other than personal views of David Rockefeller.

From the outset, the commission called "private" and "informal", with the stated purpose "to bring together the highest level informal group to jointly address common challenges facing our three regions" and "to strengthen cooperation."

All reports of the Tripartite Commission can find a mixture between "private" and "public". The Commission shall be approved as a private group founded a private citizen - David Rockefeller. However, its objectives and operations are focused on public policy.

From the documents of the Tripartite Commission:

"The full Commission shall meet once every year - in Lisbon in 1992, in Washington - in 1993, in Tokyo - in 1994. In addition to the special thematic sessions and reviews of current events in our regions, a significant part of each annual meeting dedicated to the consideration of the draft reports submitted to the Commission. These reports are generally the joint product of the authors of each of the three regions, which are collected at the level of consultants in the course of their work. During the discussion at the annual meeting of the Commission should be published. Authors are responsible for its final text. A separate publication appears at the annual meeting. As a result of regional activity sometimes appear informal labor.

Each regional group has a chairman and his deputy, who together make up the leadership of the Commission. The Executive Committee of the total membership includes 36 more people. "

In short, this group of private citizens organized in such a way as to ensure its collective views of a significant impact on public policy. They meet, they review, they discuss, they report, and then publish their recommendations.

For what purpose? The Trilateral Commission is unlikely would spend so much energy and funds for academic exercise ... The aim should be to define public policy guidelines for all governments in the world.

Further. The members are not elected - they are selected. Chairman of the executive committee, the committee, selects the members, is David Rockefeller, who is also the founder and chairman of the whole of the Trilateral Commission. The entire structure reflects Rockefeller's choice, not an impartial or a representative selection.

This phenomenon has not gone unnoticed by the observers. July 27, 1979 KMG radio municipality Blafors, Iowa, interviewed by George Franklin, the then coordinator of the Tripartite Commission. This writer was a guest of the program. This is a record of how Franklin answered the question about the influence of the Rockefeller.

"Commentator: Why the Trilateral Commission are constantly appears the name of David Rockefeller, or the name of one of its organizations?

Franklin: Well, it's very natural. David Rockefeller - chairman of the North American group. There are three chairmen: one North American group, a group of Japanese and

one European group. In addition, the Commission's idea of creating a truly belongs to David Rockefeller. "

Franklin is aware that there Rockefeller criticism and tries to fend off her. The fact that Rockefeller personally involved in the selection of members of the Commission, suggests that the Commission was established in order to achieve family and personal goals. If not for that, the Rockefeller would allow others to make the appropriate choice.

Then the commentator takes the discussion to the former while the Carter administration, in which the Commission co-founder Zbigniew Brzezinski was an advisor to the president for national security, and which occupied important positions numerous other "tripartite".

"Announcer: How many members of the Trilateral Commission in the state of President Carter?

Franklin: Eighteen.

Commentator: Do not you think it's too much?

Franklin: Yes, that's quite a lot.

Commentator: Do not you think it's pretty unusual? What in fact the members of the Trilateral Commission?

Franklin: We have in the US - 77.

Commentator. Do not you think it strange that the 18 members of the Tripartite Commission comprised in the state of Carter?

Franklin: Yes, I think we have chosen a very capable people, when we founded the Commission. And the President of the good opinion of many of them.

Then, the author of the interview addressed the issue of election of members of the Commission and the effect of Rockefeller. Franklin denies the obvious. To any sane person it is clear that the Trilateral Commission - an organization Rockefeller, founded in their interests and it certainly has an important influence. Impact - is the raison d'être of the Trilateral Commission.

"Sutton: Do you believe that the only capable people in the United States - are members of the Trilateral Commission?

Franklin: Of course not. When we started to select members, we tried to choose the ablest possible people, and I believe that many of those who took in the Carter administration, would be chosen by any group interested in issues of foreign policy.

Sutton: Do you mean to say that you render undue influence on US policy?

Franklin: No, I did not say.

Sutton: I think that any sane person would say that if you are in the Carter administration of the 18 members of the Trilateral Commission, their influence prevails.

FRANKLIN: These people are not responsible for what would defend the Trilateral Commission. We have about two reports a year and we hope that they have a certain influence, otherwise we would not publish them.

Sutton: You can have one more question?

Franklin. Yes.

SUTTON: Who initially funded Trilateral Commission?

Franklin: Um ... first, who are supported, the fund was called "Kettering Foundation." I can tell you who is funding it now, that perhaps you are more interested in?

Sutton: This is not the Rockefeller Brothers Fund?

Franklin: Rockefeller Brothers Fund? North American part of the Trilateral Commission is required 1.5 million. Dollars for the next 3 years. Of this amount, \$ 180,000 will give the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and \$ 150,000 David Rockefeller.

Announcer: Does this mean that the Rockefellers are most of the costs?

Franklin: No, it means that about one-fifth of the North American funded by the Rockefellers and anything European and Japanese sides.

Moreover, the Rockefeller family were long-term interests in certain social and political organizations that have the effect of high importance. The Trilateral Commission only increased the workload and increase the influence of the Council on Foreign Relations, chaired for many years was the same David Rockefeller.

Another sponsored and funded by the Rockefeller family was on critical situations, the Commission, the task of which was to determine the "critical situation, the United States coming to the threshold of the twenty-first century." Again, there is a commission appointed by the Rockefellers to decide what is best for all Americans.

The Commission consisted of 42 famous Americans, gathered together under the chairmanship of Nelson A. Rockefeller. Their task - to determine the critical situation to be faced by America when entering into its third century as a nation, as well as the actual and desired goals, which this nation can achieve by the year 1995 and the year 2000-th.

The Commission was established on a voluntary expression of the American tradition. This 42 citizens who have come together to assist in predicting the future of America events.

Because of the complexity and interdependence of the problems facing the world today, the Commission has built its work in 6 groups of experts, which emphasizes the relationship rather critical of shares, rather than studying each case individually.

The six research groups:

Group I - energy and its relation to the environment, the economy and global stability;

Group II - nutrition, health, the world's population and standard of living;

Group III - raw materials, industrial development, capital formation, employment and international trade;

Group IV - - International trade and foreign exchange system, inflation and the relationship between the different economic systems;

Group V - change, national security and peace;

Group VI - the standard of living of individuals and society in the United States.

In short, the Commission - a research group of the Rockefeller financed largely Rockefeller organization.

"Corporation of the third century" or "New York-based non-profit organization", was created to finance the work of the Commission. Since its launch in autumn 1973 the corporation received a cash infusion and promises of assistance from individuals and foundations, are well known for their support of socially significant activities.

## **MOVING TO A NEW WORLD ORDER**

Is an external as a discussion group of high-level Trilateral Commission is dedicated to the New World Order. The most comprehensive concept of a New World Order can be found in a co-founder of the Trilateral Commission Zbigniew Brzezinski, "Between Two Ages: America's Role in the era tehnotroniki" published before the foundation of the Trilateral Commission. This book - the program "a more just and equitable world." In a sense, it is not much different from dozens of other plans for political control, have their roots in ancient times, and which also include "Utopia" Mora, the writings of Karl Marx, Lenin, Hitler, Mao.

The only document in history, clearly defining and limiting government control, it is the United States Constitution. It is unique, for limiting the power of government. Book Brzezinski rejects the constitution, for the same reasons that all other political documents denied freedom, since it "does not meet the requirements." However, Brzezinski rejects the constitution on the basis of the unique - "Age can not bear the problems of assimilation of the old into the new," but many of the problems created by the very members of the Tripartite Commission, which now offer solutions for them.

According to Brzezinski, humanity has gone through three stages of evolution, and we are now in the middle of the fourth and final stage. In some secular Brzezinski's analysis is similar to the "Factor Mile" Hong Arguellesa, mystical calendar of the world, divided into periods. According to this calendar, today our world is also in the final stages of decay, which will culminate in 2012, when the world will move to a higher level of consciousness.

The first global stage portrayed by Brzezinski as "religious" and combines "divine universalism that comes from accepting the idea that a man's fate is essentially in the hands of God" with the "earthly limitations, stemming from mass ignorance, illiteracy and presenting limited immediate environment" .

The second stage - this is nationalism, which is defined as "another giant step in the progressive redefinition of man's nature and place in our world." In Arguellesa it is called "materialism". Materialist philosophy of Marxism is the third stage. For Brzezinski "This represents a significant step in and create a universal dimension representation of man." Neither David Rockefeller or Zbigniew Brzezinski does not mention the technological and moral weaknesses and excesses of Marxism. They see it as a "constructive and important factor in the full human development." In fact - and the story is now demonstrated what some of us argued Gad - Marxism - is an empty deceit, trickery, the mechanism for the New York capitalists control the country with the help of technology and debt.

US trade unions, especially in the Samuel Gompers and George Meany, recognize the lie of Marxism. Wall Street and many of the scientists who had to deal in this matter, were

the sponsors, allies and advocates of Marxism. This - the capitalists, because the state monopoly is the possibility of monopoly markets and monopoly profits without any hard-hitting criticism of "the street". This - the scientists, because financed by Wall Street universities provide opportunities for self and samopovysheniya.

After Marxism, according to Brzezinski, comes the fourth and finalnaya.stadiya, tehnotroniki era of a global scale, the result of American-Communist cooperation,

Here's a look at Brzezinski's modern structure that is distorted frame of the New World Order political structure:

Tension is inevitable for as man strives to assimilate the new to the old structure. Some time created structure resiliently integrates the new by adapting it in a more familiar form. But at some point the old structure is overloaded. The new infusion can no longer be transformed into traditional forms, and ultimately it is approved by a force majeure. Although today the old structure of international politics - with its spheres of influence, military alliances between nations - states, the fiction of independence, doctrinal conflicts arising from nineteenth century crises - is clearly no longer compatible with reality.

It is clear that the evaluation Brzezinski changes largely incorrect, and this will undoubtedly affect the incorrect nature of the world to come. The New World Order is not inevitable.

Firstly, there is a tendency to create a small political groups, reflecting the interests of ethnic and national groups. In the United States there are proposals to create independent states and divide them into two or three segments, as long education become unmanageable and "overloaded". The structure of the "overloaded", but the response - a smaller, rather than larger unmanaged education. This reaction is the reverse of the proposed Tripartite Commission.

Secondly, when it comes to "rational humanism", Brzezinski's assessment is far from the modern evolution. Religion comes back in a more spiritual form. Older church, institutionalized churches, are suffering losses, especially the Catholic Church, which is 2000 years old. However, religion is reborn through modern technology, radiotserkvi and television evangelists preaching. Also, there is the spiritual evolution of extraordinary proportions in non-traditional ways, such as meditation and community groups. Some of these groups, such as Universal and Arc in Montana, founded and made in the traditional areas.

There is nothing of "humanism" and irreligious! However, it is in technology, we find deviations from the "new world", Brzezinski proposed and adopted by David Rockefeller and the Trilateral Commission. Future Technology is obliged to reckon with the emerging spiritual component. The physics of the future, the physics of ultrahigh energies and physics of the vacuum energy of the twenty-first century - is also a spiritual technology. Eastern concept of Buddhism and the material world is much merge with vacuum physics West, Zen and western physics merge into a form that destroys the idea of the Trilateral Commission. Yes, this new infusion can not be analyzed in the traditional way, Brzezinski is right. But the new structure is not a fixed-New World Order. Paradoxically. The New World Order is a reflection of the old traditional patterns! Brzezinski and Rockefeller offered to replace the old structure of the new, but the structure of their control.

## Chapter Two

## MEMBERSHIP of the Trilateral Commission

An interesting aspect of the Tripartite Commission is that it is soon going to power the administrators, rather than holders of power.

David Rockefeller, to a large extent - the only center of power in the entire tripartite directory. Politicians, lawyers, bureaucrats, media activists, trade union functionaries have come and gone in the tripartite corridors of power - they are transient administrators. They retain administrative positions only because they have successfully used political power to solve political problems. Operators, in general, do not make problems - this is an important moment. This group of operators can be called "mercenaries". As once said about the Congress, Senator Mansfield: "To move forward, we must move." Operators of the Trilateral Commission achieve the highest success in the "movement".

In 1970, nine members of the American Trilateral Commission were legal establishment of the very influential leading law firms. Area "revolving doors" between the so-called public service and private profit, where officials from the Justice choose between private practice and public service, even more difficult to pinpoint. For some reason, two of the nine lawyers were partners in a law firm in Los Angeles "O'Melveni and Myers": senior partner William T. Coleman, Jr. (also director of the Rockefeller Chase Manhattan Bank and former Minister of Transport), and Warren Christopher, who He was a partner from 1958 to 1967. and from 1969 until the time he became deputy secretary of state in the Carter administration and Secretary of State under Clinton in 1993.

In 1994, William T. Coleman, Jr., who is currently a senior partner of the firm "O'Melveni and Myers," has been the Minister of Transport, as well as a member of the Trilateral Commission and an active member of the exclusive executive committee of the Trilateral Commission.

After retiring from the "O'Melveni and Mayer" in 1994, Secretary of State Warren Christopher began after Deputy Director in the transition team of President Clinton, although stubbornly refused by personal appointment. Christopher did a good job for the members of the Tripartite Commission, appointing at least 22 colleagues on the Commission in the Clinton administration, which meant that the "old business methods" can not be used further. Undoubtedly, Christopher returns to "O'Melveni and Myers" after his term as Secretary of State to fill the business.

By this powerful political duo in 1994, he joined by another partner "O'Melveni and Myers" - Ko Yung Tung, chairman of the group of global practices of this company, located in New York.

So, almost unknown law firm in Los Angeles is actually a mechanism for the distribution of the effect of the first category. This triangular trio symbolizes comfortable game in the political influence of the revolving door that is a parody of a free society.

Firm "O'Melveni and Myers" with "Kissinger and partners" and Carlyle Group hold key positions in the provision of political influence - and, it appears, are all connected with the Tripartite Commission. George Franklin, the former Secretary of the Commission, said that this is just a statistical fluke that all talented just happens to be in the Tripartite Commission. According to Franklin, we should be grateful for the fact that such prominent people and gentlemen want to take on the burden of "public service".

So how do you act this game of political influence? Suppose that you are somewhere in Zaire or in Argentina, and you want to the American taxpayer dollars to build a bridge or "fight against drugs", anything that gives an opportunity to remove the little "broth". What are you doing?

You go to Mr. Ko-Yung Tung, a man of firm "O'Melveni and Myers" in New York, or Henry Kissinger of the Trilateral Commission from the company «Partners Kissinger", or to the former Minister of Defense Frank Carlucci of the Carlyle Group (a they all have excellent connections in the Trilateral Commission), and a large, very large fee they will promote your business in Washington.

And you believe for a moment that the second assistant secretary of state who decides to usual care in 100 million dollars, even for a short time will be engaged in another case, when Henry, or Frank, or Yung-Tung call about the plight of Zaire or Argentina?

In the end, the second assistant secretary has ambitions to become a full time assistant to the secretary and it will take a few kind words from well-known personalities. That is, the decision on Zaire and Argentina are not made in the interest of the US taxpayer, as well as delicately referred to as, "on the basis of political factors."

Now oppose this sell the story to the thousands of Americans affected by radiation US experiments in the 1940s and 1950s. They want compensation, but must go to court and try to get fair compensation from the Department of Energy. American man in the street can not call Henry Kissinger and Frank Carlucci, or Koh Yung-tung (as it can make the Zairian Embassy or Argentine senator). Poor Americans have to use their limited funds in a battle with the Department of Energy, with its unlimited taxpayer funds, which are operated to control its own citizens.

That is what is the Trilateral Commission. And it's not tehnotroniki century, which is a talking about anything (really, if Brzezinski did not see the approaching fall of the Soviet Union, can not be angry at the fact that he will be able to anticipate the nature of the coming era). Commission concept may be masked by a beautiful expression, but it comes down to the implementation of the political power in the interests trehstoronnikov and their partners. If you swallow a modest phrase "public service", you do not need to read this book. It's more like an institutionalized deception.

Do you want to complain to the Congress? You are welcome! The leader of the majority in Congress - Thomas Foley, Jr., a member of the Trilateral Commission, as well as many other Congressmen and Senators. Now you know why the laws on lobbying restrictions have gaping loopholes.

Here is a list of known law firms, headed by partners in the Tripartite Commission; Companies, therefore, included in the political influence of the network:

#### **Center for Law and Social Policy:**

Paul C. Warnke (up to 1994)

Philip X. Trezayts (up to 1994)

#### **Clifford Warnke. Glase. Makilveyn and Finney:**

Paul C. Warnke (up to 1994)

#### **Coudert Brothers:**

Sol. M. Linovits (up to 1994)

Richard N. Gardner (1973-1994)

**O'Melveni and Myers:**

Warren Christopher (1973-1994)

William T. Coleman, Jr. (1973-1994)

Ko-Yung Tung (1994)

**Simpson Thacher and Bartlett:**

Cyrus R. Vance (up to 1994)

**Uilomor, Cutler and Pickering:**

Gerard C. Smith (1973-1994)

Lloyd N. Cutler (up to 1994)

**Akin. Gump. Strauss. Xavep and Feld:**

Vernon K. Jordan (1994).

**Propagandists AND TECHNOLOGY**

The propagandists of the media and technology (academics, researchers, and overseers) are qualitatively different from political statements, although their functions often overlap. These groups provide the intellectual link between those in power and authority is exercised (operators).

Technology outline plans for setting and implementing goals. They explain the idea of the public and even plotting them within certain limits. Technicians and propagandists achieve personal success only if they are able to comprehend and promote plans within a comprehensive framework, the relevant powers that be. Sources of information, disseminating unwanted news, or researchers who make unwanted conclusions politely informed about this and they usually understand the hint. Technicians of the Trilateral Commission - experts' grasp hints. "

We know these "think tanks", associated with the Tripartite Commission:

**Aspen Institute for the Study of humanistic:**

Maurice F. Sitrong, Robert S. Ingersoll

**Brookings Institution:**

William T. Coleman, Jr., Henry D. Owen, Gerard C. Smith, C. Fred Bergsten, Graham T. Allison, Philip X. Trezise, Bruce K. McLaury

**Center for Defense Information:**

Paul K. Werikhe

**Columbia University:**

Richard N. Gardner

**Georgetown University, Center for Strategic and International Studies:**

David M. Ebizayr, William E. Brock III of William J. Roth, Jr., Gerard C. Smith

**Harvard University:**

Graham Allison, Robert R. Bowie

**Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace:**

David Packard, Jordan Schultz

**Hudson Institute:**

J. Paul Austin

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT):**

Carroll L. Wilson

**Mitre Corporation:**

Lucy Wilson Beison

**Rand Corporation:**

J. Paul Austin, Graham Allison, William T. Coleman, Jr.

**World Institute of observations:**

C. Fred Bergstep

The following "brain" centers financed funds, are also associated with the Tripartite Commission:

**Rockefeller Foundation:**

Cyrus R. Vance, W. Michael Blyumsntal, Robert V. Roosa, Lane Kirkland, John D. Rockefeller IV

**Foundation "20th century", Russell Sage Foundation:**

J. Paul Austin

**Ford Foundation:**

Andrew Brimmer, John Loudon

**Carnegie Foundation for International Peace:**

William E. Hewitt, Hedley Donovan, Thomas L. Hughes

**Foundation Board:**

Zbigniew Brzezinski

**Rockefeller Brothers Fund:**

David Rockefeller

**Rockefeller Family Fund:**

David Rockefeller, John D. Rockefeller IV

**Woodruff Foundation:**

J. Paul Austin

**Fund for Peace on earth:**

Robert R. Bowie

**MASS MEDIA**

Representation of the Trilateral Commission in the media, although not very large in size, is very influential. In the 1970s out of 5 members of the media three were relatively minor: Doris Anderson, the editor of "Chatelain Magazine"; Carl Rouen, columnist, and Arthur R. Taylor, former head of the CBS network, disbanded in October 1976.

Two members of the Tripartite Commission for the media has been extremely influential. It Emmett Dedmon, editorial director of "Chicago Sun Times" published "Field Enterpraysiz". Its chairman, Marshall Field, who is also director of the First National Bank of Chicago, headed by the corporation with the full consent of his half-brother "Ted" - Frederick W. Field; and Springfield property has significance because Trilateral relations with the First National Bank of Chicago. In any case, "Chicago Sun Times" is the sixth-largest US newspaper (daily circulation - 687,000).

Another influential member of the Trilateral Commission in the media was Hedley Donovan, chief editor of the magazine "Time", a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Director of the Carnegie "for international peace." According to the US Labor Party: "Donovan starred in" falsifying President 1976 ". At the direction of the Trilateral Commission.

"Time" acted as black propaganda tool the entire election campaign and in the period after the elections, drawing Carter's "outsider" without ties to a corrupt Washington politics, the diplomatic corps and Wall Street. This "image-building" provided crucial cover for a planned fraud in the election. The same role was played by "Time" when he discovered the deception in the elections of November 2 ".

What the Trilateral Commission despises the first amendment to the constitution, it is a factor that strongly defamatory media. On the other hand, the daily intervention of the Trilateral Commission in the media activities, using the traditional phone call, probably, and given the numerous directors as part of the media: Henry B. Schacht is the director of the BSC; Sol Linovits - director of "Time"; J. Paul Austin - director of Dow Jones.; Harold Brown - Director of the Corporation "Times-Mirror"; Archibald K. Davis - Director of Media "General"; Peter J. Peterson - Director of the "Big Book" and the National Education TV.; William M. Roth - director of "Afenum publishers"; Cyrus Vance - director of "The New York Times."

Their presence is ominous. However, any permanent intervention to stifle or to redirect messages will cause a reaction. Most people in the media - it is more professional than the propagandists.

"Public Broadcasting Corporation" (it was assumed that it would be a public institution) has always had a strong bias towards the Trilateral Commission. This reflects the smoothing of public and private, that the members of the Tripartite Commission so successfully use in their own interests. Sharon Percy Rockefeller, wife of Commissioner John D. Rockefeller IV - former governor and now senator from West Virginia - an example of such a connection. Even more impressive is the financing corporation heavy programs that shape public opinion by targeting the Trilateral Commission, and presented her company.

Robert K. Uenks, a member of the Trilateral Commission in 1994, is also the chairman of the company "Prudenshiel Securities Inc. 'Funding and" Wall Street Week with Louis Rukeyzerom ", and you'll never hear that Rukeyzer criticized private banking monopoly of the Federal Reserve System or He argued that gold is the only basis for stable monetary system.

"Tony Brown's Journal" on public service broadcasting is financed by "Pepsi-Cola" - the main associated with the Tripartite Commission by the company, which has at least two or three directors are always of the Trilateral Commission. Pepsi - it's one of the breakdown of firms of the New World Order, and as you remember, first penetrated into Communist China, and only the second after the "Coca-Cola" - to Soviet Russia.

The famous TV news McNeil funded 'Archer Daniels Midland' - a global corporation with a member of the Trilateral Commission Duane Andreas as chairman. "McNeil Ticher" well funded "Pepsi-Cola"

"General Electric" is another long-standing agency of the Trilateral Commission; Paolo Fresco - a member of the Trilateral Commission, the current chairman, and he finances the group "McLaughlin".

So far as can be impartial, in your opinion, public service broadcasting, when it comes to the New World Order?

And this government-backed organization is considered "public" pool. Instead, it shows how the members of the Tripartite Commission can quietly influence the public organizations.

**The next edition of the media are also associated with the Tripartite Commission:**

- "New York Times": Cyrus R. Vance (1978), Flora Lewis (1994);
- Broadcasting company "Columbia Broadcasting System» - CBS: Arthur R. Taylor (1970), Henry B. Schacht (1970 - 72);
- "Los Angeles Times": Harold Brown (1979), Robert Erburn (1994);
- "Half. . Inc. ": Hedley Donovan;
- "Foreign fuller Magazine": Samuel P. Huntington, Thomas L. Hughes, Richard N. Cooper, Elliot L. Richardson, Marina von Neumann Whitman, Richard Holbrooke, Zbigniew Brzezinski;
- "Foreign fuller": William M. Roth, C. Fred Bergsten,
- "Chicago Sun Times": Emmett Dedmon;

- "CNN En": W. Thomas Johnson (1994);
- "Washington Post": Katherine Graham (1994).

## Politics and government

Trilaterals often politicians. In 1977, 21 of the 77 members of the Tripartite Commission and the United States were professional politicians and officials. In 1993, this number increased to 39.

In 1977, President Jimmy Carter and Vice President Walter Mondale were members of the Trilateral Commission. In 1993, President Bill Clinton and Vice President Al Gore were members of the Trilateral Commission. It should be emphasized that the Trilateral Commission they were chosen long before settled in the White House. Interestingly, President Jimmy Carter was selected and promoted by none other than the president of the Trilateral Commission, David Rockefeller. In 1992, the support of the Tripartite Commission, Bill Clinton was more hidden, because before that the Tripartite Commission was subjected to public criticism for their ascendancy.

Here's how the London "Times" reported about a bunch of Rockefeller Carter:

"Governor Jimmy Carter, the Democratic candidate in the elections of 1976, for reasons known only to himself, pretending to be a simpleton abroad, but the facts ryat-gov on the other. As governor of Georgia, the state seeks to be the center of the new South, he led trade mission staff abroad. While in London in the autumn of 1973, he had lunch with another American visitor, but in any case not a simpleton, Mr. David Rockefeller of the "Chase Manhattan Bank."

Mr. Rockefeller then founded with the help of Professor Zbigniew Brzezinski of Columbia University's International Research Group, now known as the Trilateral Commission. He was looking for the American members of the Trilateral Commission outside the ordinary "drain" region consisting of universities, corporate law firms and government. Governor Carter made an impression on Rockefeller, at least because of his determination to go abroad, and Carter was invited to join the Trilateral Commission. Governor with great pleasure accepted the invitation, perhaps due to the fact that he had looked from a distance at the White House. "

This enlightened statement in the "Times" has a significant error. The Trilateral Commission - this is not "international research group" is a group that clearly generates policy and is committed to ensuring that its own members have played a role in the implementation of this policy. The research team would not include one-third of its members in one administration after another, as the Republican and Democratic. In contrast to what the newspaper "The Times", it is - the task force, acting in a purely selfish purposes.

## CONGRESS

Senators and congressmen are members of the Trilateral Commission that, given the negative attitudes understatement of the Tripartite Commission for the congress, somewhat unexpectedly. On the other hand, modern politicians in the "best Congress

that money can buy," it is easy to spend an inconsistent policy, despite the fact that the price for them correct.

The members of the Tripartite Commission also occupy key positions in the White House, namely the post of chairman of the Republican Confederation of the White House, the majority party organizer in the White House as chairman of the Democratic Confederation and as chairman of the Democrats of the closed meeting in the White House.

As a result, members of the Trilateral Commission are at the helm of the legislative process.

The importance of this influence on the legislative process manifested when we turn to the political ideology of the Trilateral Commission, expressed Crozier, Huntington and Vaysiuki in the book "The Crisis of Democracy":

- democratic system no longer meets the requirements of the time;
- equality and individualism concepts create problems to the authorities;
- Media is not enough subordinated to the elite;
- Democracy requires otbalansirovat (ie limited);
- credibility and authority of the central government of a democratic majority must be strengthened.

Evaluating these totalitarian ideas underlying political philosophy of the Trilateral Commission, taking into account the membership of the Trilateral Commission in the Congress, the reader will be tempted to ask whether this policy was, professed by these policies when they were elected?

In any case, five senators also were members of the Trilateral Commission in 1978:

- Lawton Chiles, a Democrat, Florida;
- Alan Cranston, Democrat of California, the organizer of the majority in the Senate;
- John C. Culver, Democrat of Iowa;
- John C. Danforth, a Republican, Missouri;
- William V. Roth Jr., a Republican, Delaware.

In 1994 they were joined by Dianne Feinstein, Charles Robb, John Chafee, and William S. Cohen.

This clearly reflects the Democratic majority in the Senate, three Democrats and two Republicans; and that is significant - to key positions in the Senate - the Senate majority office organizer is a member of the Trilateral Commission.

The members of the Trilateral Commission in 1978 were the following six members of the House of Representatives:

- John B Anderson, Republican of Illinois, chairman of the Republican Confederation of the White House;

- John Breydmas, Democrat of Indiana, the organizer of the party majority;
- William S. Cohen, a Republican, Maine;
- Barber B. Konebl Jr., a Republican, New York;
- Thomas C. Foley, a Democrat, Washington, Democratic chairman of the meeting at the White House;
- Donald M Fraser, Democrat of Minnesota, chairman of the "Democratic Conference" and the company "Americans for Democratic Action."

In short, the higher administrative positions - Republicans and Democrats - filled from the reserve of talent, a subordinate of the Trilateral Commission.

This selective process of filling vacancies in senior executive deparatamente members of the Trilateral Commission was a deliberate and ruthless. Before President Carter officially took office, many members of the Trilateral Commission were appointed, namely:

- Zbigniew Brzezinski - Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs;
- Cyrus Vance - Secretary of State;
- Harold Brown - Minister of Defence;
- W. Michael Blumenthal - Minister of Finance;
- Andrew Young - The US ambassador to the UN;
- Warren Christopher - Deputy. Secretary of State;
- Lucy Wilson Benson - Assistant Secretary of State for Security;
- Richard Cooper - Deputy, Secretary of State for Economic Affairs;
- Richard Holbrooke - the deputy. Secretary of State for East Asia and the Pacific; .
- W. Anthony Lake - deputy. Secretary for Policy Planning;
- Sol Linovits - member of the delegation for negotiations on a treaty on the Panama Canal;
- Gerald Smith - special envoy to talks on nuclear energy.

### **Trilaterals in the Clinton administration**

All administration after Carter had in its composition a particularly large number of members of the Trilateral Commission. Nominally they leave the Trilateral Commission upon entry into the government, but immediately returned to the Trilateral Commission, leaving the government, "resignation" - is a farce - a part of the revolving door "government - private sector", which retains influence in a small like-minded, the most elite group.

President Bill Clinton - a "former member of the Commission for Public Service" When Clinton leaves the White House, he certainly will be back in the Tripartite Commission. He could not reach the presidency without the approval and support of the Tripartite Commission.

In the list of posts in the cabinet of July 1993 we find the following members of the Trilateral Commission:

- Bill Clinton, the president of the United States;
- Warren Christopher, Deputy. Director of the transition period with Vernon Jordan K. (a member of the Trilateral Commission).
- Thus, the transition process is fully controlled by the Trilateral Commission:
- Bruce Bebbitt - US Secretary of Labor;
- Donna E. Shalala - Minister of Health and Human Services of the USA;
- Henry Uisneros - Minister of Housing and Urban Development.
- Positions in the office on 1994 are as follows:
- Graham Allison - deputy. Minister of Defence Planning and Policy;
- Lynn E. Davis - deputy. Secretary of State for International Security Affairs;
- John M. Deutch - deputy. Defense for acquisition;
- David Jörg - Assistant to the President for Communications;
- Lord Winston - deputy. Secretary of State for East Asia and the Pacific;
- Alice M. Rivlin - deputy. Director of Management and Budget Office;
- Duyuan Edelman Spero - deputy. Secretary of State for Economic and Agricultural Affairs;
- Strobe Talbott - deputy. Secretary of State, a former ambassador to Russia;
- Peter Tarnoff - deputy. Secretary of State for Political Affairs;
- Clifton R. Wharton - deputy. Secretary of State Strobe Talbott to 1991-93.
- Other government positions in the Clinton administration:
- William J. Crowe, Jr., US Ambassador to the UK.;
- Alan Greenspan, Chairman of the Board of Governors, Federal Reserve System;
- James R. Jones, US ambassador to Mexico;
- Joseph S. Nye, Jr., chairman of the National Intelligence Council, Central Intelligence Agency.

In 1978 he became executive director of the TC George Franklin Jr.. The Trilateral Commission invites only the members of the views and goals of the New World Order.

Then President Bill Clinton is the perfect member of the Trilateral Commission, especially because his whole career was formed under the tutelage and guidance of the supporters of the New World Order (see. "Ji-CBS Bulletin", October 1992).

In 1968, Clinton was at Georgetown University BS degree in international affairs, he studied there at the beginning of Carroll Quigley, whose famous book "Tragedy and Hope", was published in 1966.

Quigley told the story of the most elite British group, financed by the Rothschilds and Cecil Rhodes, the purpose of which was to rule the world. Rhodes scholarship established in his own name at Oxford University - and Clinton, devoted disciples of the mission of the New World Order, was given a Rhodes scholarship to Oxford University.

In the history of Quigley is another little-known side, and we know it, because the author of these lines corresponded with Quigley on his book, Quigley sincerely believed in the New World Order, but did not know about the dark side of the globalists as long as they have not refused to reprint his book and destroy the matrix; Quigley paid attention too many honest scientists and citizens on the shady side of the New World Order, the difference between Quigley and Clinton - in the conduct principles We know that Quigley was an honest academician, a bit naive, genuinely surprised why the establishment would like to keep secret plan "Tragedy and Hope ". Clinton quickly learned the lessons of the benefits of political power by the New World Order in the tradition of the Rothschild and Rhodes. As a result, while Quigley died puzzled man, every step of Bill Clinton (prior to 1994) commented on the members of the Tripartite Commission and its associates, to create his reputation as a man of deceit and insincerity, which has surpassed all the former presidents of the United States.

While we are writing (January 1994), Clinton, is in trouble. Even there are rumors that he is accused of political collapse, and it will be replaced by another member of the Trilateral Commission, the former mayor of San Francisco, now Senator Dianne Feinstein, but rest assured the members of the Tripartite Commission firmly hold the presidency and continue to influence the presidential chair host.

## **Chapter Three**

### **NEW WORLD ORDER AS A GOAL**

Run through the eyes of a list of members of the Executive Committee (see annex.): Any person the most powerful among them?

Undoubtedly, David Rockefeller is on the executive committee, and therefore also above the Tripartite Commission. If we will even be generous (or naive) and count the members of the executive committee of equals, and then David certainly would have been primus inter pares (Lat., "First among equals"). However, it is naive to consider David Rockefeller omnipotent dictator or the Rockefeller family-powerful monarchy. This is a trap for the unwary. Our world is much more complicated. We do not consider the family households and collective powers. It may be, has several thousand members, who collectively seek to turn the world and not just the United States, to achieve their own collective goals.

Start over. The Trilateral Commission was the idea of David Rockefeller and was carried out with the help of David funds. (Let us leave the theory of US Workers' Party, that the phenomenon of the Trilateral Commission uses the Rockefellers as a roof for a "British conspiracy".)

Interview with George S. Franklin, former coordinator of the Commission, taken by Michael Lloyd Chadwick, editor of "Trimen Digest" published in Provo, Utah - this is the most correct version of the constituent process, which to date was published. The following part of this interview;

"Mr. Chadwick: Mr. Franklin, you were a party to the creation of the Trilateral Commission with Mr. David Rockefeller, Robert Bowie, Zbigniew Brzezinski and Henry Owen. Do not you tell us briefly how did this happen?

Mr. Franklin: David Rockefeller winter and autumn of 1972, made a few speeches at "Chase Bank" in London, Brussels, Montreal and Paris. He recommended the establishment of an international commission of peace and prosperity, than it is now almost become the Trilateral Commission. At these meetings, he received an enthusiastic response and dropped the idea. He thought, "If the forum" Chase Bank "do not respond favorably to my suggestion, perhaps it is - rotten business."

Then he went to the meeting to Bilderberg. There was Mike Blumenthal (now the Minister of Finance), and Rockefeller said, "You know, I'm very concerned ... Cooperation between these three areas - Japan, the United States and Western Europe - is really destroyed, and I foresee every possible disaster to the world, if it will continue. Could not this something to do, "David thought then:" I will present this idea again, "which he did, and this caused a lot of enthusiasm. The following eight speakers said that it was a wonderful idea; in that whatever the cost to implement it.

David was not quite sure what they are all his friends. It is if they were not quite sure, said it out of politeness or really considered it a good idea. And he took with him on the plane on the way home Zbig Brzezinski. Zbig considered it a good idea and something written on this subject. Bob Bowie also something written. Upon returning home, David asked me if I'll go back to Europe and not to talk with some people there, more on leisure, and see if they really believe that it is - a good idea. It turned out that they genuinely thought so.

Together with David, we went to Japan in June 1972, and he said there is a lot of people. They thought it was - a good idea, and we are a part of 13-15 people gathered in front of him in Tarrytown (NY). It was decided to go ahead. "

There is no reason to doubt that the process was somehow different - at least, we have no evidence that Franklin was hiding something. But note that the method of the base of the Tripartite Commission suggests rather loose coalition authorities, acting in the competition, in co-operation, rather than a small, intimate group of conspirators, clamped an iron fist to the head of the Rockefellers. "

But even the establishment newspaper "Washington Post" found worrying signs in this, seemingly uninteresting packaging of the Trilateral Commission.

Actually, it is not surprising that on the goals of the Trilateral Commission does not shout from the rooftops, but they are meant for political statements and position papers, as well as the personal philosophy of those who elected the members of the Tripartite Commission.

Here the expression "Washington Post":

"The members of the Tripartite Commission - is not tripartite people.

They are members of the private, although not a secret, international organization, knocked together a rich banker David Rockefeller to stimulate the establishment of dialogue between Western Europe, Japan and the United States.

But anxious moment with the Tripartite Commission.

The president-elect - a member of the Trilateral Commission. Elected vice-president of the same. As well as the new secretary of state, defense and finance ministers, Cyrus R. Vance, Harold Brown and Michael Blumenthal. Also a member of the Trilateral Commission is Zbigniew Brzezinski, former director of the Trilateral Commission, and Carter's national security adviser, and a bunch of others, who will shape the international policy for the US "the next four years.

Sure, it's a statement, "The Washington Post" has been brought to the attention of David Rockefeller, for a 1990s newspaper editor Katherine Graham (Chairman of the Company Board of the newspaper "Washington Post") has been appointed to the Trilateral Commission!

Although control of the Tripartite Commission continued, "The Washington Post", is no longer made the comments about the "alarming" moments.

That was the arrangement of the White House in the early 70s. It remains the same today, in 1994, with a very large number of members of the Trilateral Commission in the administration of the President.

President Bill Clinton - a member of the Trilateral Commission, as well as former President Jimmy Carter. Secretary of State Warren Christopher - an indigenous member of the Trilateral Commission. So are 22 other Clinton cabinet member and podkabineta administration.

Personal philosophy Carter appointed individuals like Clinton's philosophy: they are all in varying degrees are supporters of the global New World Order. If, for example, President Truman was the neo-imperialists, they - imperialist products. We know the position of the members of the Tripartite Commission for the New World Order in their speeches and letters written by them.

When the Trilateral Commission met in Tokyo in January 1977, Carter and Brzezinski obviously could not attend, as they have reorganized the White House. However, they sent personal letters to the meeting, koi have been reprinted in the edition of "Triologue".

"It gives me great pleasure to send greetings to all of you, gathered at a meeting of the Trilateral Commission in Tokyo. I still have fond memories of our meeting in Tokyo some 18 months ago, and I'm sorry that I can not be with you now.

My active service of the Commission since its beginning in 1973 was for me a wonderful experience and has provided me with an excellent opportunity to meet with leaders in our three regions.

As I emphasized in my campaign, active partnership between us - it is of the greatest importance. We share economic, political anxiety, as well as safety concerns, which makes logical our commitment to all of the growing cooperation and understanding. And

this cooperation is essential not only for our three regions, but in the global search for a more just and equitable world order. I hope to see you on the occasion of our next meeting in Washington and look forward to receiving reports on your work in Tokyo. Jimmy Carter. "

The following is a letter Brzezinski, wrote in the same vein:

*"The Trilateral Commission has meant a lot to me over the past five years. It has been a stimulus for intellectual creativity and a source of personal satisfaction. I established close ties with new friends and colleagues in all three regions, ties which I appreciate, and which, I am sure, will continue.*

*I remain convinced that on the larger structural issues of the day cooperation between the two, the regions is essential. This cooperation should be devoted to building a more just world order. This will require a long process, but I think we can look forward with confidence and experience a certain pride in the contribution that the Commission makes. Zbigniew Brzezinski. "*

The key phrase in both letters was "more just world order."

It indicates whether it underscores that something is wrong with our modern world order, that is, with national structures? According to Brzezinski - yes; and just a modern structure is unable to solve the world's problems, it must be removed and replaced by a world government.

In an era tehnotroniki Brzezinski, "the nation-state as the fundamental unit of organized human life has ceased to be the principal creative force: International banks and multinational corporations act and plan in terms of, far outstripping the political concept of the nation-states."

Understanding the philosophy of the Trilateral Commission and the monitoring of it - is the only way by which we can reconcile the myriad of apparent contradictions in the information leaked to us in the national press. For example, how is it that the Marxist regime in Angola has received most of the foreign currency from offshore oil operations of the corporation "Gulf Oil"? Why did Andrew Young, he claimed that "Communism never threatened in black Africa?". Why is the US billions of dollars flooded the technological assistance the Soviet Union and Communist China? Why the United States is clearly helping his enemies, punishing their friends?

These questions and hundreds like them can not be answered otherwise, as the executive power of the United States (and related agencies) is not a Marxist or anticommunist, it, in fact - marxist. The ideals that led to the abuses of Hitler, Lenin, Stalin, and Mussolini is now attributed as a necessary inevitability of our elected and appointed leaders.

This is hardly the great American dream. It is doubtful that Americans would agree with Brzezinski or the Trilateral Commission. It is the American people are paying the price and suffering the consequences of such a policy, but does not understand the true nature of the situation.

One of the most important "structures" in the world, and especially for Americans, is the US Constitution. It was this document prepared for the fate of the Americans the most prosperous nation in world history. Is our sovereignty really "fiction"? Is an idea of the United States is no longer compatible with reality?

Brzezinski further stated:

"The approaching 200th anniversary of the Declaration of Independence could justify the call for a national constitutional convention to revise the structure of the formal establishment of the nation.

Either 1976 or 1989 - two-hundredth anniversary of the Constitution - could serve as a suitable target date for the climax of the national dialogue about the appropriateness of existing arrangements ... Realism, however, forces us to recognize that the necessary political innovation will not appear on the direct constitutional reform, as we would it did not want to.

Necessary changes are likely to develop incrementally and less overtly ... preserving the American tradition of erasing the differences between public and private organizations. "

Obviously, Brzezinski and the capitalists of the world does not need a constitution or national sovereignty. Their interests are global and no matter how much they did not protest, we do not see the difference between globalism and the Trilateral Commission, for example, British and French imperialism of the XIX century. Or, in fact, the Holy Roman Empire.

But the goal of the New World Order would have passed by voters. We doubt that in addition to the globalists, fanatical New World Order, many in the United States are interested in a planned world economy dominated by Wall Street.

In conclusion, the Trilateral Commission, admittedly, does not make any secret of its unrepresentative fact, neither of the objectives of the New World Order.

Then, in 1978, sounded earlier radio interview with George Franklin Jr., then the executive director of the Trilateral Commission, these objectives have emerged after the author:

"SUTTON: Mr. Donovan of the " Time-Life "has just been appointed special assistant to President Carter. Mr. Donovan - a member of your commission.

Franklin: That's right.

Sutton: Not confirmed whether this is the fact that in the Carter administration to get people from very narrow circle. In other words, of the Trilateral Commission?

Franklin: I do not think it requires any confirmation. It is a fact that he chose most of its major parties in foreign policy, I would say, of the people with whom he met when he was in the Tripartite Commission.

Sutton: Well, then I can only say that every sane person left with the impression of dominance in the Carter administration of the Trilateral Commission, professing specific ideas with which many disagree.

Franklin: Well, I certainly agree that the former members of the Commission took place in the preferred aspects of the foreign policy of the Carter administration. They are - members of the Commission, controlled by us in any way. I really think that they share a common belief in the great importance of what we are working, in particular, with Europe and Japan, otherwise we will all get into trouble.

Sutton: But this general belief may not reflect the faith of the American people. How do you know that is not true?

Franklin J. I'm not sure that this is not so. I'm not the one who can interpret the thoughts of people.

Sutton: In other words, you are determined to continue the establishment of the Commission, which, as you say, does not necessarily reflect the views of people in the United States? It seems to me that you capture political power.

Franklin: I do not think this is true. Anyone who creates a group for certain purposes, of course tries to achieve these goals. We sincerely believe that it is important, when Europe, Japan and the United States come together. That's what we believe. We also chose the best people, we have been able to find any, members of the Commission. Fortunately, nearly all accepted. The President was one of them, and it so happened that he found them really capable people and asked them to be in his government, that's the whole key. If you want to ask me, I do not really unhappy because of it, the answer is no. I think they are good people. "

The reader or the reader can interpret it in their own way. Today in 1994, we are of the same opinion as in 1973 and 1978 (data radio) that the Tripartite Commission is not United

States and their actions clearly showed that the group is aimed at the manipulation of power for personal gain, and that these actions or tasks do not coincide with the actions or tasks of American citizens - and the Trilateral Commission, and does not intend to take this into account.

## Chapter Four

### **POLICY monopoly control**

Hidden Politics of the Tripartite Commission - is a global power and influence policies is best achieved by means of financial control. The interest of the Rockefeller family and the international bankers in establishing world order, global planning, global issues is a sure indication that they understand how political control can be translated into dollars and cents.

As one of the most elite organizations fighting for global control, the Tripartite Commission organized a small company's influence led to his friends. They contribute more or less to the legal promotion of contracts between government and enterprise.

by antilobbying laws are written so cleverly, and so easy to get around that in practice they only convince the public, a receiving government contracts as free from any influence.

The reality is that the "revolving door" is largely controlled by members of the Tripartite Commission. That is, they are under the full control of the elite, including such organizations as the Council on Foreign Relations (long-chaired by David Rockefeller) and "Skull and Bones" Yale (which included George Bush, Averell Harriman and other authors and pushers of ideas in the government)

One well-known inside the channel is "Kissinger Associates" Trilateral Kissinger, founder and chairman - a former assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and former US Secretary of State. In this role, Kissinger could use their thorough knowledge of the establishment and future use of the right contacts in the business world.



"Kissinger Associates" takes with multinationals considerable money for what they advocate on its behalf within the United States without them. Kissinger used its influence acquired and won by the US taxpayer for the promotion of affairs of their clients. This, of course, the influence of a small but obviously legal, because the loopholes, inscribed in the laws, so large that they can enter the truck, and they will remain that way until the voters do not demand that the policy has been separated from the perspective of finance.

A more recent example is to influence the Carlyle Group, the object is caustic and expertly written articles in the "New Republic" (18 October 1993). According to this article, based Carlyle Group, David Rubenstein, a former commercial banker, Little League, and is named in honor of

the "Carlyle" hotel in New York.

"Carlisle" hotel - is a long-standing corporate meeting place. (One day we visited one of the suites and found sullen, solidly furnished premises used by the leaders of the world of private business.)

"Carlyle Group", according to the "New Republic", holds the majority of shares in companies that deal with the United States Government. Daily operations are carried out by the former Minister of Defense Frank Carlucci, a member of the Trilateral Commission, assessing all on the principle that someone he knows in the government and how government gears engage together.

Among the members of the group "Carlisle" former Secretary of State James Baker, a close friend of former President George W. Bush's former budget director Richard Darman, Treasury Secretary Donald Regan, chairman of the Bush campaign, Fred Malek, George W. Bush, former CIA director Robert Gates, the current chairman of the "Commission on the securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) "Arthur Levitt, vice. Director of the Clinton transition team Vernon Jordan and politician Bob Strauss.

This former cabinet-level policies, which have nothing to sell but their access to the government, ie, their personal phone books. All directly or indirectly related to the Trilateral Commission.

Frank Carlucci openly refers to himself in the biographies of the Trilateral Commission, as a "vice-chairman, the Carlyle Group, the former US secretary of state." Vernon Jordan passes as "Partner of Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer and Feld."

Here is how the author of the "New Republic" Michael Lewis describes the situation:

"In short, the group" Carlisle "has become a kind of salon for the excluded class effect. It offers a good solution for those who have nothing to sell but their access to a specific circle, but who do not want to sell that they seemed their access.

So, "having access capitalist" comes into perennial "Washington lobbyist" in the way of an immediate enrichment for the not so fussy lawyer. One of the first successful operations Carlisle is known as the "Great Eskimo Tax 1987" ... This well-organized and well-thought-out loophole through which Alaska company could sell its losses for hard currency to other companies. These losses have been used to reduce the burden of taxes and companies thus dropped everything - except the US taxpayer. Carlisle, of course, was busy with a tap loss in Alaska to the public to avoid taxes. Huskies were brought by plane to Washington (DC), and showed them how to structure questionable losses, which are highly moral Carlyle Group took a symbolic fee of one percent.

According to the "New Republic" in "Carlisle" great experience in how to enable firms to buy cheap government ownership - and Carlucci has spent billions of dollars to the tax on contracts with large military-industrial firms and, of course, that most companies would like to look nice on the background his attempts to organize a private auction of assets.

According to Carlucci, "all in our business are trying to figure out how to avoid contact with any auction. To get to the auctions - a way to lose a lot of money. " The idea was to "private auctions" for a number of invited buyers. We call it a conspiracy against defrauded taxpayers, but the Department of Justice is, and not lift a finger to go to court.

Trilateral Frank Carlucci, whose efforts are described by Jack Anderson as "bureaucratic destruction caused by Frank Carlucci, a human hurricane of Washington" - is a typical example of the market value of political contacts. Carlucci, working in all administrations, from Kennedy to Bush, in many departments without the slightest contribution to the public welfare.

As the chairman of the "Carlisle" Rubinstein: "I get a summary of some of the biggest parties in town, lawyers making \$ 800,000 a year. They call and say they will come and will work for free. It's almost amazing ... ". And why should any Washington lawyer willing to work for free, and in New York, too? Obviously, because "Carlisle" is known for making money, lots of money at public expense is obviously untouchable. But here it felt some uncertainty, because the last words of the author of the "New Republic" was: "How do I get rid of this legend?"

## **GLOBAL MONOPOLY MONEY**

Trilaterals want a monopoly, the monopoly of the world. Therefore, you should keep in mind the key fundamental assertion: the members of the Tripartite Commission is not interested, what the monetary system works best or most valid, or whether gold is a more effective monetary mechanism than the paper or some monetary system maintains a higher standard of living for the poor in worldwide.

The main desire of members of the Trilateral Commission is the desire to rule the world economy, where the "rule" - a more appropriate word instead of the word "control". This control is carried out through the so-called coordination of macroeconomic policies, in spite of the terrible results from attempts to interfere in the macroeconomic scale. It is said that the main aim of this control mechanism is to preserve peace in the world. Nowhere recognized historical fact that such control always leads to conflict; that the denial of the national independence of an ethnic - is a sure way to fight and bloodshed.

The members of the Trilateral Commission, in their own words, interested in political power: all tasks are subordinated to the political power necessary for the structure of the

world so that it satisfies the members of the Tripartite Commission. Therefore, in the dogma of the Tripartite Commission You will not find a rational consideration of alternatives or weighing options.

But you can expect irrational struggle, no matter what happens, for control of the world in the name of globalization and the New World Order.

TC Report Nº14 "To update the international system," concludes that the Breton Woods system in 1944 already "has come under increasing pressure ... and caused a traumatic event changes, namely: periodic attacks on the US dollar and floating exchange rates." The current goal of the Trilateral Commission - to build an international system of world order based on cooperation and to focus on two aspects which require such cooperation:

- international lending;
- the creation of international reserves. The Trilateral Commission proposes to draw between 5 and 10 leading countries (core countries) to establish a new system.

The rest of the world will go along as best you can.

Some of the ideas in this regard have already been made: for example, new artificial international money, Special Drawing Rights (SDR.s), created for central banks. When will be introduced SDR.s, gold will (presumably) is withdrawn from the circulation of the international reserve system.

### **INTERNATIONAL BANKERS AND Bancor (paper money)**

Using Banchory profitable international bankers more than anyone. It is possible to accurately trace the link between the international bankers of New York, the Trilateral Commission and the proposals of the Trilateral Commission in Banchory. Gains derived by major banks from abroad, worthy of public attention and are a measure of separation between their domestic interests in the United States and the global economy. The extent of the internal control of the economy from international banks is reflected in the report published by the late Senator Lee Metcalf, entitled "Rights of voters in major corporations." Noteworthy are the names of the international bankers, who are members of the Trilateral Commission. If we combine these three types of statistics: a) source of bank profits; b) control of the national companies and c) membership in the Trilateral Commission - we will find a very important relationship between the international banks and the desire TC influence on the world economy.

Table 4-1 has 12 international banks in order of their profits derived from overseas in the year 1976; ie, the bank with the largest percentage of the profit received from abroad, listed at number 1, and the bank with the lowest percentage of overseas territories designated under number 12 (column 1 and 2). These percentages compare with the equivalent figures for the year 1970 to show that foreign profits rose in 1970 (columns 3 and 4), Column 5 - is the index Metcalf on home monitoring these same bankers, designated as the number of 122 companies tested Congressional Commission in which the bank is among the five major shareholders. In column B are listed members of the Tripartite Commission, which are also the directors of these banks.

Bank "Chase Manhattan" has the highest percentage of profit from abroad, with the surprising 78 percent compared to 22 percent in 1970. In short, international trade did

David Rockefeller "Chase" the World Bank, rather than a US bank, and we might call David de facto global citizen, not an American citizen.

At the same time, "Chase" has a very low ranking on the index of Metcalf. As the largest bank is in 8 of the 122 companies studied Subcommittee (compared to 25 and 56 Citibank JP Morgan), not less than six Directors, "Chase Manhattan" (Kissinger is part of an international advisory board of the bank) were submitted in 1976 the trilateral Commission. As a result, "Chase" is almost totally focused outside the United States. His financial interest in the creation of the New World Order more than obvious.

Compare "Chase" with the bank "J. JP Morgan ", where 53 percent of the income received from abroad (an increase from 25 percent in 1970), with only one member of the Trilateral Commission. Banks such as "New York City Charter" (formerly "Irving Trust") and "Chemical Bank" does not appear in the index and Metcalf have no representation of the Trilateral Commission, ie they obviously are not involved in the creation of the New World Order.

This example looks even brighter, if we redo data in Table 4-1 in the first place, taking into account a larger representation of the Trilateral Commission.

In a few words: The Tripartite Commission is subject to very few international banks, essentially bank "Chase Manhattan", and is an institution aimed outside the United States. At the same time, the Trilateral Commission monitors its executive branch in the United States. We are not communists seized, not Russian, not Martians, and the clan that wants to revise the constitution (to organize more political power), not representing the majority of the United States financial and economic relations.

**Table 4-1**

International banks and the Trilateral Commission (1993)					
Rating in 1976 on the level of profits earned abroad	International banks	Profit from international operations		Index Metcalf	Number of members of the Tripartite Commission as Directors in 1976
		1970	1976		
1	Chase Manhattan	22	78	8	6 Rockefeller, Coleman, Hbyuitt, Haggarty, Jamison, Kissinger
2	Citicorp	40	72	25	0
3	Fest neshil Bank of Boston	8	65	10	0
4	Bankers Trust	14	64	eleven	0
5	Charter NY	12	58	-	0
6	Menyufvkchur-	13	56	12	1 Whitman
7	J.. JP Morgan	25	53	56	1 Austin
8	Chemical Bank	10	44	-	0

	CHEMICAL BANK	10	11		
9	Bank of America	15	40	15	2 Clusaz Wood
10	Continental Illinois	0	23	8	3 Hewitt, Perkins, Wood
eleven	Furst Chicago	2	17	eleven	34 Ingersoll, Morgan Peterson
12	Wells Fargo	9	12	-	1 Arbuckle

**Table 4-2**

Banks with representation of the Trilateral Commission (1993).			
Name of the bank	The percentage of profits from international operations (1976)	Index Metcalf	The number of TC members as directors
Chase Manhattan	78	8	6
Continental Illinois	23	8	3
Furst Chicago	17	eleven	3
Bank of America	40	15	2
Menyufekchurers Hannover	56	12	1
J.. JP Morgan	53	56	1
Wells Fargo	12	0	1
Banks without representative Trilateral Commission			
Citicorp	72	25	0
Fest neshil Bank of Boston	65	10	0
Bankers Trust	64	eleven	0
Charter NY	58	0	0
Chemical Bank	44	0	0

**Table 4-3**

International banks Trilateral Commission (1993)	
Chase Manhattan	

	David Rockefeller, Thomas D. Labrecque, Wm. Coleman, Henry Kissinger
World Bank	Jessica P. Einhorn, vice president, Robert McNamara, the former chairman
Salomon, Inc..	X. John Gutfreund, chairman byshy
Goldman Sachs International	Robert Hortmats, Vice-Chairman
Federal Reserve Bank	Paul A. Volcker, former Chairman of the Governing Council by E. Gerald Corrigan, President, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Alan Greenspan, the chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank.

However, SDRs were not a pair of gold. Trying to keep a low "official price" the price of gold at artificially proved difficult to achieve, and ultimately showed that even the power of the Trilateral Commission is subject to the action of global market forces and global market forces - is the sum of the individual market-based solutions.

Before worldwide rulers of the Trilateral Commission task is to integrate these ideas into exclusive world monetary system and make them work. The immediate and most urgent task is the manipulation of the system of floating exchange rates in order to dampen the unstable changes in foreign exchange rates, which, of course, bring harm to international trade. Such unstable changes do not happen at fixed rates tied to gold. But gold separates the world of the "co-operative" international activities required members of the Trilateral Commission, and the gold, so is a bigger problem than the mess of floating rates. Following this is the world's reserve management task. Trilaterals want "broader cooperation, because the key to the management of international reserves is limited to the addition of central bank deposits of gold and, of course, such currencies as the US dollar, German mark, the British pound and the French franc."

The falling dollar - is also a problem, with the unexpected, especially because it will inevitably lead to less use of the dollar as the global reserve unit. The members of the Trilateral Commission with their little understanding of the gold could not have foreseen that the suspension of gold convertibility in 1971, is a stone on the neck of the dollar and "international cooperation."

Outdated views on the US Treasury gold (under the control of the Trilateral Commission) is well illustrated in a letter Gina E. Gaudin, Deputy. Secretary of the Ministry of Finance legislation, Congressman J. Kenneth Robinson -. the letter, which incidentally makes it clear why the Ministry of Finance of the billions of dollars of US taxpayer had been lost.

Moreover, there is an extreme degree of uncertainty about the usefulness of gold as money. His role as a currency in recent years significantly decreased, while its market price fluctuated widely. Thus, our gold reserves no longer represent a guaranteed funding source of our imports.

The principal task in 1944, when Keynes proposed a universal money, was much narrower than the present proposals: the system had to be one of the multilateral clearing, the universal currency, fit for commercial operations worldwide.

According to Keynes, "It is not necessary for this purpose to deprive gold of its traditional use. Suffice it to add and adjust the total number of new gold and money together. New

money should not be freely convertible into gold, as it would require to ensure their gold reserves, and we will have again to get where we were, but there is no reason why the new money can not buy for gold. "

When it came to the baptism of the new money, Keynes said: "As we have. call new money? Bancor? Yunitas? In my opinion, both names are bad, but we vainly racked their brains in search of a better name. Even suggested the name "Besancenot." Interestingly, this is the name of the latest international coins (gold), which was circulated around the world for 800 years, since it was a gold coin, the rate of which has never decreased. "

In fact, the two proposals - Bancor (English) and Yunitas (US) have different characteristics. Under the plan adopted by the Americans, Yunitas, part of the gold reserves was invested in the International Monetary Fund, together with a certain amount of domestic currency, but an international currency is not created. On the contrary, Keynes's plan envisaged an international currency with the possibility of exceeding the credit union in the clearing. In other words, today the members of the Tripartite Commission brought us back to the Keynes plan "Bancor", rejected in 1944.

Comparison of two schemes of money reveals their differences:

#### Driving Keynes' Bancor "(not adopted in 1944)

Universal money Bancor. Gold is accepted as a reserve. Gold is not converted. The national currency is kept as reserves. Public approval is necessary.

#### The modified scheme of the Tripartite Commission "Bancor" from 1978 (performed today).

Universal money SDR (Special Drawing Rights). Gold is not accepted as a reserve. Gold is not converted. The national currency is kept as reserves. No need for public approval.

Bancor was not adopted in 1944. Now it is a historical fact that the said plan "White Yunitas" Harry Dexter, which was adopted, the United States led to the bankruptcy: the weakness of the dollar today can be traced directly from the plan Yunitas Breton Woods.

Today members of the Trilateral Commission - a political puppet with the objectives of the New World Order, interested not in an organized world trade, and in particular the structure of the future world under its control.

The question is not to create a workable system to promote trade and improve the welfare of the people, and to create a system that will strengthen and maintain the power of the Trilateral Commission. The path of the Tripartite Commission - is to go back to Keynes's Bancor system invented, but not used in 1944, but modified this time as a universal currency that is fully separated from the gold and national currencies.

The intentions of the members of the Tripartite Commission to ignore and even distort widely supported by the views of others in favor of gold, supported by an unusual statement in Robert Solomon, is properly called "Inside Look". This interpretation of the Solomon motivation economists gold supporters: "Those who worships gold (gold bugs, or more politely, zlatolyubtsy), typically causes one or more of the following concerns: special economic theory which now adheres to a small minority of economists, distrust of government, (and ) international political goals. "

That is the rationale for paper money, reaffirms quote from Robert Solomon:

"Just as every country has a need for an economic policy aimed at creating high employment and price stability, as there is a need at the international level in a similar attempt to combine the policies of individual countries with the welfare of the global economy. Since there is no international authority that can perform this function directly, you can do so only by means of constructive cooperation between the representatives of independent nations in meetings to establish an international form. "

This European episode and the subsequent creation of the European currency unit (ECU) characterizes TC weakness in matters of history. Why did the Germans refused to support the stimulation of the survey according to Keynes? Because two factors entered the German soul, and American schemers ignored them. First, the unprecedented growth of the German economy from the ashes in 1946 occurred due to the known principle of *laissez faire* free enterprise - not artificial locomotives Keynes. Secondly, there were two devastating price inflation (1923 and 1946 years) in Germany, and in both cases, the brand has dropped to zero. The Germans know the effects of inflation and stimulating Keynes type.

As a result of a combination of factors - the failure of the Germans to adopt Keynesian stimulation, the French political scene and a drop in leading indicators (signaling a depression in 1979) - has reduced international cooperation and coordination.

One can understand the main backstage reason why members of the Trilateral Commission, in the main, large banking force in New York should go ahead with the Bancor ... why do they have to develop so-called opportunities Witteveen ... why they should create a flexible international reserves that can be increase the computer by pressing a key.

Unquoted main driving force for the world's paper money is. that the international monetary system is in dangerous roundabouts: to take - to create a deficit - not be able to pay off - delay - take a little more. World debt must be kept inflated balloon. If the balloon bursts, the same will happen with the Bank of New York (recall that the "Chase" received 78% of their profits from overseas). If one of the global players will decide that it is enough if the Bank of New York will deny Zaire, if Turkey or any of a dozen other does not fulfill its obligations, the whole deck of cards will crumble money.

### **Monopolist make their COURSE**

The annual meeting of the Trilateral Commission in 1993, which took place very appropriate in Washington on March 27 -29, focused on the new Clinton administration.

Member of the Trilateral Commission, the Secretary of State Warren Christopher, spoke to the group and read out a letter from a colleague at the Tripartite Commission, President Clinton. However, the characteristic information has been obtained from the chairman of the report (p. 75 in the report), entitled "An Agenda for leadership of the Trilateral Commission." The name itself has denied the propaganda that the Trilateral Commission is supposedly "the research team." It claims to be the name of "leadership" and "agenda", ie the plan. Indeed, a speech that might have been expected, kept polusekrete. It accurately explained the agenda of the New World Order and the need for specific actions and planning to bring it to life:

"The future order based on long-term co-operation, will not be possible without conscious planning and control of political leadership and without the need for a more complete

sense of this new era among our citizens ...".

The members of the Trilateral Commission are right to believe that citizens no enthusiasm for the "necessity of a new era."

The notion of "necessity" are largely operated and elected by the members of the Tripartite Commission for the reasons for their interest. Moreover, members of the Trilateral Commission does not have legal grounds. They were not elected. They do not depend on the inspection of any citizen. They obviously can do what they want without asking any citizens. It is not surprising that citizens are not interested. And if enough people read this book (most incredible case), citizens can even think about the fact that their sovereignty is infringed - and start looking for a rope to hang identified the perpetrators of the current line. When the GATT and NAFTA further reduce the American standard of living, citizens will be looking for a scapegoat. When crime and fake "war" on drugs, poverty, and with all other transitions in the street bloodshed - the author of this book would not want to be on the site of a member of the Trilateral Commission. Or, in place of the international banker, sitting in New York.

## Chapter Five

### **TWO DECADES OF PLANNING tripartite commission IN AGRICULTURE**

We have emphasized that the goal of the Trilateral Commission is an exaggeration and deepening of the world's problems, so you can leverage the power of the Tripartite Commission for the Control and the device of the new world.

We have emphasized that seem exaggerated such problems are selected according to the following criteria:

- the problem must be important from a global perspective;
- the problem must be solved to some degree of cooperation between the members of the Tripartite Commission and the Communists, cooperation emanating from unformulated task to connect the United States with a socialist structure;
- This event should be such that it can be done without excessive interference in the internal affairs of member states.

An important area of concern, which is suitable to the criteria of electoral manipulation is the world's food problem. Food supplies are inadequate, it is necessary for people to live, and the technological and financial possibilities of food production are mainly found in the countries of the Tripartite Commission.

That being said about this in the document number 13 "Triologue":

"Some are more significant prospects for cooperation in the field of increasing food production. Its increase will require both more effective domestic policy in agriculture on the part of developing countries, and to strengthen enforcement of foreign capital and technology for agricultural development. "

In particular, the document number 13 states:

"Forms of cooperation on more encouraging in view of the third objective, namely the creation of adequate supplies of food (especially grain). Policy creation of a reserve fund,

which could keep the changes in grain prices in less disruptive within fluctuations than in the recent past, would have made a significant contribution not only to the cause of containment of inflation in the developed and developing worlds, but also would provide access to food of adequate reserves for developing countries at prices that will not cause excessive consumption of foreign exchange ... ".

When considering the objectives of the Tripartite Commission on international food supplies and global agriculture, we must consider that the members of the Tripartite Commission say they want, and to compare with what they really want. Captivated by the idea of "food power" and "contrived shortages", the members of the Tripartite Commission intend to use food as a weapon to create a New World Order. One of the tasks set out is the creation of an "international system of national food reserves" by massive manipulation of recently acquired political power against private risks and initiatives. For example, it is proposed:

- to keep grain prices in "less destructive within";
- limit inflation;
- ensure adequate food supplies for the less developed countries;
- overcome periodic food imbalances.

The intentions of the Tripartite Commission on the implementation of the global grain storage program published in the Tripartite Commission and the Brookings Institution, which is headed by a member of the Trilateral Commission Bruce K. McLaury. Other members of the Trilateral Commission in the guardianship of the Brookings Board includes Robert V. Roos (a partner in the company, "Brown Brothers, Harriman"), Lucy Wilson Bezhon and Gerard C. Smith (ambassador at large in matters of non-proliferation). In 1976, a senior fellow at the Brookings Institute, Philip X. Trezise using the former Assistant Minister of Agriculture Branthevera Carroll published a book "On the establishment of grain reserves: the international system." Branthever was previously involved in a conflict with an affidavit, disassemble the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations (see report "Grains Operations with Russia», 93rd Congress, 2nd Session, p. 33). The book Trezise problems of a multilateral agreement on stocks of grain are considered to be incredibly difficult. Moving forward "necessary" here because of what: grain price rise has a "pervasive influence" on all food prices; they mean more hunger in the world; and grain reserves can be used in lean periods. More specifically Trezise suggested:

- an initial stock of 60 mln. tons of grain, increasing to 75-80 mln. tons by 1981 .;
- contributions from all industrial countries, including Argentina and South Africa;
- . Cost of the program used in the billion dollars plus 640 million in annual cost savings.;
- that stocks were "national", purchased at a price 10% higher than the lowest price and sell at a price 10% lower than the highest price;
- to 20 mln. tons have been delayed as a reserve in case of famine.

As in most of the documents of the Tripartite Commission, Trezise includes only evidence in favor of the proposed policy of the Trilateral Commission. The members of the

Trilateral Commission are constantly using ideological procedure of gathering facts and opinions in support of its case, not allowing the slightest hint of a serious counterargument. Here are two glaring consequences Trezise in the book, which is not mentioned:

- Any massive accumulation raises the long-term price for grain, rejecting the goal of "limiting inflation."
- The only way to stop inflation arising from this is a tough government control of prices and regulated farming.

The choice of food products as a means of reducing national sovereignty is underlined in the following paragraph:

"There are several reasons why a consumer goods are treated differently than other products on the market. Perhaps the main reason is that stocks of commodities associated with the earth, tie them to the concept of the territory over which the nation-state sovereignty exercise.

You can generally claim that demand for most commodities, and their proposals are not likely to react to price changes in short periods of time, so that the sharp variations in price may occur on a fairly modest change from all market conditions. Moreover, the time required to increase the inventory is often prolonged, although this property varies widely among individual commodities. Although the cost of consumption of all produced for this purpose shall not exceed 10% of annual economic activity in the industrialized countries, and even if there are substitutes for each specific commodity, they (the objects) are sometimes distinguished as «core products» (core products)

The elite Trilateral Commission by controlling the executive branch of the United States will hit the global basis to reduce the control of manufacturers and, indirectly, to national sovereignty. A measure of political power, which have the world's grain producers can be identified by comparing the area occupied in 1976, wheat production in the regions of the Trilateral Commission.

#### Thousands of hectares

European ehkon. community	11232
Japan	89
United States	28700
USSR (for comparison)	59762

This benefit American members of the Trilateral Commission is reflected in the figures below the world's wheat and flour exports for 1975-76:

#### In metric tonnes

United States	31522000
Canada	12136000
Australia	8072000
EC	7729000
Argentina	3111000

Japan	38000
-------	-------

If other countries have been able to significantly increase its production of wheat, the fastest way to do this would be to raise the level of public support for prices. However, with the exception of Argentina, the United States already have lower levels of support among the approximately 30 wheat-producing countries in the world. Thus, we can see how the United States used all: the area under cultivation, yields, production efficiency - at the negotiating table.

This discussion of "food power" is not theoretical. It is of paramount importance for any grain merchant, farmer, company, or individual in any way associated with grain products.

The members of the Tripartite Commission propose to apply international sanctions against any government, private company or the manufacturer (or not included in the association) that interfere with the tasks of the Trilateral Commission. These sanctions will not be applied only on the principle, but will be used pragmatically to achieve the objectives of the Tripartite Commission. The key to this plan and joint sanctions is in the TC report N°10 «In search of a new negotiation on world markets consumer products." It launched the concept of "contrived shortages". This action is not any members of the Tripartite Commission on the market, which hinders the objectives of the Tripartite Commission. For example, a farmer holding grain from the sale in the market and is expected to increase prices to blame for the lack invented. The report further states that these shortages can be invented more informal than formal caused Manufacturers Association.

While all offenders should be subject to effective international verification and exposure, penalties will not be applied the same way. A developed country is not included in the scope of the Tripartite Commission, such as Argentina and South Africa, will undergo a more severe punishment (ie, sanctions) than developing Zaire and Zambia. "To put it mildly, as" in the case of industrialized countries, however, should consider this issue in a broader political perspective. "

Accordingly, any informal or formal group of farmers in the United States, protesting against the price level. But this protest is inevitable, when they find the goal of the Trilateral Commission, will be subject to penalties. When these individual company and disgraced the government can expect hostility of the Trilateral Commission? Probably, under the following conditions:

- if they try to stabilize or move market prices to levels that do not match the prices of the Trilateral Commission;
- if they will respond to market imperfections or resort to systematic retention of reserves from the sale on the market;
- if these purposes will exchange information.

The members of the Trilateral Commission are well aware that market fluctuations in the agricultural sector is highly sensitive to changes in the supply of goods, and the one who offers these controls, the controls market.

The report number 14 TC "for renewal of the international system," there are two additional and interesting caveats:

- that the Soviet Union can benefit from fixed prices and guaranteed sources of supply of goods;
- that if the Soviet Union did not see the point of joining the plan of the Trilateral Commission, the members of the Tripartite Commission will go on alone.

On the other hand, the report says:

"We do not seek to interventions that would strengthen the Sino-Soviet rivalry. We are mainly focused on projects that would be dealt with or the Soviet Union or China, but not both. This does not mean that the cooperation with the Soviet Union and China can not be carried out simultaneously, but only that it should not be based on the same projects.

The chances of an agreement with the Soviet Union or China, of course, are not clear; Our tentative estimates, based on the limited data available. Ensure its feasibility can only be in the way of cooperation. "

Looking at the period since 1976, when there were these ideas, we can conclude that agriculture is used to promote the New World Order.

The dictatorship of the Soviet Union kept for decades because of the US wheat sold at prices lower than the market, as well as butter and cheese, subsidized by US taxpayers. Also a member of the Trilateral Commission, the writer Philip Trezise was one of the highlights Washington's political bosses, who preached at the root of the false opinion that the Soviet Union was technically viable, while American grain companies and multinatsionalisty ready for use Russian market.

However, when it came to Somalia, small figure on the stage of the New World Order, some two million Somalis have been doomed to a hungry existence, until the US offered their help. Then help appeared as a military tour: TV cameras on the beaches of Somalia filmed US Marines, wandering through the water to the shore - perhaps to hit him first of TV crews with dry feet. Somali fiasco showed that the problem of the Trilateral Commission are political rather than humanitarian. Humanitarian - it's just an excuse the military.

And while, as members of the Trilateral Commission declare that they will not be "to strengthen the Sino-Soviet rivalry," they certainly forgive the persecution of dissidents in China. Whenever the Chinese show cruelty towards their people and the Western world calls for sanctions, powers of the Tripartite Commission insist on restraint and caution. For what reason? Obviously, in order to protect investment in China.

## **CRISIS POLICY IN CHINA**

As the implementation of the Tripartite Commission policy concern arises among farmers, mainly in Europe, especially among the French and Belgian farmers, but from time to time in the United States. The national strike of farmers received widespread in the mid-1978, and it was attended by farmers all areas of agriculture. Demonstrations called "traktorkody" were common events at the national TV screens. While some farmers in the winter were not sure whether they will sow in the spring of spring, others were pressed against the wall of the bankruptcy; they had no choice but to refrain from planting, as prices remained relatively low. Once again, the banks were threatened to become owners of real estate - farms.

And if the banks do not wish this responsibility, everything was done to support the weak farms and ranches. Recently, the Federal Land Bank (where for decades many farmers have found an easy and inexpensive source of loans) has announced that it will not deprive the rights of bankrupt farmers. The statement is fraught with serious consequences, especially since no one knows how many farmers are in trouble.

Large changes can not be achieved only in times of crisis. It seems that the members of the Tripartite Commission forecast the main farm crisis in the US about the next year, and this crisis can be used to achieve the objectives of the Tripartite Commission. When farmers go bankrupt the industry, the only way for the government to "institutionalize" the food production of the country in the same way as was nationalized Amtrak. On the other hand, if the government will make a choice in favor of the assumption of price increases to the point where farmers will be able to make a profit, it will be possible only with strengthening inclusive control over farming. Prosperity, stimulated by the government, has always ended in an exchange: the profit for the control.

The current situation in the US is playing right into the hands of the Trilateral Commission. Grain will be relinquished conclusion, when the members of the Tripartite Commission will be in a position between farmers demanding price increases and consumers calling for lower prices for food. But, of course, first of all it would be "invented by the crisis."

How, then, the national grain reserve, tied to international grain plan of the Trilateral Commission, will affect the American farmer?

Carrot proposed by the Carter administration under the control of the Trilateral Commission was stable and in the "high" price. Farmers affected by four years of low prices, were avid listeners. Minister of Agriculture Bergland (a Trilateral) swore to "level the booms followed by depression" in agriculture. In practice, Carter program for accumulation of grain expressed as follows:

- narrow snake grain prices, the government maintained a lower price level, while the media instigated from unconsenting consumer pressure put an end to the upper limit of the price, making inevitable the government price ceiling,
- more and more government control.

If the government determines the amount of product produced and the market price, then, eventually, it will order, to decide what to plant and where. Farmers still have to understand that they can not have both the traditional freedom and security.

The summer of 1977 was favorable for the farmers producing grain, due to higher yields and stocks. Then, worrying for the products and low prices, farmers were asked to reduce acreage. By August 1977 the Minister of Finance Blumenthal and Secretary Vance were against cuts: they argued that the production increase is necessary for the accumulation of the program. It is unclear whether this was a disputation between the members of the Tripartite Commission and its members in the government, but it was hardly the case. Former Minnesota Congressman Bergland is not a member of the Trilateral Commission, but its sponsor was Vice President Walter Mondale, and Bergland is necessary to maintain the long-standing image of the "other farmers".

President Carter took the controversial decision, urging Congress to legalize the reduction of sown areas by 20% and at the same time add to the national reserves of 30-35 mln. Tons of grain.

By 1994, it was possible to determine the spontaneous reaction of the farmers, but it has not reached crisis proportions. Finally, the American trade unions at the local level have felt betrayed at the national level. In the days of Samuel Gompers and George Meany, American workers were sufficiently represented in their fight with the leaders. The arrival of the Trilateral Commission changed this, and trade unions took many years to realize that they had been betrayed.

Lane Kirkland, the boss of the AFL-CIO, was a long-time member of the Trilateral Commission (but not in 1993). His place in the Commission took Glenn J. Watts, a former president of the US relations with the workers, and the Albert Shanker, president of the American Federation of Teachers.

A remarkable article "DDT in baby food - and other threats from the GATT" appeared in the newspaper "San Francisco Izkeminer" (2 February 1994). Written by Jay Hopkins, a writer on labor issues, it is remarkable that not only reflected the feeling of betrayal of the workers, but also that it appeared in the main city newspaper.

Here are a couple of quotes from Hopkins: "The ruling elite of American workers say that they have to compete on the world market with the poor masses in the third world."

The article emphasizes that in the recent sessions of the GATT accepted the delegation of the "main" corporations such as DuPont, Monsanto and Cargill, and US government officials. Indeed, "there were representatives of small businesses, farms, churches, unions and environmental groups. Obviously, the economic interests of multinational corporations, including those based in the United States, are often in conflict with the well-being of ordinary Americans. "

Hopkins stated bluntly: "Should the US government to protect American sovereignty, jobs and economic independence or throw American workers and consumers into a downward spiral of global competition with nations, not even close to worth to us in terms of life"

What is happening in many industries and, of course, in the agriculture and food industry, as a deliberate reduction in the American standard of living of the Tripartite Commission.

It is unlikely that a sufficient number of American workers enjoying infamous bad readers will read these words and will spread the news. In all likelihood, we will see how high the living standards of the American working earlier times will come to naught. Unions should beware of their members, but their leaders are very interested in tinsel power.

Why the American Federation of Teachers, workers of communications and the International Union of American working women's clothing would be submitted to the Tripartite Commission, this can be explained by a phenomenon limited perceptions of self and greed of union leaders. We can not imagine that Samuel Gompers and George Meany gave way to the Tripartite Commission at least during one day.

### **AGRICULTURE Tripartite Commission under Clinton**

By the early 1990s, the policy of the Trilateral Commission further belittle the small family farmer and the increased power of large global multinational agricultural corporations. We find a powerful representation of the global food firms in the Trilateral Commission:

Dwight O. Andreas, Chairman of the Board and Managing Director of 'Archer Daniels Midland' global agricultural giant, which embodies the joint association of the US - Soviet Union in its Russian advertising.

Mentioned earlier, Robert McNamara and Jessica Eynhorn of the World Bank, which make a great contribution to the development of world agriculture.

Whitney MacMillan, Chairman of the Board and Managing Director of "Cargill Inc." Zernopromyshlennikov the largest in the world.

With the approval of NAFTA, where Clinton parlayed all his efforts and prestige for the US opened the door for the control of agriculture worldwide. The US consumer will not find lower prices but will see in many cases, lower quality products (for example, imported meat) and will face the loss of jobs in the operations related to the intensity of labor in agriculture and the food industry. Plants for the preservation and processing of meat products in the US are closed dozens, and moved their operations overseas where labor costs less.

The original purpose of the global "cooperation" in agriculture, announced in the TC report number 13, is largely completed by 1994. But the impact on American jobs can be assessed only in general, for the cause of such a large volume of low-wage labor in the United States are illegal immigrants.

In the next 20 years we expect the transition of the world's agricultural and food products under the control of the international giants. This member of the Trilateral Commission get the power to create on their own abundance or famine.

## **Chapter Six**

### **Trilateral NOT LIKE TAXES FOR IT ...**

It is strange that in the context of documents exposing the Tripartite Commission in almost all the main political issues, there is absolutely nothing on taxation. After some research, we discovered a possible cause of silence. Taxes are not an urgent problem for the members of the Tripartite Commission. Actually it's just for you and me. In the study it was discovered an interesting paradox: Trilaterals enthusiastic advocate in favor of increasing taxes on the common man, but very successfully avoid taxes on themselves and all of their supporters.

When we were able to determine the public statements of the Tripartite Commission on the proposal 13, for example, it became clear that they were, as expected, they were strongly against the reduction of property taxes in California. For example:

"Bank of America" (the directors were members of the Trilateral Commission Clawson and Wood) contributed \$ 25,000 for the victory over the Jarvis-Gann (Proposition 13).

The governor of Illinois Thompson making a presidential bid, rejected a similar program to reduce taxes in Illinois.

Carter said that Proposition 13 - a "misconception, which does not cover the country."

Jarvis himself called the newspaper "Los Angeles Times" (its director - a member of the Trilateral Commission Harold Brown) "vengeful, paranoid, schizophrenic newspaper" for the hard nature of its opposition to Proposition 13.

In short, the members of the Tripartite Commission used all his influence against the Jarvis-Gann and reduce taxes.

But the opposition of the Tripartite Commission to reduce the tax does not apply to property taxes on its members or taxes on all of them. branches. Multinational Trilateral successfully avoided paying taxes in the United States and little progress in the case in England and. perhaps somewhere else.

The picture that has developed in the United States, made public Congressman Charles A. Broom (26 January 1978) after studying Congress taxes paid by major multinational corporations.

That's what Congressman reported Broom:

"In the course of this study, including taxes for 1976, it is checked 168 companies. This includes 108 industrial, mining 7, 8 aircraft, rail 9, 5 vegetable growing, utilities 13, 8 and 10 retail commercial bank companies. As some did not provide data for adequate computerization, the individual categories of state and municipal taxes could not be computerized.

Where due to sufficient data made possible the computerization, the average effective tax rate in the United States on the worldwide income of corporations was about 13.04 percent, significantly lower than in the tax level of 21.3 percent in 1975. To achieve this low level of taxes, the average family of 4 could be earning only \$ 20,000. Companies exposed to this test had to taxing income of more than 38.7 billion. Dollars.

The figures show that 17 companies have not paid anything in the real federal income tax on account of the tax in 1976, despite the fact that the whole world combined net income of more than 2,594,060,000 dollars - Table 1. These 17 companies have accumulated a tax credit amounting to more than than 375 million. dollars. In some cases, however, the company did not pay taxes because they have suffered a net loss. In other cases, some companies will claim that they are "paid" federal taxes, but the tax credit exceeded, which resulted in unrealistic and actual payments in the level of the tax is equal to zero.

In this group multinational, avoiding taxes, we find numerous corporations with links to the Trilateral Commission.

One would at least expect that by taking on the burden of future solutions for the American Society and the New World Order, the members of the Tripartite Commission to pay fully their share of the costs. Therefore, we examined these tests carried brooms, in terms of determining the taxes paid multinational represented in the Tripartite Commission officer.

The lowest level of income tax for a single American taxpayer - 14 percent. On the other hand, "Chase Manhattan", "Kontinentl Illinois" and "Furst Chicago" power house behind the Trilateral Commission - pay much less. In fact, in 1976, "Chase Manhattan Bank" is not paid US taxes.

On the one hand, David Rockefeller (Chairman of the "Chase" and the largest individual shareholder in the "Chase") wants to decide the future of American society and the world; On the other hand, his bank refuses to make a contribution to the new American society, and the New World Order.

With these facts in hand, we have every right to be skeptical of the proclaimed intentions and objectives of the Tripartite Commission. We have every right to assume that the Tripartite Commission can be huge "sell" of American society.

Unfortunately, after 1978, Congress did not hold a multinational study of tax rates. Broom study was conducted last comprehensive analysis, which revealed the low payment rates for multinatsionalov. However, we believe that the rate of payments at the end of the 1970s, and included here, not fundamentally changed over the past 10 years.

As we all know, the income tax rate per person is much higher than multinatsionalami payment and the avoidance of taxes. In the US, the rate of entry of individual tax is 14% and the highest rate - 70%. In Canada it starts with rate 17%, and reaches 43%. In other European countries the norm even higher, up to close to the confiscation of 98% in England. But if you are an international bank related to the Trilateral Commission, your real rate in 1976 was much lower than the lowest individual categories, the degree of tax evasion banks-members of the Trilateral Commission are in the table like this:

### Taxes of US banks in 1976

Chase Manhattan	0,0%
Manufakcherers Hanover	3.8%
Chicago Furst	6.3%
Kontintsntl Illinois	10.5%
Bank of America	14.9%

These same multinatsionaly drags abroad their thriving business in order to avoid US taxation. Take, for example, the messages in the London magazine "The Economist" (14 January 1978), a caustic headline: "No tax please. We - the banks. "

American and other foreign banks in London are rid of the payment of a small or no UK tax if their insistence on tax exemption, which are now considered financial management, will be taken. Even in the UK clearing banks of their tax bills would fall sharply.

MNCs are also a source of concern for some governments, as with their ample opportunities they can often upset the national monetary, fiscal and monetary policies. Also a concern mentioned the possibility of distortion due to pricing practices within corporations to take advantage of the national versions of the tax laws.

The audit of multinational corporations and the US tax rate in 1976 on the world income corporations revealed that still paying relatively high rates of the American tax.

### US tax

US tax	The Company in 1976
Oil Jetty	21.14%
RJ. Reynalds Indus.	41.0%
Greyhound	46.8%

Textron	40,1%
---------	-------

In general, however, these figures from MNCs with links to the Trilateral Commission, seems to be paying a much lower rate. This is only an approximate line. Perhaps a fake relationship, but there is enough reason to take a closer look at the facts.

### Taxes in 1976

Company	Payout
Exxon (under the control of Rockefeller)	8%
Standard Oil of California (Rockefeller)	17,1%
Eastern Airlines (under the control of Rockefeller, is not there)	0,0%
Arco (Ingersoll)	11.4%
Occidental Petroleum (Armand Hammer, once a friend of Lenin, was the chairman of the Board of Okspdsital. In 1919 Julius Hammer, Armand's father, was the secretary of the Communist Party USA).	4.2%
Gulf Oil (Gulf annually gives one billion dollars of oil concession revenues A.Netto Marxist regime in Angola. Oil fields in Cabinda Gulf Company protected by Cuban troops).	7%

We can develop this argument a little further. The members of the Trilateral Commission in the government protect fellow capitalists from taxes.

A recent report by the government operations committee in the White House revealed the following:

tax management solutions for some multinational oil companies have cost the US Treasury after 1974 in the amount of over \$ 7 billion. dollars. "By the early 1970s, multinational oil companies operate under a number of factual and legal circumstances that are completely contrary to those which were based on the old rules of the tax credit for foreign companies."

IRS was unable to verify the income of oil companies, or require them to provide information confirming their estimated costs (probably undergo revision only individual taxpayers).

These beneficial effects were the result of "intervention" of the then Secretary of State Henry Kissinger (a member of the Trilateral Commission).

More recent "unwarranted interference" for the same purpose has been manifested by the Minister of Finance Blumenthal (Trilateral Commission member).

The names corresponding to US oil companies, the Committee did not indicate, in addition to references to the fact that they operate in Saudi Arabia, Libya and Indonesia. Company "Aramco" was mentioned only once: this company is related to the interests of the "Exxon" and "Chase Manhattan."

In short, the Commission accused the members of the House B. Trilateral Henry Kissinger and Michael Blumenthal in "undue interference" in the affairs of the tax

administration in order to obtain benefits for certain companies.

And further:

"In September 1977, at a time when the subcommittee discovered and criticized done a year ago, an official of the Ministry of Finance of the assumption that the representatives of" collaborating "Tax Administration and the Ministry of Finance in secret deals with Indonesia, and there the oil companies with regard to tax credits for foreigners (an assumption for which other Finance Ministry officials have also made comments, both unjustified), new employees Office of international Affairs recommend such actions. "

And here's another example for those readers who remember my book "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution," and may remember that in 1918, the leading law firm of Wall Street, which supported the nascent Bolshevik regime in Russia was "Simpson Thacher and Bartlett "from New York. As proof of its support, partner Thomas D. Thacher, wrote a report that was crucial for supporting the Bolsheviks on the part of the British Cabinet. Thomas Lamont, Dwight Morrow and X.P. Davison also actively participated in the development of policy in relation to the Bolsheviks: all were partners in the firm, "J. JP Morgan ".

Being in London April 13, 1918, Thomas D. Thacher, a member of the mission of the American Red Cross in Russia, wrote to the US Ambassador in London, that X. P. Davison, partner of Morgan, asking him to "speak with Lord Northcliffe" concerning the situation in Russia, and then go to Paris "for other negotiations." Lord Northcliffe was ill and Thacher left a memorandum to be submitted to Northcliffe, when he returned to London with yet another Morgan partner, Dwight W. Morrow. The memorandum made not only detailed proposals on the Russian policy that supported probolshevists-kai position of William Boyce Thompson (director of "Chase Bank" now "Chase Manhattan Bank"), but also, and declared that the Soviet government should give its full support in its trying to organize a volunteer revolutionary army. "

These are the first three proposals in Thacher report:

*"First of all ... the Allies should discourage Japanese intervention in Siberia.*

*Second, the Soviet government should give its full support in his efforts to organize a volunteer revolutionary army.*

*Third, the Allied Governments should give their moral support to the Russian people in their quest to develop their own political systems free from the domination of any foreign power ... "*

Was Wall Street lawyer Thatcher capitalist enemy of the Bolsheviks? Of course not. Thatcher was right there, helping the revolution, as part of the "ruling class renegades", together with the capitalists of the banks J.. P. Morgan, and "Chase".

This help came to the Marxist revolution in South Africa and Red China. And who was the US Secretary of State and led the implementation of this assistance? DA Cyrus Vance, who until his appointment as Secretary of State was also a partner in the company, "Simpson Thacher and Bartlett."

Senator Clifford P. Case was a member of the firm from 1928 to 1953, then he became the president of the Fund for the Republic, the association that sponsored the research on "new constitution", which was waiting for the elite.

Another Memorandum from William Boyce Thompson (director of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, and "Chase Bank"), Lloyd George (British Prime Minister) in December 1917. Thompson supported the Bolsheviks and recognized, in particular:

"We have provided material assistance in spreading the Bolshevik literature about the overthrow of the last Kerensky government, distributing it through agents and by using airplanes among the German army, it would be nice to think about it over, would be of no interest to send this same Bolshevik literature in Germany and Austria via Western and Italian fronts. "

Does this mean that Wall Street and the Bolsheviks were enemies? Or, on the contrary, the Allies?

Another striking example of the capitalist-communist alliance is the activity of the "Gulf Oil" in Angola. This campaign - financial support Neto government, besides the production capacity "Gulf" in Cabinda guarded by Cuban troops.

What about Armand Hammer, chairman of Occidental Petroleum? In the Russian edition of Lenin's works, you will find a few letters from Lenin to Hammer with a gentle treatment "dear friend." The capitalists - are big enemies of the Communists? Nonsense! They work in close cooperation in order to rule the world.

And in 1994 the lowdown of this cooperation is not very different from 1919.

It does not go unnoticed that the policies provide pre-election promises, and then discard them when entering the office.

President Bill Clinton, a member of the Trilateral Commission, the first example. Election promises to reduce bureaucracy, to protect human rights, reduce the power elite and lobbyists, and similar populist scenarios - all was forgotten.

A declassified FBI documents show backstage causes of many assignments in the Clinton cabinet. They are equally divided between supporters and colleagues of the Institute of Political Studies, marxist wing and multinational professional lobbyists. Co-director of transition team were both members of the Trilateral Commission - Warren Christopher and Vernon Jordan; and both have long been lobbyists. Then there were appointed Washington officials with the Marxist way of thinking (mostly from the Institute of Political Studies, such as Anthony Lake. Leon Panetta. Morton Halperin) or inveterate lobbyists (Ron Brown, Warren Christopher), or persons who are in the system of revolving doors ( Lord Winston, David Geron, Bebbitt Bruce).

The only real purpose was nevashingtonskim Dzhenerel Renault, which has appeared in the experimental investigations and actions that could disturb the administration - bribery investigation by the Brown case, it's "Whitewater" ... The key to understanding world events is a view of the world based on the alliance of the ruling Marxist views class. Then, seemingly inconsequential actions and events make sense:

- elite subsidizes Marxist regimes: they are not enemies;
- Elite throws allies on free enterprise: she wants to socialism;
- Elite seeks to increase personal taxation, ie Marxist "progressive income tax";
- Elite reduce their own taxes in the same way as the Moscow elite is justified in Soviet Russia by the Russian working class.

The textbook of modern history is not real, because it is based on a mythical struggle of the capitalists against the Communists.

So when we are asked to believe that the ambitions of the Trilateral Commission is morally justified to build a New World Order, devoted to peace and well-being of mankind, we guard two things: a) that the goal is not the same as with other interpretations of the motivations and actions of the Trilateral Commission, and b) the means employed seem authoritative and suggest that the goals can be the same.

What practical lessons can we learn from this union?

If you, the average American voter Commoner, remember history and the Democrats and the Republicans, if you can recall at least some example, when one of these parties has fulfilled a promise? Such examples are very few.

We believe that if you are small or medium independent businessman or free profession person, then you are a target for destruction. Does this match your own experience for the whole working life?

If you are a socialist, enough mistake. You work hand in hand with those of the elite, who is worthy of your contempt.

If you are interested in tax reform, then keep in mind that the only acceptable tax reform is the complete abolition of the 16th amendment to the constitution.

To highlight the discriminatory approach to the Carter administration of tax matters, there is nothing better than to quote the testimony to Congress by Philip E. Vizhena. official customs control in the Chicago office of the district tax office, which in 1976 is slightly whistled, seeing tax administration somersaults, and reported them Subcommittee Supervisory Committee on ways and means of permanent Budget Commission of the House. Congressman Jones Vizhena asked about the difference in relation to the rich and the poor taxpayers:

"Sometimes if the pressure with a view to acquire a small business or property of the poor taxpayer to close the deal, and the pressure to, for example, to quickly settle the matter with a rich taxpayer who has a lot of accounts and there is a legal opportunity to win the case?"

To which tax administration official told The motion:

"Quite frankly, I must say the following:

You will find these branches or groups that are in downtown Chicago. Here, low income, very often the case closed, because, really, there is no problem. You do not need technical skills or knowledge to prepare tax per employee, an employer who receives about \$ 80 of net earnings. We can go to, to present tax and pick up all the \$ 80.

Of course, it was hard to expect from a taxpayer earning \$ 80 that he hire an expensive lawyer.

Usually, when he comes in, his eyes tear, as a reaction to the tax, because he planned to make the \$ 80 to pay the gas or electric company and once the tax control visor that money, he turned off the electricity and gas, and some of these money was planned in order to feed his family. It is common practice.

We regret to inform you that this is the case only if you look at the poor areas of Chicago, areas of depression. However, small dollar TDA paid much more often than in the rich suburbs of Deerfield, where I live. "

To better imagine the hidden meaning of the vicious system of differentiated income tax, aimed at small and medium businessman and wide circles of the middle class, as well as to understand the role of the Tripartite Commission and the international bankers, who make up a powerful elite, behind the Tripartite Commission, we must go back to 1847 the Communist Manifesto Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels.

About Communist revolution, Marx and Engels wrote: "First of all, it is possible to act only despotic encroachment on property rights and oppressive assault on bourgeois methods of production; ie, measures which appear economically insufficient and untenable, but have a far-reaching impact and are necessary as a means of revolutionizing the entire production system. "

In short, the removal of owners of small and medium business ( "bourgeois methods of production") with the orbit of TNCs and international banks is a necessary precondition of socialism.

Then Marx and Engels define the famous ten "ways" to achieve a revolution in developed countries for the construction of socialism.

This is how Marx and Engels describe the measures: "In most developed countries, they are, in fact, take the following forms:

- Expropriation of land ownership and use of land rent for public expenditure payment.
- Strong progressive income tax.
- Cancel inheritance.
- The confiscation of property of emigrants and rebels.
- Centralization of credit in the hands of the state by means of a national bank with state capital and an exclusive monopoly.
- Centralization of vehicles in the hands of the state.
- Increasing the number of nationalized factories and means of production and processing of raw land and the improvement of the cultivated land in accordance with the master plan.
- Universal and equal obligation to work; organization of industrial armies, especially for agriculture.
- In agriculture and urban industry to work in close cooperation so as to gradually erase the distinction between town and country.
- Public and free education for all children, child labor Cancel factories in its present form. Education and material production should be united. "

It is noteworthy that there is an analogy between Marx's proposals and the Trilateral Commission: the centralization of credit in the International Monetary Fund and the

Federal Reserve System is similar to the number 5 event Marx. Federal subsidies rapid transit and sustained efforts to reduce the use of private cars cost a similar event Marx number 6. And finally, our 16 th amendment to the constitution, "the amendment of income tax" is nothing other than a "strong progressive income tax" proposed by Marx in the Manifesto. How does this relate to the fact that TNK Trilateral avoid taxes? It turns out great.

It is interesting to reread Marx's Manifesto in the light of the alliance between Wall Street bankers and the Communist imperialists. Marxists should especially read Marx. The enemy of the Marxist totalitarianism is not a capitalist, but rather "bourgeois" middle class. Marx sees the bourgeoisie as the source of all that is evil, but it does not include the whole ruling establishment in the number of those who planned to destroy. Conversely, when the class struggle will be closer to the end, Marx predicted curious event: "a small part of the ruling class is separated, to make common cause with the revolutionary class that holds the future in its hands."

In short, Marx predicted the ruling coalition and the interests of the revolutionary Marxists of the ruling class. This is what history has shown about a hundred years after the publication of the Manifesto. One of the most significant forces in the development of the modern world was to help a relatively small but powerful part of the ruling establishment of the West to the Soviet Union, which came through such influential organizations as the Council on Foreign Relations, and today through the Tripartite Commission. In the words of Marx, are not Cyrus Eaton, Armand Hammer. David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission and renegades of the ruling class? We do not join forces and Marxists "have broken away from the ruling class of capitalists" for the destruction of America's middle class? Unfortunately, theorists, analysts do not see the hidden meaning of this union: they read Marx's biased opinion. So let's present some evidence.

In 1976 he reorganized the "Diamond" Angola's Marxist government, a monopoly that produces diamonds. Marxist Neto government will own 60.8%, and the rest will belong to all former foreign owners. It will be a mixed company. But what the future owners will be expropriated to make room for new Marxist shareholders? Not a big greedy capitalists we hear so much in the socialist literature and, in the words Neto government, "a large number of small shareholders." Large "foreign companies" large TNCs, ie the ruling capitalists, will not be affected by this seizure. In other words, the ruling class is teaming up with Marxist revolutionaries against petty bourgeois owners.

## Chapter Seven

### ETERNAL WAR FOR ETERNAL WORLD

The members of the Tripartite Commission have a passion for the announcement of the "war" world problems. This "war on cancer", "war crime", "drugs war", "war and AIDS", etc. The essence of these "wars" is a policy aimed at achieving the global objectives of TC. Peace and freedom of the individual, of course, are not these objectives, we do not find in many cases any contribution to human well-being.

In this chapter we consider: a) the "war against AIDS" and b) "war on communism". In each case, we offer a story much different from the official line of the media intended for public consumption.

## **WAR WITH AIDS**

According to Dr. Robert Gallo and other researchers of AIDS, deadly disease that can sweep the world, it appeared after a small green monkey in Africa bit native, and he died. Believe it or not believe, but scientists or cling to this absurd argument untested or reject his reason as untenable.

There is another argument, confirmed by compelling data and reflected in the five books of the respected medical doctors. In short, the argument that AIDS - an artificial disease, created by the US Army as part of biological warfare programs, funded by Congress and released fanatics elite to destroy a certain part of the world's population.

No matter how horrible or seemed to genocide at the hands of global fanatics, there is more evidence in favor of this interpretation, rather than in favor of the theory of the little green monkey.

What is even more depressing is the fact that this argument leads us directly to a member of the Trilateral Commission, Robert S. McNamara, the former secretary of state and chairman of the World Bank. It was he who approved the funding for the creation of artificial AIDS virus, which later funded the Congress.

Here's the story, based on the studies mentioned five doctors, we have put together our own research of documentary evidence.

Previously, we published our assessment in «The Phoenix Letter», published by the author of this book in November 1992 and December 1993. We reprint the following to the publication in December 1993. Further documentation can be found in the November 1992 edition.

### **Fort Detrick biological program in the 60s**

Fort Detrick in Maryland is a US database of biological warfare. Previously, it was called "Biological Laboratory of the US Army," and now passes as "Medical Research Institute of the US Army on infectious diseases."

The army included Special Operations Division (SOD), which is located at Fort Detrick, and acts on the formal operational agreement with the CIA (memorandum signed in May 1952). And the CIA, and the Army is well swept their tracks, but the originals are preserved, and you can draw on them a terrible story.

In the early 60-ies of the staff of the US Army used the ODS cases of special purpose for spraying unsuspecting civilians bacilli at bus company "Greyhound" in Washington, Chicago and San Francisco. The same operations were carried out in Washington's airports, DS, New York, Boston and Los Angeles. By the number of one-way ticket sold during the spraying of bacteria was determined by the volume of distribution of agent *Bacillus subtilis*, which could be bought on the biological warehouses. It is not considered to be pathogenic, but can cause respiratory infections, blood poisoning and food poisoning.

According to declassified documents of the army, the bus station "Greyhound" in San Francisco and Chicago was the place where the "6 operatives committed the attack hidden" within 7 days. Of the cases of special design to full capacity sprayed bacteria in

crowded air terminals. Produces pictures, and the other army personnel "quietly collecting air samples in the vicinity of passengers" for the purpose of determining whether they are infected.

Later re-testing with the smallpox virus were held, grown in large quantities, and were turned into a deadly powder for spraying. US Senate investigation in 1975 revealed the close cooperation between the ODS and the CIA.

"This was made possible by the CIA association with Sodom from Fort Detrick. This division has been developing special devices for biological warfare viruses and toxins. Its main customer was the US Army. Its task was to develop a suitable viruses and delivery mechanisms to be tested in paramilitary situations. And the standard viruses for biological warfare, and biologically grown toxins studied in this unit. "

Senate commission found that the CIA had been covered their traces, to hide the anti-constitutional activities from the American public. The Senate committee said: "Although a number of documents emanating from the CIA found in the project files, it is clear that documented very limited activity."

Excerpt from the report of the US Army reveals why chose smallpox as "chosen the virus." Here's how it describes the "attractive" qualities:

1. When closely pox most contagious. It easily passes from an infected person to susceptible persons.
2. The long incubation period of relatively constant period of time makes it possible to appropriately operatives to leave the country before the first case will be registered.
3. For those who are recovering, the duration of the disease is relatively large.
4. Despite the fact that the federal government claims to discontinue the use of Fort Detrick after the 1972 Treaty on the prohibition of biological weapons, we know that the US army requested to appropriate the amount of \$ 1.4 million. To expand tests of bacteriological warfare in the early 1980s s. Senator James Sasser opposed, and it is unlikely the appropriation passed. Perhaps, this issue was discussed in the "black budget."

## **INVENTOR AIDS**

In July 1969 Dr. MacArthur, Director of DARPA of the US Army, spoke before Congress in the Appropriations Committee and the White House said:

"Within 5 to 10 years it would be possible to produce a synthetic biological virus, a virus that does not exist in nature, and against which it is impossible to acquire natural immunity.

It is a synthetic substance called AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome virus). DARPA has requested 10 million. Dollars for AIDS Development, 10 days before the virus has been found outside the laboratory. "

Dr. MacArthur added: "This is an extremely controversial issue, and many believe that such research should not start to this has not led to yet another method of massive

killing of large numbers of people."

From 1961 to 1968, when the Pentagon discussed the artificial biological virus, the defense minister was a member of the Trilateral Commission, Robert McNamara. Clark Clifford (from the notorious organization BBC ECI) took the post in 1969.

October 2, 1970, exactly 15 months after Dr. MacArthur requested appropriations for the development of AIDS, the current president of the World Bank Robert McNamara argued that population growth leads to instability, that 10 billion. The world's population will not be able to "control .. . '.

McNamara said: "This is not the world in which any of us would want to live. Is this inevitable? There is no certainty, but there are two possible ways in which it is possible to prevent the number of population at 10 billion.. Either modern birth rate should fall more rapidly, or the current level of mortality should rise. No other way ... ".

In short, the last decisive vote Robert McNamara depended on the development of AIDS at the very moment when he thought about the idea that "the world's death rate must rise." This is more than a coincidence.

We came to the conclusion that a member of the Trilateral Commission, Robert McNamara knowingly contributed to the development of AIDS as a means to reduce the world's population. It is difficult to come to any other conclusion.

## **SOVIET UNION ACCUSES THE PENTAGON IN THE PREPARATION OF AIDS**

This information became known to the Soviets and the Soviet Union launched a global awareness campaign in October 1985. AIDS produced by the Pentagon in Fort Detrick, Maryland. Initial information was placed in the Indian newspaper, supported by the Soviets, and later appeared in more than 30 media sources around the world. This report confirmed the East German professor University. Humboldt in East Berlin Jakob Segal. He argued that the AIDS virus is the "product of a failed experiment conducted in the laboratory to develop a means of biological warfare."

In the West, the Soviets ignored the propaganda campaign (including the editor of this book). Imagine that the US is able to develop virus-killer like AIDS, it was impossible. It seems that Professor Segal is of the opinion that it was a "random", ie "Failed experiment." We have also considered some time in the same way until it surfaced McNamara in October 1970.

Anyway, at the end of the 80s the US State Department began a campaign of denials to the Soviet accusations. However, the State Department did not know that Congress has already issued requests and statements of Dr. MacArthur and these refutations sat in a puddle. Thus, the State Department argued that the US Army never used Fort Detrick as a base for biological warfare, But it's not true. State Department probably did not know that McNamara was considering-planned increase in mortality.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

CIA and US army conducted field tests with bacillus subtilis and smallpox against American civilians.

Without a doubt, the army has received funds from Congress for the development of AIDS, that may have held at Fort Detrick. AIDS leak could be random, but we reject it for various reasons. The first cases appeared in Africa and Haiti, and not in the United States. Second, Robert McNamara at the same time called for more global mortality. This suggests a deliberate policy on the controlled leak of the AIDS virus.

Tips extracted this information and used it for a propaganda campaign. Refutation of the State Department was ineffective because he did not realize the extent to which the information has already been published.

**Extract from the hearing of the 5.1969 year, the House of Representatives,  
Department of Defense, at about 1970 appropriations.  
129 - Tuesday, July 1, 1969.**

**Synthetic biological viruses**

There are two points in the field of biological viruses, where I want to stay. One is the possibility of technological surprise, Molecular biology - an area, advancing very rapidly and eminent biologists believe that within 5-10 years it would be possible to produce a synthetic biological virus, a virus that does not exist in nature, and against which it is impossible to acquire natural immunity,

Mr. Sikes: Are we ever do in this area?

Dr. MacArthur: None.

Mr. Sikes: Why not? No money or no interest?

Dr. MacArthur: Certainly not lack of interest.

Mr. Sikes: Could you give the information to our protocol that is required, what will be the benefits of such a program, how much time and what is the cost of this?

Dr. MacArthur: We are very happy to do it.

(The following information):

The tremendous progress that in the field of molecular biology led us to investigate the appropriateness of the use of science in the development of biological warfare. A small group of experts considered this issue and presented the following considerations:

All biological viruses to date were representatives of naturally occurring disease and is therefore known to scientists all over the world. They are readily available for study by qualified researchers in the offensive or defensive purposes.

Over the next 5-10 years, probably, it would be possible to create a new infective microorganism which could differ in certain important aspects from any known organisms that cause disease. Their main value is to not succumb to the immunological and therapeutic processes upon which we depend, while maintaining its relative freedom from infectious disease.

Research program to ascertain the feasibility of the project can be completed in approximately 6 years at a total cost of 10 million. Dollars.

The creation of such a program would be very difficult. Molecular biology is a relatively new science. In this area, not so much the high competence of the scientists. Almost all of them are working in university laboratories, and they usually do not adequately

support the Department of Defense, and other sources. However, we considered it possible to initiate such a program through the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.

With them, the issue was discussed and outlined preliminary plans for the implementation of this program. However, the reduction of funds in the NE, the growing criticism of the program and in our hesitation in bringing the National Academy of Sciences and the National Research Council to such a controversial event has led to postponement of the case in the past two years.

This is an extremely controversial issue, and many believe that such research is not necessary to undertake that it will not lead to yet another method of massive killing of large populations. On the other hand, no solid scientific evidence that such a weapon is possible without an understanding of how to produce it, there is little that can be done to the invention of defensive measures. And in the case of the creation of their enemy, there is no doubt that this is an important area - the area of possible technological gap in military terms, and there is no adequate research program.

For further information see:

1. Lorrain Day, MD, AIDS: What the Government Is not Telling You, Rockford Press, PO Box 952, Rancho Mirage, CA 92270, \$ 26.
2. Alan Cantwell, MD Queer Blood: The Secret AIDS Genocide Plot Aries Rising Press. PO Box 29532, Los Angeles, CA 90029; \$ 15.50. Dr. Cantwell - dermatologist homosexuals and it can hardly be accused of antigomoseksualistskoy writings.
3. Stanley Monteith, MD AIDS: The Unnecessary Epidemic, From AIDS Book, 618, Frederic Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95062. \$ 18.

## **WAR ON DRUGS**

The war on drugs is a farce. "She had no impact on the supply of drugs has not reduced demand for them, but definitely used to fund secret programs not approved by Congress, and to fill the pockets of certain officials."

The national "war on drugs" Bush and Clinton administrations is not more than the debacle of trying to shoot from the hip and the squandering of taxpayers' money. This is not only a personal conclusion to this conclusion was the police chiefs, medical researchers, sociologists, psychologists, journalists and even politicians. I can not help but come to the conclusion that the "war" is scheduled failure.

What was the mistake?

No one in any administration did not bother to define the problem in a realistic manner ... Each administration reacted in panic under the influence of public protest, frivolity and too late.

The problem of drug use covers about 20 million. US. Sam Bennett wanted to build prisons and to put those 20 million. Erring citizens ... but without the possibility of treatment. In addition, Bennett made proposals on arms control, the limitation of civil rights and to assist in the establishment of homes for the children of drug addicts.

Two thirds of the budget allocations for the fight against drugs is spent on military excursions ... in Latin America and Colombia ... as well as in Humboldt County, California, where, perhaps, the first time since the Civil War, the US military used against the Americans.

Only one was carrying contraband ... it was not in the other nine out of every 10 drugs intercepted aircraft.

The war of words is based on the extracted information, which is incomplete and sometimes false.

The end result of the Bush-Clinton's war on drugs has been an increasing number of cases of violence, murder, increase in drug abuse ... and, of course, increase slipshod headlines about drugs.

A few short comments:

Washington Police Chief DS Aysek Fulwood "Bennett spends time talking and not doing anything. His approach is absolutely wrong. I do not even know whether he had any approach. "

Executive Research Forum of Washington police (think tank expressing the opinion of senior city police chiefs): "There is a clear need to expand and intensify the investigation as the medical aspects of drug use and the effectiveness of our current policy."

If we had a place we would be able to print dozens of critical comments on the part of physicians. Here are a couple of typical interpretations: Medical drug treatment specialists caustically criticized the actions of the program. Says Dr. Lester Grinspoon of Harvard Medical School: "These advertising campaigns (against drugs) completely irresponsible and they frighten children. When children realize that they are not telling the truth, they will surrender. "

Overall assessment is that the program is unsuccessful.

Statistician Susan Nissenbaum (California Department of programs to combat drug and alcohol): "The general consensus is that we begin to deal with the growing problem of heroin."

Recent medical studies suggest that certain brain disorders much more quickly pushed some to addiction than others .. and they are much harder to treat. Extensive research under good control shows that some drug addicts take to repair defective brain chemistry that persons subject to depression, anger, anxiety, find the first dose of the drug that gives considerable force, ie, they are biologically predisposed to a particular drug. Ralph Turner, physiologist Western Psychiatric Institute in Pittsburgh, said that many recovering addicts "tell me that when I took my first drug, the first time I felt normal."

The newspaper "New York Times" (in its issue of June 26, 1990) has placed an extensive article about the biological predisposition. More generally, it commented on the "Newsweek" on January 29, 1990: "The model for the national campaign in 9 months was only the empty language of verbal battle."

Bennett predictable side effect of the war was a marked increase in violence and an unprecedented increase in murders related to drugs. On the explicit increase in this kind of killings reported from New York, Miami, Houston, Phoenix, Los Angeles and San

Francisco. For example, in Oakland, California by July 1990 88 murders were registered (compared with 148 cases for the whole 1989), and 37% were related to drugs.

And we forget that moment: legal prescription doctor valy and codeine are among the narcotic drugs and cause the same amount of health problems, as well as illegally acquired drugs.

The growing reaction to this was the requirement to equalize the cocaine and heroin with cigarettes and alcohol, to allow free access to them, and with tax revenues will go to the government, and not a drug dealer.

It probably would have left traders without work and there would be no supply sources against which it is necessary to use the practice of military excursions. But it would not have allowed a medical problem if some people are biologically disposed to drugs, while taking into account existing entirely inadequate treatment options we have finished medical overload instead of an overload of legal enforcement.

There is inadequate information ... We just do not know why losses occur in the business in connection with the drug. Does the theft, embezzlement and inefficiency desire to get money for drugs, or they lead to inefficiency? This is an important point: in one case, legalization would lead to lower losses in the business, in other - even more ineffective.

In short: the Bush-Clinton approach completely underestimates the complexity of the drug problem.

But the problem itself has grown to what has long feared: before the curtailment of human rights and constitutional rights, military actions of Americans against Americans, concentration camps for many Americans, the violence on our streets. And all this under the banner of the fight against drug use.

### **WHAT HAPPENS at street level**

If the crusade against the Bush-Clinton drug was a success, the supply would run out into the streets, and prices have soared. That did not happen.

Cocaine comes in large quantities. Police Departments across the country confirm these details. The price fell immediately after the appointment of Bennett (when suppliers emptied their channels). Now prices have stabilized.

Police Chief Fulwood (Washington) states: "We have not noticed that the availability of drugs has decreased." At the other end of the continent from Washington author was in San Francisco on Market Street, and saw on the corner of this street and the Powell Street, where the tourists gather on the funicular, strong white man shouted: "powder rock" (ie, . cocaine powder and "crack" a kind of drug). And it is in broad daylight!

Heroin comes more and the price has dropped substantially. Here is a diagram of the Drug Monitoring Agency:

Marijuana can be purchased openly in the street in any large US city.

The war on drugs has cost 1 billion. Dollars. As a result, the choice of drug addicts when switched from cocaine to heroin.

Violence has increased in the streets. We downloaded the departments of police, judicial system and our prisons ... for what? On each planted a merchant account for the other, which is opening a shop. 70% of our inmates addicts.

Reducing supply failed.

In November 1993, the television program CBS CBS "60 Minutes" brought dramatic evidence that our analysis is on the right track. Officials of the Ministry of Economy, including his former boss Bonner, accused the CIA of the net import tons of cocaine from Venezuela to a single transaction. This lot has been sold on US streets.

This is the operation of the CIA was embodied in the creation of a network of drug trafficking in Haiti under the roof of "intelligence network" led by General Cedras.

Venezuelan party weighing a thousand pounds was captured in 1990 in the Miami airport. Ministry of Economy and customs officials were ordered not to intervene, t. To. Cocaine imported with the permission of the US government.

Moreover, the Ministry of Justice was aware of the party drug, and did nothing. Senator Boren ( "Skull and Bones") knew about the delivery and did nothing. Senator, representing the people of the United States, closed his eyes.

Only a few employees of the Ministry of Economy did their job! It erect on this program in 1993, C-CBS. (Not a single US newspaper did not publish this information, but "New York Times" in its issue dated 11.20.93. A few years ago, "Phoenix Latter" by the Venezuelan newspaper "El Universal" of Caracas has collected a number of facts, including information that is not caught in a C-CBS program).

The question is that if you know about the transfer of the drug in the US, according to the law must report it. So, each of these well-informed officials in the CIA, the Department of Justice and Department of State, plus Senator Boren - guilty of drug trafficking.

Street vendors who sold a ton of pure cocaine were undoubtedly, as usual, been identified and sentenced. Officials should also be put in jail. In the end, if the street for a deal in the \$ 20 give a prison sentence, then how much should be given for the import of one ton of pure cocaine?

What happened? Nothing!

White House defends all those involved in that, taking into account the participation of the Tripartite Commission of Clinton's term in the cocaine business in Minot, Arkansas, should not surprise us. Senator Boren is under the patronage of fellow senators. We do not hear calls for investigation of the role of Boren in this dirty business.

A senior official of the CIA, Mark Makfarlin resigned. Do not put forward any charges. Assistant employee of the CIA, who led the operation, received a disciplinary sanction, no charges. All officials of the State Department and the Department of Justice was not touched.

And what about the law? Here is what he said to a senior official of the Ministry of Economy: "If you are involved in drug trafficking, and know that the drugs are shipped to the United States, then you are guilty."

"Guilty?" Yes, guilty of drug trafficking.

And where is the prosecutor Janet Reno? Do we have a constitution, or do we become more in one small banana dictatorship of the state? One law for farmers and one for the privileged?

"Latter Phoenix" reported dozens of cases of criminal actions of the government for its 14-year history. Child abuse in Omaha, forbidden technology, cheating taxpayers known figures involved in corruption and bribery, unsafe aircraft design, weather control, government lies, betrayal, unconstitutional actions in politics, etc.

We do not remember a single case when the government had acted honestly and be erected right and honesty to the proper level. Rule has always been: a lot to hide until then, until the victim turns into guilt.

Today the country is ruled by a bunch of whining immoral grabbers, the only concern is to preserve its position in the public trough.

A key factor for the members of the "Tripartite Commission on America" is the fact that almost one-third of American members of the Trilateral Commission appointed by the president from Carter to Clinton.

Decisive influence in politics since the 1970s - is the influence of the Trilateral Commission. These people have a hand-written policies for guidance. The merit of the entire US policy over the past few decades, including the absurd "war" with the problems belongs, of course, the Trilateral Commission.

Important data from the past 20 years show that the US government was involved in drug trafficking and that this is no accident.

The largest cocaine laboratory built by the CIA and used them in Bolivia. Lieutenant-General "Bo" Gritts filed charges and provided evidence that the Golden Triangle, the largest producer of heroin, has ties with Washington. We know that the CIA funded the Afghan rebels and the Nicaraguan "Contras" by selling drugs. Such examples - ten. It can be argued that a large share of drug trafficking is carried out with the approval of official Washington.

It is a member of the Trilateral Commission Henry Kissinger developed a theory of "crisis management", ie, that the crisis can be used to promote peace in the New World Order. The "war on drugs", which cost many billions of dollars - is a brilliant example of the "crisis management", and it will continue as long as the voters do not insist on the closure of the "war", and spent billions will not be returned to taxpayers.

## **Chapter Eight**

### **POLITICS OF NEW WORLD ORDER AND FORMATION OF ADMINISTRATION Trilateral Commission**

Analysis of appointments in the Carter administration, and Clinton has shown that members of the administration chooses the Trilateral Commission. She pretty much runs and administrations.

It is noteworthy that both the Carter and Clinton put forward a platform with a focus on anti-establishment politics, at the same time appointed immediately and without

hesitation representatives of the establishment to carry out long-term policy establishment.

Duplicitous actions of both administrations are characterized by their similarities rather than differences: the Carter and Clinton lied during the election process and said the voters just what they wanted to hear. And upon taking office, the two presidents began to do in many cases, the opposite policy, promised during the election.

Reagan and, to a lesser extent, Bush, were more logical in this promises following policy. Both the president, however, also lied before and after his election.

When US politicians are complaining that they are not highly respected, they should blame only himself. Extraordinary we find that common sense now tells voters to turn to third parties. Until now, voters were convinced that a third party can not win.

Now a majority of voters simply abstain from voting. If the silent and a significant part of someday will act at the same time, the United States will be a political revolution, and the New World Order be smashed to pieces.

Creating the image of the Carter administration antiestablish-mentarizma while creating the Trilateral Commission administration is typical of the approach based on frauds and chosen this self-appointed elite. Take the first of half a dozen appointments and look at their relationship and commitment. The administration was hampered by several show competition for posts and put forward the idea of appointing persons who oppose the establishment and not associated with it. See, for example, "Wall Street Journal" on December 2, 1976 meeting of 16 candidates in Plains, Georgia.

#### The initial sequence assignments was as follows:

Assignment number 1: Bertram Lance: President of the National Bank of Georgia (Atlanta) - as Director of Management and Budget. This is an important central position in planning the centralization of the US economy.

Assignment number 2: Cyrus Vance, Secretary of State, a member of the Trilateral Commission. During his appointment as Vance was a partner in the company, "Simpson Thacher and Bartlett"; Director of Ai-Bi-Em, "Pan-American Airways End Aetna Life Inshuerens"; member of the Democratic Party, a special commission on foreign policy, the Council on Foreign Relations (Vice-Chairman of the Committee) and the Trilateral Commission, and a former deputy defense minister,

Assignment number 3: W. Michael Blumenthal: Minister of Finance. Also a member of the Trilateral Commission. Who is Blumenthal? Like Henry Kissinger, born in Germany and came to the US at the age of 21 years. During the inauguration, he was chairman of Bendix Corporation, and before that in the Kennedy administration's deputy. Secretary for Economic Affairs, member of the Trilateral Commission, and the Foreign Affairs and initiative on national economic planning committee of the Board (with Irwin Miller and Robert McNamara).

After that, the third destination in the newspapers and the radio was a definite feedback in the sense that "liberals" to feel betrayed, t. To. The purpose and the rumors about them they are not included. What is the result? Jane Cahill Parseyfer, vice president of Ai-Bi-Em, which provided powerful support for the appointment to the post number 4 Commercial Secretary, dropped out, and the following two appointments went to close the liberals from the government:

Assignment number 4: Adam - the Minister of Transport. Also a member of the Trilateral Commission.

Assignment number 5: Congressman Andrew Young as UN ambassador. Member of the Trilateral Commission.

Assignment number 6: Zbigniew Brzezinski: the executive director of the Trilateral Commission, was appointed national security adviser. Who is Brzezinski? The members of the Tripartite Commission clearly state that they reject the constitution and the democratic political process. In his book "Between Two Ages" Brzezinski (Carter's sixth appointment) writes: "The approaching 200th anniversary of the Declaration of Independence could justify the call for a national constitutional convention to revise the structure of science is formally established. 1976 th and 1989 th years - the 200 th anniversary of the Constitution - could be the appropriate date, the final national dialogue about the appropriateness of existing preparations ... However, realism compels us to recognize that the necessary political innovation will result from no direct constitutional reform, as the It would be desirable it may be, the necessary changes will likely occur gradually and less overtly ... according to the American tradition, blur the distinction between public and private institutions. "

According to Huntington from the magazine "Foreign Policy" from the "pre-election coalition" can be discarded after the achievement of political power; Policy is not obliged to keep his word to the voters. Jimmy Carter - a vivid example of the policy of the Trilateral Commission in practice. When Brzezinski refers to "the gradual and less open" development, he specifically recommends deceptive method for the rejection of the constitution. Perhaps some readers will consider it the essence of sabotage. If so, it would be better to do something with this, since no one in Congress has not mustered the courage to even call for an investigation of the activities of the Tripartite Commission.

Clinton refused to "pre-election coalition."

The dictum of the Trilateral Commission member Huntington that policies may abandon the "election coalition" after coming to power, t. E. That the policies may violate the promise held by our researchers, journalists.

Jimmy Carter broke promises left and right, and no one even make a sound. There seems to be democratic-republican agreement on how to ignore the "election coalition" and remove third party, which is almost the same as that to come to a dictatorship, without renouncing constitution.

In January 1993, a month Clinton took office, our newsletter "Phoenix Latter" (Report of the abuse of power) has placed the following article on the front page. "

### **CLINTON involved in a conflict of interest**

Americans swept around the finger ... again! Among Clinton's lavish promises to establish everything from health and ending with a deficit, the most important was a commitment to drive the thieves and rogues from Washington.

Well, we have news for Clinton voters. For Clinton were thieves and crooks, and he appointed those same lobbyists who vowed to drive out of town, to lead a transition

team. Political trickery was so clear that the presidents break their promises, not even waiting until the ink dries on the ballot paper.

Before the election, we have identified the ins and outs of the main financial sponsor Clinton. This is none other than Stephen Jackson, the founder of the Bank for crooks and criminals (BBC ECI), and the person who actually introduced the oldest senator from Democrat Clark Clifford crowd from the Bank for crooks and criminals.

We believe that one way or another Stephen invested 2 million. Dollars to the Clinton election campaign. And we believe that fraud BBC ECI stops.

Then we look at the transition team of Clinton and found that her head and includes in its composition Washington lawyers and lobbyists, that is, the very people whom Clinton had promised to remove, but the lists of their customers and their boards of directors occupy almost all major corporations and many foreign states.

Who is represented in Washington? Ordinary Americans? Hardly.

In many cases customers transition team considered in the regulatory bodies and the Congress. And to further emphasize the hypocrisy, the transition team has introduced new rules of ethics allegedly, in order to limit "the influence of lobbyists" and to act strictly within the law. All rank by rank, that's only during the writing of the book was not possible to get a set of rules (published on December 10 cm. Below).

During the election, Clinton constantly urged to limit lobbyists. "We have to end this. We all have to change, "- said the candidate for President Clinton. "Business as usual -> Clinton said after his election - a huge scaly snake crawling through the corridors of the Congress."

Politicians, lobbyists, professor of political science at colleges and textbooks - all tell us that lobbying is an essential feature required of society, to democracy worked.

It is generally believed that lobbying is harmless and useful, since it allows to hear all points of view.

Nonsense! Some voters are represented in Congress their elected representatives. Congressmen and women are not elected by the corporations or foreign interests, they are elected by individual American citizens. Elected just US citizens, and not the interests associated with milk or water management, or to the welfare of some distant country. Of course, the owner of a dairy farm Joe is presented as an individual, but the collective interests no collective voice, and they should not be presented in the Congress.

In practice, lobbying has become a conduit for pressure groups. And every so-called reform leaves them with a loophole to continue lobbying process. Now lobbyists are investing in both directions (to the Democrats and Republicans) and struggling with small quantities, because otherwise they would have to lay out the money for a larger number of parties to ensure representation.

The political process was a real sham.

The American citizen was deprived of civil rights. Power groups and foreign interests have turned to those in power, acting through the obsequious congress.

And from what we can see, the "new" Congress will be a little different from the old one.

Said Clinton was shocked public reaction to a designated transition team and ordered that staff developed draft rules of lobbying. These rules were drawn up, and the only thing that was able to do - is to withdraw the candidacy of one assistant to the meeting on conflict interests. And even then, the Clinton White House refused to publish a copy of the new "rules" until 10 December.

Director of the transition team member of the Trilateral Commission, Warren M. Christopher is a senior partner in the "O'Melveni and Myers," which has a significant list of customers from the Far East, including the "Mitsui", "Sumitomo Trust", "The Japan Airlines" and "Hyundai". Christopher more interested in protecting the interests of the Japanese rather than American. By the way, only to illustrate the hypocrisy - during Clinton's campaign emphasized criticized American law firms working for foreign interests.

Immediately after the election, Clinton chose this chief representative of foreign interests the director of the transition team.

Warren Christopher is on the board of the company "Lockheed Aircraft", but (according to the laws of Clinton) is not in conflict with the interests of military affairs in the Clinton administration. Clinton rules do not prohibit Christopher discuss Asian issues on behalf of their clients.

As Clinton takes away from him such accusations? A simply states that there is no conflict of interest, because the connection between these councils and their officials the opportunity to learn from this income is "too weak" and that the final decision will be made, Mr. Clinton and his advisers not from the transition team.

Lobbyists themselves are not in the illusions that "the rules have not changed." The firm "Patton, Boggs and Blow" publishes a newsletter, which openly boasts of his contacts in the Democratic party and government circles in Washington and really has two partners close to the Democratic Party: the head of the Democratic Party Ron Brown and Thomas Boggs.

And there's Chambers, which oversees the transition team on budget issues, but in reality it is a lobbyist for the AFL-CIO, and stewards of the elderly.

The results of this system can be seen in the squandering of funds billions of dollars:

As reported in the November issue of "Phoenix Letter" Virginia has a disproportionate share of federal funds, which is sent to Virginia "King of Pork."

Emergency spending money on technical costs: for example, \$ 1 billion for the program "Hot melt"..

Ignoring the programs that do not have a powerful voice in Congress. Most of the "technology of the future", which was reported, is ignored by the capitalists (Future Technology Intelligence Report. PO Box 423652, San Francisco, CA 94142-3652).

The rules announced by December 10 and met with enthusiasm by reviewers as advanced, not more than once a fraud. Perhaps the officials personally lobbying is prohibited for 5 years, but what about their partners? On this prohibition does not apply. The lifetime ban on lobbying for foreign companies is almost irrelevant. The only true radical solution - a complete and definitive ban on all lobbying, except for some private citizens or their chosen representatives. Corporations and law firms do not vote. A

citizens - yes. When Mr. Clinton will take this rate, we will see how the scaly snake crawl out of Washington.

## **NAFTA - STEP TO THE NEW WORLD ORDER**

TNCs are well represented in the Tripartite Commission, aim to subdue the world production and trade.

Great attention to the NAFTA agreement to bring together the United States, Mexico and Canada free of tariffs community is striving for the New World Order. It was clear that this will adversely affect US citizen, and will benefit international firms and banks behind the NWO - Organization of NATO on oil issues in wartime.

The first reaction was the Indians' revolt against the Mexican government in Chiapas, Mexico. Now the Indians are already in difficult conditions and are not able to sell their coffee on the world market, show that the structure of their support by the Mexican government is destroyed, and assembled them in the country of harvest corn can not compete with imported US corn. NAFTA fill up the cup.

More of a result to be expected from the export of Mexican meat. The giant US meat company will head to Mexico to take advantage of low-wage, low-security and sanitary regulations lax. In the US, the Mexican beef will flow with the brand name American firms, hidden behind the label of imports from Mexico. NAFTA relieve it of the US law on imports, to make a profit giant US firms. NAFTA was the last of the race the US multinationals in the United States bypassing the rules. Clinton promised to assign more meat inspectors as a "roof" to represent reality in a false light. The end result is that the small ranchers will be harmed, but American citizens undergo dangers of untested beef.

Mexico is now extremely profitable for those firms Trilateral Commission as "General Electric" (vice-chairman Paolo Fresco, a member of the Trilateral Commission), "Motorola" (Chairman George MC Fisher, a member of the Trilateral Commission), "Levi Strauss" (Robert F. Haas, a member of the Trilateral Commission and is close to David Rockefeller), "Mobil Corporation" (Allen Murray, Chairman, member of the Trilateral Commission) and some of the major pharmaceutical companies ( "Johnson & Johnson", "Smith Kline Bigem").

NAFTA, US firms will push to Mexico to take advantage of lower standards, rules and norms of the low salaries.

NAFTA is an important part of the plan of the Tripartite Commission to reduce the American standard of living and the transfer of profits abroad to less developed countries. Trilateral Clinton was able to do it, because the media are members of the Tripartite Commission, which direct the distribution of small consignments of newspapers and stations (among others Chairman Si Yo-Ann W. Thomas Johnson, a member of the Trilateral Commission, and Katherine Graham, chairman of the " Washington Post ").

The US citizen no chance in the face of this mass conspiracy unanimous. The only way - is to vote for a third party candidate, read a book, published by small publishers, as well as newsletters and most importantly - be critical and to question the statements from Washington and your state capital.

If you intend to deal with his congressman, here are the tips that we should and should not do:

Do not write long plaintive letters; stick to one problem, concise and specific. Do not insult or threaten. Express the problem, how it affects you and how you see the solution, and being short.

Often Assistant provides a more effective aid in the Congress. Especially if you can get a personal visit. Be persistent and definite. You have a voice at the lobbyist money. And then, and more is needed to your representative.

Perhaps it would be an effective way to gather a group of like-minded people and to invite a representative to discuss the problem - if 20 aircraft mechanics threaten maintenance contracts abroad at low rates, it will help the cause. But remember, you are struggling with the Tripartite Commission, and if your representative is a member (see. Appendix), maybe you want to ask the company in which he (she) is included.

First of all, talk with your friends, buy a few copies of this book and similar books, distribute them.

It is long, but in the end it works, author of the book remembers the time when the question of the members of the Tripartite Commission and the elite establishment, was not discussed at all when talking about a political conspiracy meant to be dismissed as a crackpot. This is not so. Nowadays, most people cheat. Awareness is now need to be translated into action.

## **Chapter Nine**

### **FRIENDS OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER**

The Trilateral Commission - is not the only organization working for the New World Order.

The concept gave rise to the Trilateral Commission, derived from the earlier ideas put forward by Cecil Rhodes and Lord Milner, a capacity of the gold and diamond mines of South Africa. Rode established the Rhodes Scholarship, to allow the Americans with outstanding personal qualities there for a year in the University of Oxford. Bill Clinton became a Rhodes scholar after studying at the Carroll Quigley of Georgetown University in.

Carroll Quigley wrote volume work "Tragedy and Hope", in which the New World Order plans are described. Quigley was not an apostate; he agreed with the objectives of Anglo-American New World Order. His differences with the company lay in the fact that he believed that the total business should be open goal, not a secret conspiracy.

Thus, the organization of Rhodes and the Royal Institute for International Affairs in London, is an essential part of the New World Order. The Royal Institute has created the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in the United States, on a broader basis, but still limited the organization with the same objectives. According to our observations SMO has a wide spectrum of views among its members (some even against the New World Order), than the Trilateral Commission and the Royal Institute.

An interesting aspect of the FIS is that the founder of the Trilateral Commission, David Rockefeller has been a long time, its chairman, and he is now - honored the retired chairman, (The appendix lists the employees and directors of QS There relationship with the Tripartite Commission.).

Another more limited part of the New World Order is a truly superior secret society "Skull and Bones" at Yale University. Fifteen years ago, the author of this book took a copy of the list of members of the secret (a two-volume edition in a black leather-bound) and was able to deeply analyze the effect of "Skull and bones" and its penetration of the US government. It was published under the title "Secret Establishment America" and is printed so far.

### **Dubious past FIGURES NEW WORLD ORDER**

Almost every supporter of the New World Order from the earliest days of its activity the misty past, does not correspond to the high ideals proclaimed.

Cecil Rhodes himself was accused of cheating and fraud in the struggle for control of the gold and diamond mines of South Africa. His partner, Lord Milner was the author of the infamous "Milner Telegram" that caused the Boer War in 1899.

Diamond Mine Rhodes became the nucleus of the monopoly De Beers, and today still performing monopoly control over the world market of diamonds - a model for the New World Order.

The views of Cecil Rhodes in the New World Order would hardly inspired anyone, except the Anglo-Americans. The second largest language group in the world is Hispanic, but you will not find a single Latino in any organization of the New World Order. In fact, with regard to the Tripartite Commission, then for those who give the right to join it, Latinos do not exist. Do not you find and Arabs, or Chinese living in the Far East.

Now, it may soothe the English-speaking world, but this kind of inequality necessarily lead to conflict. If Latin Americans, Arabs, Chinese, Russian, and others will be placed in a subordinate position in the New World Order, it will end the conflict, not peace.

And in the past the individual members of the Tripartite Commission and its related corporations, the Tripartite Commission is hardly comforting. We could not find a single person or company in respect of which have not been investigated for illegal activities.

Take, for example, a random company, once the above-mentioned - "Prudenshiel Securities Company." Chairman of the board of Robert K. Winters is a member of the Trilateral Commission. Referring to the press, "Prudenshiel" put to the test on many charges. Apparently, the brokers of the company was ordered to deceive customers ( "New York Times", 2/28/94), and some brokers even go so as not to continue it. "Prudenshiel" is located in the center of an expanding criminal investigation with respect to how to deal with customers, when the company suffered a tremendous loss.

Several years ago, brokerage Wall Street firms could maintain an account with a zero balance, the money deposited only after a call from the bank. This is also known as obtaining money under fictitious bill. If you or I did this, we would have gone to prison. Brokerage firms got away from the water, and for the year have made millions on this practice. The main player in this swindle was EF Hutton.

"Kissinger Associates", an organ of influence based Kissinger to raise capital for its "public services", has repeatedly come under fire adversarial criticism, but in the messages carefully hiding the fact of membership of its founder in the Tripartite Commission.

The firm, for example, was a memorable day in bankruptcy LTV Corporation, when 144 million. Dollars went to consultants for professional services in connection with the bankruptcy, and the lion's share of the company has received "Kissinger Associates". Roughly literally no one investigated.

Similarly, in the United States managed to avoid investigation into the BBC ECI, a global scandal, which had to do with "Kissinger Associates". In England, where many thousands of lost deposits, only low-ranking manager jailed.

## **HILLARY FRIENDS**

The Clintons have shown their extraordinary ability to push their own private interests at public expense - and especially the acquisition of these "friends", who can assess government support.

Charming difference between Clinton and almost any other political family that may come to mind - this fierce, to the beats in the chest denial of influential persons and lobbyists themselves. They are just "friends" who show their appreciation.

"New York Times" (31 March 1994) commented acidly story about "Arkansas, where about a thousand local leaders hosted through the stump-deck, it may seem from the unethical and even illegal." Probably, for Clinton Arkansas morality differs from Washington's morality, and they do not dare to accuse of misconduct, otherwise it will be discrimination Arkansas.

A breathtaking example of such "economic management", which brought profit Clinton was how Hillary, being perfect novice, could fetch \$ 1,000 without collateral, and completely without protection from the market, and to turn this thousand dollars 100,000 futures contracts on the cattle on the infamous volatile market. Hillary did it for 10 months, with the account balance is only \$ 1,000 (usually this would require at least \$ 10,000), and she in any day or hour would be threatened with terrible losses if the market reacted unfavorably on its investment.

Moreover, Hillary went through specific market transactions in the results that are not possible unless the broker it did not carry out illegal actions on its behalf.

Hillary Broker was Robert L. (red) Bowie from Chicago brokerage firm "Ray E. Friedman and the company." In October 1978, she opened a trading account with \$ 1,000. On the very first day of this contribution is increased to 6300 dollars. For transactions and no documentation is impossible, the sum of \$ 1,000 covered the amount of futures transactions of cattle needed to produce such amount of profit.

## **Speaker of the House Thomas Foley**

Speaker of the House Thomas Foley disgraced. Always ready to serve colleagues of the Trilateral Commission, Foley will be subject to investigation for obstruction of justice case.

A colleague of the Trilateral Commission Bill Clinton got into trouble in March 1994, and a member of Congress, Thomas Foley gathered at 8 am on a secret meeting of congressional Democrats and members of staff. It was agreed - no meetings and hearings "Whitewater" under any circumstances.

It ditched congressman, not a member of the Trilateral Commission John La rebate, chairman of the small business committee. He has already launched an investigation and requested documents from the administration of small businesses. They were sent for analysis to the main budgetary and financial management. But at the insistence of the Speaker Congressman Foley La rebate it had a bit to cover this case, to prevent the management to release its report.

And it is the people's representatives!

### **"Poor, poor MOTOROLA"**

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer "Motorola" is George M. H. Fisher, a member of the Trilateral Commission.

On the "Motorola", chaired by Fischer is a bad name over the fact that she is taking advantage of the policy for the promotion to the lucrative position against competitors from abroad and owned by American companies of the Trilateral Commission.

When a fellow member of the Trilateral Commission Bill Clinton, former director of the "Motorola" public relations man now number 3 in the office of the US Trade Representative. A former head of the telecommunications trade policy in the US trade representative - is another type of "Motorola", today - its lobbyist. There are others. Lionel Olmer, Deputy. Secretary for Commerce, 4 years was in the "Motorola" and helped in 1986 by agreement on the negotiations, which resulted in "Motorola" system approved in Japan.

In 1994, "Motorola" was dissatisfied with their conditions of sale in Japan and the US government has used as a tool for punching requirements increase trade volume. This even infuriated, "Wall Street Journal", which placed a satirical article, "Poor, poor Motorola." The magazine writes (3 March 1994): "The United States openly accused the Japanese is that they change their promises and erect a barrier for the barrier to prevent access" Motorola "in the market. Nothing could be further from the truth. The history of "Motorola" in Japan shows us a company that has repeatedly used the US government as the pecking ram, to set aside rules of Japanese and achieve specific benefits for accelerated market penetration. "

In his lengthy criticism of the "Motorola", "Wall Street Journal" dropped only as chairman of the "Motorola»-Fischer and President Clinton were members of the Trilateral Commission, whose activities are devoted to special interest strategy.

If you are in conversation with these businessmen say that the creation of such favorable conditions for fair, they smugly say, "So what do business."

## **Chapter Ten**

### **OLD AND NEW MARXISTS**

Historians of XX century depicted the world as the political arena where capitalists and Marxists firmly opposed to each other and always act in opposition to each other.

Nothing could be further from the truth. This view is another fundamental misinterpretation of Marx's world. Lenin, Marx's heir in the tactics of the revolutionary

struggle of the world, was more astute and considered shortsighted capitalists, pointing out that many of them were willing to come with his rope and hang yourself.

Rockefeller Group of the financiers of Wall Street and their combined "Chase," banks, "Manhattan" and then "Chase Manhattan Bank" has always been at the forefront of the capitalists who are ready to help Marxism and help him out. This suicidal policy continued under various presidents, who owe their election to the Rockefeller family, and the Trilateral Commission, that is under Carter and Clinton. The main policy of the Trilateral Commission was to create an interdependent world, fusion of Marxism and capitalism under the leadership of the Trilateral Commission.

The Rockefeller family has shown an enviable ability to initially hide his support of Marxism before and after the Second World War, and then hide his support of the Tripartite Commission phenomenon in recent decades. Historians were unwitting supporters of the Rockefeller family goals, while continuing to promote a dialectical view of the historical antagonism between communism and capitalism as the actual nature of the event. But the true story - a story of cooperation between the elite of the political leadership of the West and the various Marxist regimes. West used debt and technology to more or less control over these inefficient Marxist society.

The Trilateral Commission is a continuation of this global deception and fooling both historians and voters. We are in a period when the Marxist regimes provide an opportunity to find their own level of instability that is effectively collapse, while replacing with new forms of control. New World Order moves through the Trilateral Commission in the XXI century Marxism.

Let's take a quick review of the history of elite support for the Tripartite Commission of Marxism to reach the New World Order. By the way, it started with Cecil Rhodes and Lord Milner in England, the founders of a Rhodes Scholarship at Oxford. President Clinton - a Rhodes Scholar and understand at least part of the picture. (Dreamlike research topic for which never were taken, it would be to study the contacts between Rhodes, Milner and Fabian in London with Karl Marx, who spent most of his life he worked in London in the same period. The starting point for any interested researcher would be to introduce archives of Scotland Yard by Marx).

In the distant 1920 "Chase National Bank" (it merged with the "Bank of Manhattan" and became "Chase Manhattan") took a large part in the construction of the Soviets - of these activities may have been illegal, and certainly contrary to the official policy of the USA

And "Chase National" and "Ekvitebl Trust" were the leaders q actually lending the Soviets in the 1920s, when the State Department banned loans Soviet Russia. "Chase" escaped a ban by adopting platinum Soviet mines and providing credit on the basis of these supplies. This is clearly contrary to the policy of the USA in the 1920s.

President of the American-Russian Chamber of Commerce and vice president of the bank "Chase National" in 1920 was Reeve Schley. The chamber was pressure group, tries to change US policy and to recognize the Soviet Union, to open the Russian market for some major US companies and banks. For this purpose, the Chamber used as a generally recognized Communist agents; for example, a delegation of the Chamber in Russia in 1936 led Charles Heddel Smith, previously characterized by the State Department as "being in the service of the Soviets and a member of the Soviet peasant international".

The composition of the Chamber, many firms were, opening today, trade with China, including "Deere & Co.", "Westinghouse" and "Chase National."

In the early 1920s the Soviet Union was on the verge of collapse. The only industrial structure was one that remained from the kings. Industry inactive and was not destroyed as we would like to assure the Soviet propagandists. Foreign companies, mainly American and German, came to wake the sleeping royal industry and stayed to build a 5-year plans. Why? Because the Soviets destroyed the skilled engineers and managers, needed for industry leadership. Here is how the problem outlined Soviet Commissar Krasin: "Anyone can help to break down the house, and restore it can be just a few." In Russia, the figure was much lower than anywhere else.

Take the example of the company "Boeing Aircraft" (TW Wilson, a member of the Trilateral Commission, he is chairman of the board). In the 1930s, "Boeing" has provided technical assistance to the growing Soviets. Soviet fighter I-16 was copied from the Boeing P-26. Soviet 4-engine bomber Tu-4 was a copy of the Boeing B-29 and its production could only develop with the help of the United States. Today, "Boeing" is a former supplier of aviation technology to the Soviet Union and Communist China, and is still represented in the Tripartite Commission.

Another example is the "Universal Oil Products", is now a subsidiary of the company "Oil Company Signal". In 1932, the company had a contract with the USSR for the construction of hydrogenic refineries, which were vital for military purposes. Before 1938, the Soviets were unable to produce gasoline with an octane rating of 87 -94 for use in aviation. Hydrogenous plants built by UOP, converted 85 gasoline produced in Saratov and Grozny in aviation fuel with an octane rating of 95.

Today, the company UOP is one of the first US firms, which will be built in China's petrochemical industry - also important for military purposes.

Another example is "Ingersoll-Rand", which was introduced in the Soviet Union Armand Hammer (former chairman of the "Occidental Petroleum Corporation") in 1918. While his father, Julius Hammer, was secretary of the Communist Party USA. "Ingersoll-Rand" was the first technology vendor to the USSR. In 1979, the firm is on the same road with Communist China.

We can name dozens of companies with similar stories. US TNK created Soviet power. It cost the United States hundreds of thousands of lives in Korea and Vietnam. Now the same TNC began to build communist China during the initial sponsorship of the President, a member of the Trilateral Commission of Jimmy Carter.

The most important and major contracts with China are linked with the names of the members of the Tripartite Commission and its affiliated corporations: . The main financial sponsor of J. Carter was chairman of the "Coca-Cola" and a member of the Trilateral Commission J. Paul Austin..

At Coca-Cola in China is a monopoly on non-alcoholic beverages. Maybe the Chinese still do not know what the taste of a soft drink, but Bolle 800 million Chinese in the early '90s - is the most important market in the XXI century.

Note: The Coca-Cola was in talks with the Chinese 10 years until 1979, that is, long before the public was proclaimed a "new China policy" and is likely to help when the Chinese kill Americans in Vietnam.

Consortium of the US petrochemical companies held talks about Chinese exploration of oil deposits. It includes the "Exxon" (David Rockefeller to the prevailing interest), "Pentsuoyl", "Philippe" and "Union Oil."

Former Man of the Year in the magazine "Time" has been the leader of the Chinese Communists Deng Xiaoping, the chief editor of "Time" was a member of the Trilateral Commission Hedley Donovan.

The first US banks in communist China were "Chase Manhattan" and "1st National Bank of Chicago."

Japanese members of the Tripartite Commission are actively involved in the construction of communist China.

Carter administration agreement with Communist China, the so-called "normalization" of relations, was an extraordinary agreement. All the conditions of the Chinese people, including those rejected by the Presidents Nixon and Ford, have been fully taken on with the Tripartite Commission talks. The participants of the talks were members of the Trilateral Commission. At the site in Beijing was Leonard Woodcock. Washington was Cyrus Vance and Warren Christopher (Deputy. Secretary of State of the countries of East Asia and the Pacific, now Secretary of State).

From a strategic and political point of view there was no urgency to conclude the agreement at this time. And here we must seek an answer to the members of the Tripartite Commission. What do they win? Was there an agreement with China, a duplicate of an agreement with the Soviets in the distant 1920-30 or in a manner to fill the order books of the Tripartite Commission for products and expand the base of loans from international banks?

The United States has been extremely strong position to make a deal. The Chinese needed a US technology in order to survive. They needed US loans to buy technology. They needed the United States as an ally against Russian attacks on the Chinese border, and the recognition of the United States gave the Chinese Communist status, which they could not achieve in any other way. But the United States surrendered, without making a single sound that was very similar to the situation with Vietnam, where the US has been drawn into a major war without a plan and a purpose. About 50,000 Americans were killed. Then we left the battlefield at a time when we still had the absolute ability to finish the military operation. In other words, the first thing we obviously do not know why we are in Vietnam. When we got involved in a war, we have spent billions on weapons and even then we did not have the will to use all kinds of weapons.

In these and other instances, we find a common bond, a common explanation. What is the most likely - and then true. The correct answer is the one that suits the greatest number of cases or events. Is it profitable to Wall Street recognition of Communist China? It was also beneficial to enter into contracts for the Vietnam war in the amount of 300 billion. Dollars? In the same vein, whether beneficial tips to save and build the Soviet five-year plan?

This is the simplest, the most plausible answer. It is suitable in most cases, when it comes to the Trilateral Commission.

The Trilateral Commission is a mechanism by which some banking interests and transnational corporations meet their political objectives.

Opening of the members of the Tripartite Commission of Communist China also shows a complete inability to recognize the inhumane nature of Chinese communism. According to conservative estimates, China has killed 50 million. Chinese for 30 years of the revolution. Specifically, during the campaign, "Let a million flowers bloom," the Chinese Communists withdrew their restrictions on freedom of speech and action. Many Chinese then swallowed it and began to criticize the regime. After a few months of freedom of speech, the Chinese government suddenly arrested dissidents and to use their own words, as a reason to send them to labor camps, prison or death.

These business leaders tend to talk to each other, how cleverly they avoid politics, ignoring the civil and social conditions and focusing on the business, which is handy. This is due to the statement of earnings: in short, a complete unconditional immorality.

Therefore dangerous illusions that are members of the Trilateral Commission remain in relation to Russia and China, do not come from ignoring the facts - their actions are explained by extreme shortsightedness and immorality. Another contract for the TNC has the full advantage over any nonsense about human rights. For example, if a member of the Trilateral Commission J. Paul Austin wish to sell 800 million Coca-Cola. Chinese, it is absolutely not interested in what happened to the tens of millions of Chinese people less fortunate.

Direct betrayal of Taiwan, expressed in clear formal agreement, the bare words, is: "The United States Government recognizes that there is only one China, and Taiwan - part of China."

It is difficult to find any historical parallel, when one country would recognize the killing of 50 million. People entering into an alliance with this country. Perhaps the closest parallel is the union of Hitler with Stalin in 1939, after Stalin killed millions of peasants, and Hitler began speaking out against their enemies, as well as today, the members of the Tripartite Commission are opposed to their individual enemies.

And at this point it should be noted the double standard official members of the Tripartite Commission on Human Rights. For them, human rights are subordinated to the overarching objective of controlling the world. This is evidenced by the following statement:

"Support for human rights must be balanced against other important goals of world order." Some concepts Trilateral cooperation with the Soviet Union and other countries tend to be in conflict with the policy of the struggle for human rights. (Richard N. Cooper, Toward a Renovated International System, Trilateral Commission, 1977, p.30).

### **Clinton CONTINUE marxist POLICY ROCKEFELLER**

Founded and led by the Rockefeller Trilateral Commission is the main force behind the Clinton administration, and Clinton should marxist policy through the Carter years after the moral and practical policy of Marxism has been discredited.

Interestingly, the Clintons fit the definition of "capitalist renegades", formulated by Lenin ... t. E. A group of capitalists who see in Marxism a financial benefit and, therefore, support the cause of Marxism. Obviously, Clinton did not abhor making money from the political process; excellent examples are Hillary futures transactions on livestock and its actions in Arkansas. However, we find that the capitalist profit making, Hillary also

supported the case of Marxism, in exactly the same way as "Chase Bank" Rockefeller in the 1920s.

In the case of the Clintons data in something more serious, since, according to recently released FBI documents (reprinted below), supported by the Clintons marxist organization IPA (Institute for Policy Studies) is suspected in a bank robbery and murder.

Clinton long-standing and close relationship with the Institute for Policy Studies in Washington. This relationship is well described in the "secret" research group Sunset, 608 North West Street, number 236, Wichita, 67203 (in the FBI documents, Bill and Hillary Clinton are associated with Marxist terrorist network).

Here are a few excerpts from the section "secret" (p. 35 -40), which illustrate the close connection "Clinton Institute for Policy Studies." "Our interpretation is somewhat different from the general conservative interpretation. We do not believe the Clintons "Marxists." We consider them opportunists, apolitical and amoral opportunists, in many ways such as Lenin regarded Rockefeller "capitalists renegades" who support Marxism for personal financial gain.

We believe that the Clintons were cultured and finance institute studying policies for the future of personal enrichment, and not because they have the propensity to Marxism. "

These revelations oriented Communist policy study institute of the veteran (Derek Shearer), directly affecting Clinton, and that the latter entrusts the country's future for many other supporters of this institution is truly disgusting and do not bode well for the nation. But yet to comprehend much more such revelations. These additional data that is more tightly associated with the Clinton camp destroyers policy research institute, identified by Hillary Clinton.

If it binds to a study by the Institute of Policy (SMPS) a close friendship with the sister of Derek Shearer and her youth in Iele dubious hobbies such communication might seem completely random. But unfortunately, there are plenty of compelling data that accused Hillary supporter as a conscious SMPS. For example, as a director and chairman of the board of directors of the New World Association in 1987-88, X. The Clinton praised some far-left organizations, including SMPS, and give them considerable sums of money.

Particularly interesting is the following footnote:

"Statement by Isabelle Letellier made in Rockefeller" Riverside Church "in New York City (next to the headquarters of the Office of the Joint Center for North American Air Defense Command), 2 February 1985, after Powell. - Op. cit., p. 244. See. "secret" section, "Cole Dzhonetta more about Clinton's ties with Sandy Pollack."

"Riverside Church", of course, connected with the "skull and bones".

In addition to SMPS Hillary sent money to other pro-Communist groups, which themselves have a strong connection with the SMPS, suggesting a joint attempt to donations to serve the same purpose. These groups include the Committee to support the people of El Salvador (El Salvador's Marxist guerrillas support), the National Lawyers Guild (officially declared an appendage of the Communist Party of the United States), a militant center for the study of the constitution of William Kunstler and terrorist Institute of Christ. Information about some of the anti-American and pro-activity of these and other groups who supported Hillary, and some relationship of these groups to the SMPS, is given below.

The Committee is in favor of the people of El Salvador, that Hillary has posted \$ 5,000, was rightly defined as an advanced organization of Communists. Committee formed in 1980 as the American branch of the World apparatus to support Marxist guerrillas in El Salvador. To understand the issue of cooperation with the communist insurgency in Latin America and the international communist movement succeeded in 1981, when the personal papers of the partisan leader Farid Handal was captured in El Salvador.

### **GUILD OF NATIONAL LAWYERS, Hillary and SMPS**

Researchers at the US government has repeatedly denounced the activities of the guild (which Hillary generously endowed 15000 mi dollars) as "advanced communist" group. In one such official was accused of exposing the guild that it "is an advanced legal bulwark of the Communist Party (and) its good organization ... which from the beginning has never missed an opportunity to stand on the legal protection of the Communist Party and some of its members, including the famous spy ring leaders "(p. 36).

At the Congress, the national guild of lawyers in Austin, Texas, the delegates sang the Communist anthem "The Internationale", which has the following words: "This is our last and decisive battle with the Internationale the human race will rise!"

Hillary gave the money to the person who utters speech under the Soviet flag, welcomed the killing of five police officers and applauds assassination of President Kennedy.

## **Chapter Eleven**

### **WHERE Tripartite Commission erred**

When we published the first version of the book titled "The Tripartite Commission over Washington» (Trilaterals Over Washington, 1976), we were unable to trace the history of the Trilateral Commission. While we wrote a book with the view that the members of the Trilateral Commission, and particularly the chairman, David Rockefeller, did not speak the truth about their own purposes, it could not be any way to prove their own interest for this purpose.

Today, in 1994, we can look at 20 years of activity of the Trilateral Commission, and determine how the offer of disinterested members of the



Tripartite Commission and its policies embodied in the light of its extraordinary ability to include their members in every administration.

First, we now know that they have a common philosophy, it is clear from their own statements. Radio Interview coordinator George Franklin-Mladá Sheha Tripartite Commission in July 1979 (radio KDNG, Municipal Council Bluffs, Iowa, July 27, 1979) highlighted the specific pain points of discussion, that the Trilateral Commission wants to avoid.

Undoubtedly, the members of the Tripartite Commission is not expected that the possible opposition to their plans, and they did not have the necessary control mechanism of possible losses. They also demonstrate how easy it is to avoid, evade, deny, and even resort to an open lie, when these pain points are found.

The central role of David Rockefeller's always masked, and his influence undermined by its:

"Commentator: Mr. Sutton?

Sutton: Could not a little quieter?

Commentator: Yes.

Sutton: My question is to Mr. Franklin. Who elects the members of the Trilateral Commission?

Franklin: The Executive Committee of the Trilateral Commission.

Sutton: From whom is this committee?

Franklin: Who is on this committee?

Sutton: Yes?

Franklin: Okay. William Coleman, a former transport minister, he was a lawyer; Lane Kirkland, who is the general secretary of the American Federation of Labor; Henry Kissinger, who do not have to submit; Bruce Mc Lori, who is president of the Brookings Institution; David Rockefeller; Robert Ingersoll, who was formerly the deputy. Secretary of State and Ambassador to Japan; IW Abel, former head of the "United Steel-verkers"; and William Roth, a businessman from San Francisco, who was the head of the trade negotiations in the last trading Kennedy round.

Sutton: In many of them there are close business relations with Mr. Rockefeller?

Franklin and Henry Kissinger is chairman of the Rockefeller Chase Advisory Committee.

Sutton: Coleman?

Franklin Coleman, I do not think he has a business relationship with him, he was a lawyer. (In fact, William Coleman is the director of "Chase Manhattan Bank", whose control by the David Rockefeller Franklin has already acknowledged).

SUTTON: Mr. Ingersoll?

Franklin: Mr. Ingersoll? I do not think he has any business relationship.

Sutton: Does not he associated with "Furst Chicago"?

Franklin: He's the vice-chairman of the University of Chicago.

Sutton: No, how about "Furst Bank of Chicago"? ( "Furst Chicago Corporation").

Franklin: I believe that "Ingersoll" has nothing to do with Chicago banks, but certainly I do not know. (Robert Stephen Ingersoll before joining the Washington Society "revolving door" was the director of the First National Bank of Chicago, a subsidiary of "Furst Chicago Corporation". The largest individual shareholder in the "Furst Chicago" is "Chase Manhattan Bank» David Rockefeller. Ingersoll was also a director of companies' Atlantic Richfield "and" a Northern Burlington. "" Chase Manhattan "is also the largest individual shareholder in the two companies. Thus, Ingersoll's long-standing relationship with the Rockefeller interests.)."

Freedom of the press members of the Trilateral Commission not to your taste, and they have a good reason for it. Here is another piece of the same radio interview with Mr. Franklin, in which he denied any knowledge of that one book of the Tripartite Commission recommends to limit the freedom of the press, which is a violation of the First Amendment to the Constitution.

"SUTTON: Mr. Franklin, you believe in freedom of the press in the United States?

Franklin: Of course.

Sutton: Let me quote you from the book "The Crisis in Democracy," written by Michael Crozer, also a member of the Trilateral Commission.

Franklin: Please.

Sutton: I quote an excerpt from the 35th page of the book: "Since the media have become an autonomous force. Now we are witnessing dramatic changes in the profession. That is, the media tend to adjust themselves so to resist pressure from the government or financial interests. " Does this mean that you want in some way to limit the press?

Franklin: I do not quite understand you well.

Sutton: Allow you to decrypt it. I believe that I interpret clearly. The Trilateral Commission is dissatisfied with the press, because it resists the pressure of financial or government interests. This is one of your statements.

Franklin: Well, let me say something about our book. For published our book, the authors are responsible, not the Commission. You will find at the end of several books, and this book is one of them that the other members of the Tripartite Commission have opposing views on the question of the press, and you will find them at the end of this book.

Sutton: I would like to give another statement from the book and put the question at this point: "The media are deprived of the government and to some extent other responsible authorities holding time and tolerance, and that only makes it possible to carry out those or other innovations and experiments." The book recommends something like the Interstate Commerce Commission for press control. It seems to me that this is a violation of the Constitution.

Franklin: I agree with you that we do not want something like the Interstate Commerce Commission for print control. "

(Michael Crozer and others in the book "The Crisis of Democracy" made the following statement with regard to the "Act on Interstate Commission and the antitrust act," Sherman: "Now there is the need for some kind of alternative, comparable to the effect of the media ... is also a need to guarantee the government the right and the ability to hide the source of information").

The authors go on to argue that if the journalists did not obey this new restrictive standards, then "alternative would be government regulation"),

"Sutton: I find it hard to understand why the Trilateral Commission shares that view.

Franklin: As I just mentioned, we hired three authors for each report. Authors are allowed to say what they think is correct. And that's what makes the Trilateral Commission: it says that we believe this report is a worthy addition to the audience saw it. This does not mean that all members of the Trilateral Commission agree with all the wordings in the report and, in fact, most of them are those who disagree with certain things. And here, where the wording of many members of the Trilateral Commission does not seem to agree, we put at the end of a brief summary of the discussion. At the end of the book there is a summary of the discussions of our assembly, which calls into question various things. "

Trying to keep a distance between the Tripartite Commission and its individual members, Mr. Franklin's not allowed "common philosophy", which apparently suggests that they have a common vision, at least on the main problems.

"Sutton: Do not you say, Mr. Franklin, whether Trilaterals general philosophy?

Franklin: Yes, it is. I think they all believed that this world will work better if the major industrial powers will be consulted on all policy issues with each other and try to work out with her. This does not mean that they will agree in everything. Of course not. But, at least they will know what they feel and why other countries feel it.

Sutton: The newspaper "Financial Times" in London - Editor Ferdy Fischer, a member of the Trilateral Commission. He dismissed composer Gordon editorials grouse, has worked for a long time, because he wanted to write articles critical of the Trilateral Commission. Do you have any comments?

Franklin: I do not know that. It sounds incredibly scary, but if you say that this is the case, perhaps it is. " . (See Chapter Seven, "Censorship of the Tripartite Commission: the case of K. Gordon Tetera" in the book "The Tripartite Commission over Washington" Trilaterals believe the media "watchman" and comments: "Their main impact - is the appearance of the only real event. is, which reported that he had been seen. Therefore journalists belong to the decisive role of the guards one of the main values of public life. ")

George Franklin went further on the issue of control over the press, when asked how the Trilateral Commission chooses writers and approves whether the selected writers.

"Riis: Yes, Mr. Franklin, I noticed that you said that the Tripartite Commission is not responsible for the use of rights to the publisher's censorship, but I would be interested to know how do you choose the writers to highlight the different positions.

Franklin: Well, that's a very interesting question. We hold meetings with the chairmen. The situation here is created in this way. Three chairman, one from each of the three regions. Three of the Secretary, one from each of the three regions, and I have a full-

time position intermediate "focal point". And the chairmen and secretaries meet to discuss not only the topics that they think are useful, but also the authors to highlight these issues. Then, these topics are discussed and approved by the entire committee or slightly changed. Authors of selected staff members, after consultation with the chairpersons.

Riis: So even though you are not responsible for the final product, you are responsible for the choice of writers.

Franklin: To a large degree. Undoubtedly.

Riis: So, each time put your stamp, authorizing the publication?

Franklin: In a sense, yes, of course, we choose the writers, because we consider them very good, obviously. Until now, each separate report, written by the authors, in fact adopted by the Commission for publication.

Riis: So, on Media news report was adopted?

Franklin: He was taken, but it was a lot of controversy, felt that this is an important statement, which is quite a lot of new and interesting ideas, was very strong opposition, which is reflected in the end of the report in the section entitled, if I'm not mistaken "Results debate. "

Despite these evasive assertions of Mr. Franklin, common sense dictates that the actual reports of the Tripartite Commission reflect the general philosophy, or the Trilateral Commission would hardly spend money on their publication. The expression "do not necessarily agree with the authors' - it's just a tactic for avoiding responsibility for the unwanted views.

With regard to abnormally low tax rates paid by banks and firms in the Tripartite Commission, George Franklin Jr. was very evasive, and that is a good reason. Trilaterals unpunished murder, tax evasion.

Here's the relevant excerpt of an interview with taxes on municipal Council Bluffs:

"Commentator: Mr. Sutton, you have more questions?

Sutton: I have another question, it concerns a completely new area: taxation. We have found that David Rockefeller is the chairman of the most powerful and influential person in the "Chase Manhattan Bank." So, do you happen to know the tax rate paid by the "Chase Manhattan" in the United States?

Franklin: I do not know ... kind of know - is about 50% (fifty percent).

Sutton: I'll give you some figures. In 1976, the tax rate "Chase Manhattan Bank" has an absolute zero. Surprisingly, if you are so influential in politics, why you can not pay the tax rate at least equivalent to the rate of the average American taxpayer, which is equal to 15% or 20% or 30%.

Franklin: I do not have anything to "Chase Manhattan Bank." But if the tax rate was zero, it must be because that the bank has suffered very heavy losses in real estate, so I believe.

Sutton: In 1975 it was 3.4%. It is always somewhere below 10%.

Franklin: Yes. it is extremely interesting. This is news to me.

Sutton: Well, I believe that you are going to lead the United States in the future, but obviously you do not want to pay a fair share of the costs you relying.

Announcer: You're talking about the members of the Trilateral Commission as a whole?

Sutton: Yes.

Franklin: I think you'll see that the members of the Tripartite Commission to pay as much as by law they are required to pay under these conditions. I do not know exactly what the reason was the case with the "Chase." They really suffered heavy losses; I do not know well enough to their situation, to be able to tell you about it.

In short, the members of the Tripartite Commission create advantages when it comes to taxes. The rules of the Trilateral Commission are notorious for the fact that, apparently, its members pay ridiculously low tax rates, which are often equal to zero, and all this is legal, because the policy of the Trilateral Commission become law due to the policy of the Trilateral Commission. Therefore, George Franklin could say that "the members of the Tripartite Commission to pay as much as by law they are required to pay under these conditions."

## Chapter Twelve

### CONCLUSIONS

After 20 years after the establishment of the Tripartite Commission, we are now able to appreciate its purpose and intent. Although at first it was presented as a "research group" concerned citizens, engaged global problems, we find that it is anything but not only this.

The first discovery - is that from the very beginning of the Trilateral Commission is extremely narrow circles of the population in each country. It is so is the world, the needs and the needs of the world, as the fox is in the henhouse chickens.

The dominant influence in it has always been for David Rockefeller and his level bankers abroad, dominant influence international bankers, those. who exercise a significant degree of control over the directions of world events through the financial control Moreover, David Rockefeller has long been the chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank in the private system. The Federal Reserve - is not a public organization, and private, and this fact should be borne in mind. The distance between the Federal Reserve and the ruling at the moment the government deliberately retained to monitor the financial system for the benefit of those who is the master of the Federal Reserve.

At Rockefeller small executive committee, which invites famous people to join the Trilateral Commission. It perpetuates the effect of Rockefeller, for new members at least sympathetic to the Rockefeller tasks in their own private judgment.

This in itself would be no objection if really caused the Trilateral Commission was to "study group" and if the Trilateral Commission showed the same interest in the disqualification of the federal government's appointments which it manifests in the work of the Federal Reserve.

But the Tripartite Commission is not a "research group". Her research farmed out to the theorists, who may also reflect the views of the Trilateral Commission. These studies are

the basis for further discussion in the TC and agreed policy has become political views of individual members.

When they are administered in the federal government, and is usually about one-third of the members are always included in the actions of the administration, then they embody this policy in practice. The conclusion is that the Trilateral Commission is a politically oriented group having the ability to translate policy into action, regardless of the wishes of the population.

We never find any political aspirations in favor of private free enterprise and individual liberty. But we will find a lot of allegations that the world is "out of control" and that government action is required to harmonize the goals of citizens with the ambition of the Trilateral Commission.

The heads of our books, and examples of how to try to solve social problems by "wars", demonstrating a policy, which should be hidden purpose. The so-called "war on drugs" has failed and has never been able to have success. We see that the "war" on drugs Clinton far removed from what a "war" Reagan-Bush, although Clinton promised new approaches. It's all the same multi-billion dollar military approach in the supply interception, which is highly inefficient procedure. For the problem of demand is almost never taken. And, as emphasized by Colonel "Bo" Gritts, together with others, the CIA is deeply mired in drug trafficking. Therefore, we do not go out of my head: Is not the purpose of the "war" with the supply of the drug formulation of them under the control of other channels, such as the CIA? This is the only sensible conclusion that can be drawn.

Whatever the stated members of the Trilateral Commission, we conclude that the goal of a New World Order under the supervision of members of the Tripartite Commission. It would be a planned New World Order without individual freedom and without the constitutional guarantees.

The so-called problem of "war" intended to form such solutions to the problems that would lead to the goals of the New World Order, and for nothing else.

## **Annex 1**

### **LIST Trilaterals**

<http://www.trilateral.org/memb.htm>

## **Appendix 2**

### **INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORGANIZATION**

"The Trilateral Commission was founded in 1973 by private citizens of Japan, Europe (countries - the European Union) and North America (United States and Canada), with the aim of promoting closer co-operation between this core democratic industrialized areas of the world and with certain leadership capabilities in a more wider international system. Initially, our work is based on a three-year term, and continued for the next three-year (three-year periods); The latest three-year-in a row will be completed in 2003.

When the first three-year-Trilateral Commission was launched in 1973, the next goal was, during certain tensions between the governments, to establish an informal group of a higher level can be together to look at the key common issues arising in the course of three years old. If you go deeper, there was a view that the United States no longer reside in the same exclusive leadership position as it was in the first years after World War II, and more representative form of leadership, including Europe and Japan, in particular, will need for the international system to successfully meet the major challenges of the upcoming years.

Two rigid beliefs lead our thinking in three-year 2000 -2003, the First Trilateral Commission is important, as before, to help our countries to implement their joint leadership opportunities in the wider international system and, secondly, the scope of its need expanding to reflect a change in the world wide.

Thus, the Japanese group entered the Pacific-Asian group, and Mexican members have been added to the North-American group. The European Group continues to expand its ranks with the increase in the European Union. We also continue our three-year plan in the practice of including new members of the key areas.

"The growing interdependence" that so occupied the minds of the founders of the Trilateral Commission in the early '70s was, replaced by "globalization". The need for joint thinking and leadership that emerged from the member countries of the Tripartite Commission, which (along with the main international organizations) are the main pillars of the broader international system, not only has not diminished but aggravated. At the same time, their leadership has to change, taking into account the dramatic changes in the international system. As relations with other countries become more mature and more dispersed power, indigenous leadership task of the Member States of the Tripartite Commission should be made in the increased volume.

The members of the Tripartite Commission - is about 350 recognized leaders in business, media, academic and public services (including cabinet), trade unions and other non-governmental organizations from the three regions. Regional Chairman, deputy chairman and director are the lead of the Tripartite Commission, together with the Executive Committee, comprising about 40 other members.

The annual meeting of the members of the Tripartite Commission come within the framework of the three regions. They were held in London in 2001, Tokyo in 2000. In Washington in 1999. In 2002 it will once again be held in Washington. Agenda for the meetings include a wide range of issues, which indicates how well we are considering the partnership objectives between the two countries. Publication of annual meetings (trialogue) draws the contours of each year.

The project work of the Tripartite Commission generally involved the team of authors of our three regions, working together for about a year on the draft report, which debated at the annual meetings of projects, and after numerous consultations published. Reports of the main tasks of the Tripartite Commission covering a wide range of issues.

Each regional group within the framework of the Tripartite Commission carries out its activities. The European group with its secretariat based in Paris, provides one meeting every year. The North American group with the secretariat based in New York, from time to time going with their special speaker at a dinner or lunch. The new Asia-Pacific Group, with its secretariat based in Tokyo, spent the inaugural regional meeting in 2000 in Seoul. Each region conducts its financial policy to ensure financial support for the work of the Tripartite Commission. "

Translation from English: A.Savin  
Source: [www.trilateral.org/about.htm](http://www.trilateral.org/about.htm)

## Appendix 3

### ATTRACTION RUSSIA

A summary of the document «Engaging Russia»

"We titled this post" Engaging Russia. " Given contrast is not only the "content" of the Cold War years, but also what we consider to be inadequate and unstable most of the Trilateral Commission policy in relation to Russia and other countries of the former Soviet Union, since 1991

The importance of engaging Russia rests on two pillars: the possibilities of political and economic reforms in Russia and the deep interest of our countries in the foreign policy of Russia. Especially in Europe and North America, the direction of Russian foreign policy is the most important in the unpredictable evolution of the international politics of the next decade. There are different views among authors on the probability of success of Russian fundamental reforms in the long term and sustained progress in three to five years. There are differences over certain policy prescriptions. But in general, we believe that the positive trend in Russia is strong enough to justify raising strategy, which we offer, and we share the judgment that the broad objective of policy of the Trilateral Commission would be to strengthen these positive trends, creating at the same time barrier to major difficulties.

Four common elements of the Russian connection diagrams drawn our focus in this report:

European architecture. Transatlantic and European institutions, particularly NATO and the European Union have not yet found effective ways of including Russia in their activities, which would be a recognition of the importance of this country without giving it a disproportionate influence in their councils. This report contains proposals for greater intensification of the NATO-Russia relations and proposes the creation of a kind of executive structure, born out of the pen of the OSCE. There are differences among the authors on the question of the validity of the expansion of NATO and the rate at which the process should go. But we agree that the simultaneous expansion of NATO and the European Union is inevitable that only a small number of new members is provided for several years and that the increase EATO and the EU - is part of a broader process. We believe that the governments of countries-members of the Tripartite Commission will need to pay particular attention to those countries that are unlikely to join NATO in the near future, Ukraine and the Baltic republics are, in this sense, objects of special importance for the West.

Nuclear issues. Hundreds of thousands of nuclear devices are in Russia and Ukraine with the command, control and protection that raises some doubts. Agreement on arms control, depriving these weapons active status and move them from the Ukraine and Kazakhstan in Russia, in fact, sufficient. As long as fissile material is not fully under constant review, will be a real possibility of a sale or theft of their rogue regimes and terrorists. It is necessary to take into account their possible reintegration into the nuclear forces of Moscow, if relations with the West deteriorate greatly. West needs to make a serious attempt to help the Russian government to make these weapons less accessible for present and future use. Technical and financial assistance of the Tripartite

Commission is also needed on a large scale and with great urgency, given the problem of security of civilian nuclear reactors. The tragedy of Chernobyl could happen again at any time.

North-East Asia. Unlike Europe, Russian power is not the central problem in East Asia. In fact, Russian connection in the region in the future associated: with China, with a resolution of the crisis on the Korean peninsula, with the provision of energy and other natural resources of the regional economy, rich in other products, in curbing the arms trade - that sometimes comes out of the field of view. The members of the Tripartite Commission should encourage the OPEC leadership to invite Russia to Osaka to attend the meeting as an observer. They are designed to promote Russian participation in multilateral security dialogue in the Asia and Pacific region. Solution Japan the Northern Territories issue not only remains on the agenda, it has long been overdue within the expanding Sino-Russian relations.

Support for political and economic reforms in Russia. Having defined the choice in 1991, our countries have made is too small to support political and economic reforms in Russia and the former Soviet republics. Russian aid package, announced at the Group of Seven meetings, contained fewer features than needed, and called unreasonable expectations of Russia. In addition to macro-economic support through the IMF, is directly related to the economic policy of Russia, as well as its reality, we emphasize the possibility of reducing trade barriers for Russian Tripartite Commission. The development of political, trade and personal contacts at all levels, providing technical assistance on a large scale through the public institutions and forces that promote reforms for the benefit of Russia.

Russia may become more difficult partner in the future. If the Russian government will act in the spirit, not in accordance with democratic norms, the country of the Trilateral Commission would be forced to respond critically, without stopping aid to supporters of reforms in Russia itself. And if Russia will not turn to the path of development, hostile to the West, a deep interest of our countries in this great power will contribute to the lines set out in this report. "

**Translation from English: A.Savin**

**Source: [www.trilateral.org](http://www.trilateral.org) / [the org](#) / [projwork](#) / [tfrsuns](#) / [tfr46.htm](#)**

## **Annex 4**

### **INTRODUCING: the Trilateral Commission**

Given that over the past fifteen years in the White House has always been a member of the "Trilateral Commission" (Jimmy Carter, George Bush - first as Vice-President Reagan and then President - and, finally, Bill Clinton, and President Gerald Ford, the predecessor Carter was in the QS and BG, see. below), its importance in world politics is huge.

Founded by David Rockefeller in 1973, the TC is the youngest of three pillars of the New World Order ( "NMP") - the Council for International Relations ( "CMOs") was founded in 1921, Bilderberg ( "BG") - in 1954. The role of all these three organizations in establishing the NRM was partially covered in the article "The New world order in 2000?" for more information about the SMO said in the article "Puppeteers of America". This article will attempt to clarify the apparent purpose and functions of the TC, as it as CMO and BG, conducts its work very discreetly. However, quite frankly, in some of its publications that certain decisions of TC (and other organizations NMP) executed by its members "to bypass the democratic process." Translated into human language, which

means secret, "backstage". And again, as in the case with other organizations, the researcher is difficult to find materials on TK, many things have to guess at Aesopian statements of its leaders and ideologues. Despite the fact that TC has existed for nearly 30 years, organizes the annual (secret) congresses and its activities largely determines the fate of the state, in the "big" press on it almost no information, although its members include the chief representative of this media they all together are silent "as an arrangement". But in this case - it is by agreement.

The name of TC reflects the fact that from the beginning it was conceived as an organization in which "the best minds of the world" (in the words of its founder Rockefeller), representing three "side" (North America, Western Europe and Japan) could discuss problems and decide the fate of the world. The idea of such an organization was expressed by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1970 in his book "Between two eras: America's role in technotronic era." "Technotronic society", according to Brzezinski, there is a "general i in, where the culture, psychology, social consciousness and the economy are determined by the influence of technology and electronics - particularly in the field of computers and communications." In the book, Brzezinski called for a "community of developed countries," that would include the United States, Western Europe and Japan due to the fact that they are "leading on scientific and technological progress", as well as the most advanced in "global consciousness" (read - by NWO).

Already in 1971, Brzezinski (at that time a professor at Columbia University) organized the "Trilateral study" under the auspices of the Brookings Institute (the Brookings) in Washington. In attended Japanese Research Center of Economics and the Institute of European community for university studies. It is believed that the impressive results of the Trilateral Research Rockefeller persuaded the wisdom of the tripartite idea. Rockefeller at this moment as the time was very concerned about the fate of the NRM in the light of deteriorating relations between the US, Europe and Japan, as well as, according to the assertions of some cynics, the fate of their many billions of contributions in many countries of the world, especially in countries of the "Third World."

In any case, Rockefeller became interested in the project and began to let go of money "for the seed." In May-June 1972 close to the Rockefeller man, George Franklin (venerable member of the SMO) went on reconnaissance in Europe, and then together with Rockefeller - to Japan. And on 23-24 July 1972 a group of 17 people came to a meeting in the luxurious "generic" Rockefeller estate, Pocantico Hills, near the town of Tarrytown, NY. New York: eight from the United States and five from Western European and four from Japan. All as one - the heavyweights of the financial and political world. There were more trips and meetings that led to the fact that in July 1973 the TC was officially founded, and October 20-23, 1973, held its founding congress in Tokyo, which came to 113 delegates. In a short time the number exceeded 200, and then remained more or less constant, hovering around 300. The fact that the TC rules, a member who takes a position in a certain government official, "has retired" from the organization. I take in quotes, because such care does not mean anything and TC members are in government, enforce the installation of his "former" organization. Clearly, this is done in order to avoid possible charges of belonging to a secret society. Currently, the TC is about 335 people

It would appear that can make an organization of 300 people on the world stage? It all depends, of course, ANY man. Taking into account the fact that the TC members, since its inception, been the "best of the best" leaders in business and financial circles, in government, at universities, in the media and in the trade unions, this group has a huge impact. Indicative case with President Jimmy Carter. In 1973, Carter was invited to

dinner at the above Rockefeller estate. There was also present and Brzezinski, Rockefeller helped to select members for the future of TC. At Rockefeller made a huge impression that Carter (who was then governor of the state of Georgia), opened sales offices of its staff in Brussels and Tokyo. In this he saw devotion to the ideas of "tripartite". Carter was invited to become a founding member of the TC. In 1976, Carter jump on Republican Jerry Ford in elections for US president - and this despite the fact that seven months before the Congress of the Democratic Party, which nominated the presidential candidate of his party, according to a poll Gallup, less than four percent (!) members of the democratic party supported the candidacy of Carter. But the machine was turned on a powerful media subservient TC, CFR and BG - and "public opinion" instantly loved Carter, who until that moment nobody knew outside the state of Georgia (and even there he did not enjoy the popularity of excess).

To have some idea of what kind of people are to TC, let's look at some of the more well-known "by ear" character of America. Let's start with "the top": the current president, Bill Clinton; US Secretary of Defense William S. Cohn; Deputy Minister of the Department of State Strobe Talbot; Madeleine Albright, his boss - CFR and TC member; Zbigniew Brzezinski; Jimmy Carter; Warren M. Christopher; Lawrence Eagleburger, Department of State; Diane Feinstein, Senator pieces. California; Stephen Thomas Foley, former Speaker of the US Congress; Katherine Graham, owner of the Washington Post; Alan Grinspeil, chairman of the Federal Reserve System; Richard C. Holbrooke, the US State Department; Henry Alfred Kissinger; Gerald Levin, chairman of the board of directors of the corporation Times Warner, etc.

This list could be continued rb. But even so it is clear why TC solutions are being implemented - they "push" on the uppermost strategically located people pushing "bypassing democratic processes", GS .. regardless of the congressmen and senators. account taken,

that people of the same caliber in the TC are Japan, Germany, France, England, Italy and other countries, we can conclude that the world is firmly in the hands of a very small group of "elite". It should also be borne in mind that all three organizations NWO - CFR, TC and BG - closely linked or through membership in two or three companies, or through directorships in various corporations. Many people have this kind are made on the boards of various corporations, enabling them to meet outside of their direct and lead the organization, "the party line on the ground."

It should also be noted that all of these people - Convinced internationalists, people without a homeland, people, convinced that life above all - economic power. Their ideology does not get tired to remind that time is of nation-states has passed, that in a very near future, the whole world will merge into one, with a single government, which will determine its laws throughout the world. For example, the same Carter, in his inaugural address said, honking their masters in CS: "I decided to use the ceremony of my initiation into the presidency to refer not only to my fellow citizens - that it would be traditional - but also to you, the citizens of the world who did not participate in the elections, but that still will experience the results of my decisions. "

This theme constantly repeated and repeated in various documents TC compiled sho numerous working groups and representatives, such as Zbigniew Brzezinski, etc. For example, a report by a working group under the title "Towards a renewed international system," says. "The public and the leadership of the majority of countries continue to live in the world of concepts, which no longer exists - in the world of individual nations - and it is extremely difficult to apply concepts such as the global outlook and interdependent

and. The liberal idea that there is a separation between politics and economics, is obsolete: the economy issues are at the heart of modern politics. " And yet, another report from the working group in 1975, "The Crisis of Democracy: Report on the TC handling democracies": The vulnerability of democratic government in the United States is not due to external threats, though these threats are very real, and not due to internal threats from left or right, even though such threats as very real, but because of the internal dynamics of democracy itself in a highly educated, mobile and active society. "

All theoretical background TK were developed in the 1970s. Over the past 30 years, together with SMO and BG conducted intense work on their realization in life, to establish a single world government, a single religion and a single economy, ie, POC. During the Gulf War, a member of the TC President George W. Bush for the first time publicly mentioned the NRM, stating that "this war is waged in order to establish a New World Order." No one in the world and especially in America, does not attach great importance to this phrase, taking her for another demagogy. But a member of the TC Bush knew very well what he says, they knew it and its owners - Rockefeller, Rothschild and others of that ilk. There are developments in the field of world religion. Thus, in the anniversary of the United Nations on 26 June 2000, will be signed by the official constitution of the concept of a single religion, for seven years, is being developed in collaboration with all world religions (including the Pope).

Despite the efforts of TC carefully hide their intentions and plans by any means, including the most open lies (it is said in the Gospel that the Antichrist - the father of lies), much of her work can be filtered from its various publications. So, on the Internet there is a node TC: <http://www.trilateral.org/default.htm>. At the very beginning, in the section "About the organization", said: "TK was organized in 1973 by private citizens of Japan, Europe (European Union countries) and North America (USA and Canada), to foster greater cooperation between the major industrialized democracies regions with the division of leadership responsibilities in the wider international system. " And further: "Help the leadership [of our countries, PB] in understanding the problems facing our countries is in the shopping center of the work."

Excuse me, but did not achieve these objectives by force, perhaps even official government mentioned countries ?! And then "private citizens" gathered easily and solve public problems? The answer, of course, as we have noticed above, that - what these private citizens. Also familiar with TC members, something that becomes clear from the site section "Frequently Asked Questions". In response to a question about the purpose of the TC, has these words: "In order to be effective in solving common problems, Japan, Western Europe and North America should be: 1) to consult and cooperate more closely with each other, pas equal footing, to develop and implement in the life of a coherent policy on the issues; affecting their common interests; 2) to refrain from any unilateral measure not in accordance with their interdependencies, and from actions detrimental to other regions; 3) use of existing international and regional organizations and to further strengthen their influence. " And in response to a question about how President Jimmy Carter put 17 TC members to senior positions in his administration, he said: "President Carter was not very well known in the field of foreign poly booms .. When he was elected president, g- Mr. Carter naturally turned to some members of the TC - with the skills and personal qualities which he has familiarized - with a request to join his administration. Most, if not all, of these persons could be selected by any president of the Democratic Party, regardless of whether they were members of the TC or not. " Such talk a little convincing. In fact, is it possible that in a country with 200 million inhabitants President Carter puts on the highest positions in his administration 17 individuals from the

community, which has 335 members all over the world !? But to argue there is nobody, with the American people, then no one is advised, he just put a fait accompli (yes not even put - that Carter got himself aides of "his guys" of TK in the country nobody even I knew).

But fast forward action Carter in other countries, "covered" TK. Assuming that there occur such as "accident" in the selection of the heads of government circles, it becomes clear how the TC leadership calmly and confidently talks about "The implementation of a coherent policy on matters affecting their common interests." Whenever arranged international "summit meetings", they often sit colleagues from TC, CFR and BG (a "dog does not eat dog").

Here it is interesting to recall that 10 years ago Mikhail Gorbachev came to the US in order to perform at the next session of the United Nations. On the day of his speech in Armenia Earthquake and he canceled his speech at the UN. But that morning, he found time to have breakfast with David Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger! What they went I talk, of course, I do not know. But it is quite possible that at the meeting the fate of the Soviet Union has been solved.

But look at how to give effect to the TC directive. To do this, look at the "Report to the Trilateral Commission: 46", entitled "Russia Attraction" (Engaging Russia), TC published in June 1995. The 139 pages of it, and it's easy to buy for 10-11 dollars or at the Brookings Institute, or in any a large bookstore. Since the adoption of its passed to action for about five years, it is possible to compare it basting directive that really happened in "Russia" (the report refers to all the republics of the former USSR).

To understand the level of the report, look at the authors. Blekuil Robert D. (Robert D. Blackwill). He served as a special assistant to President George W. Bush on the Soviet and European Affairs (as Carter, President Bush has also surrounded himself with members of the TC, CFR, BG) and Lecturer in Public Policy at Harvard University, government relations Kennedy School; Roderick Braithwaite (Rodric Braithwaite), Senior Advisor to the bank Morgan Grenfell, a former British ambassador to Russia and adviser on foreign policy, Prime Minister John Maggiora; and Akihiko Tanaka, a professor of International Relations at the University of Tokyo, a lot of work in the field of cosmopolitanism. Full biographies full of a variety of services to the "global community", there is only a few facts.

No less impressive is also a list of persons with whom the authors of the report during his visits to Moscow, Kiev and Warsaw. While in Moscow, they met with representatives of the diplomatic and financial circles "trilateral nations", many meetings were held with the "natives". Here are their names (in alphabetical order): Alevtina Aparina, Alexei Arbatov, Igor Blatov Anatoly Dolgolaptev, Yegor Gaidar, Sergei Glazyev, Lyudmila Zavadskaja, Konstantin Zatulin, Gennady Zyuganov, Igor Ivanov, General Gennady Ivanov, Sergey Kalashnikov, Sergei Karaganov (he By the way, a member of the International Advisory Council of the CFR. see the article "Puppeteers of America"), Andrei Kokoshin, Andrei Kortunov, Andrei Kozyrev, Alexander Livshits, Vladimir Lukin, Georgy Mamedov, General Valery Manilov, Sergei Mitrokhin, Valery podmazki, Alexei Pushkov, Ivan Rybkin, Dimitri Rjurikov, Georgy Satarov, Vitaly Tretyakov, Grigory Yavlinsky. I will not specify who they are, they are quite well known in Russia. The same little list there from Kiev and Warsaw. Interesting selection? What made the representatives of the Russian leadership to meet with the "owners" of the TC? What information they shared with the authors of the report? Who empowered them to such a meeting? There are many questions, but the answers to them are not.

The report refers to the "inevitability" of NATO and the European Union, "although only a small number of new members will be involved in the near future." Since neither the Baltic countries nor Ukraine will become NATO members, they (as a consolation, is it?) Will need to provide special attention. The report, by the way, a lot of talk about Ukraine and the importance of preventing its possible unification with the Russian Federation. Day, it is proposed not to spare funds for various assistance programs. All this came to pass.

In Northeast Asia, the emphasis is on the "to connect Russia" as a source of raw materials, use of China and North Korea as a supplier of cheap labor, while Japan and South Korea will supply the capital, technology, and scientific and technological research. At the same time in every possible way to limit the sale of arms in the region by the Russian Federation. And it all happens.

To avoid the danger of a nuclear first proposed to collect all the nuclear weapons in Russia (with Ukraine and Kazakhstan), and then in every possible way to achieve its disposal in Russia. And it also came to pass or come true.

Strongly supports "reform" in Russia, even if extra dollars and by injections. Such loans from the IMF and the World Bank should not be directed at the development and / or support vital industries in Russia, but only on the development of activities aimed at the development of natural resources.

Also interesting and historical information presented in the report. Here the entire history of Russia is presented as a continuous slavery. First, the feudal lords, then the princes, then the kings, the priests and the bourgeoisie, then communism. This leads to the conclusion that nothing good to the country can not be expected, as this is not worth it. Interestingly, one of the "Russian" helpers listed above, tried to provide information in support of their findings and conclusions?

The Russian reader who is interested in more detail, can read all this in the original, acquired an easily accessible copy of the report. [Engaging Russia. Task Force Report N 46. The Trilateral Commission, in 1995, by Robert D. Blackwill, Rodric Braithwait and Akihiko Tanakal 39p .; \$ 9]

**P.Budzilovich, January 2000**

Source: [www.russia-talk.com/trilateral.htm](http://www.russia-talk.com/trilateral.htm)

### **PS Update from the article "Puppet Masters":**

"The Russians should be especially interested to know that the Russian Federation was represented at these seminars as speakers expert Anatoly Chubais (seminar on the global economy), and Grigory Yavlinsky (about the future of" Russia "). It should be noted that two years ago in the annual three-day congress for the first time attended by a representative of the Russian Federation - A. Chubais!

The fact that "Russia" Anatoly Chubais and representation Yavlinsky involuntarily recalls the event in the last century. In 1870, a group of Russian revolutionaries formed abroad Russian section of the First International of Karl Marx and asked to be representative of Russia in the International General Council (!). Marx agreed. Well, what is not the case with Chubais and Yavlinsky, acting on behalf of the "Russian"?


Here the place to mention one more "Russians", Sergey Karaganov, International Advisory Board member at the CFR. At ISS, he presented as "Chairman of the Council of

Defense and foreign policy; Deputy Director of the Institute of Europe of the Russian Academy of Sciences. "

I wonder how many "Russians" are aware of the fact that in the global arena are their Chubais, Yavlinsky, Karaganov? And in this case are in the organization, forging a chain, which is slowly but surely entangles the world in order to build a building of Antichrist - New World Order. "

Source: [www.russia-talk.com/cfr.htm](http://www.russia-talk.com/cfr.htm)

---



---

 [download archive book](#)

 **The opinion of the author's site does not always coincide with the views of the authors of published materials!**

---

Read on: [Alexander Rudakov. Money of their pastors](#)



© Yavolod 2003